

Last time:

- $\ell_1$  recovery  $\rightarrow$   $m$ -sparse solns.
- $\ell_1 \leftrightarrow$  LP, OCBP in the complex case
- Related convex opt. probs.:
  - OCBP
  - BFDN
  - LASSO
  - Dantzig selector
- Orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP).

Today:

- OMP when cols of  $A$  are not normalized
- Properties of OMP
- Thresholding based methods

OMP when cols of  $A$  are not normalized:

Init:  $k=0, x^{(0)}=0, r^{(0)}=y-Ax^{(0)}, S^{(0)}=\emptyset$

Repeat:  $k \leftarrow k+1$

(a) Find  $s_j = \min_{j \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\|A_j\|_2}{\|y - r^{(k-1)}\|_2} \cdot |j|, j \in \mathbb{C}^n$   
 Optimal  $s_j^* = \frac{A_j^* r^{(k-1)}}{\|A_j\|_2^2}$  [Compute inner]

(b) Find  $j_k = \arg \min_{j \in \mathbb{R}} |s_j|$   
 Update  $S^{(k)} = S^{(k-1)} \cup \{j_k\}$

(c) Update  $x^{(k)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S^{(k)}} \|Ax - y\|_2^2$

(d) Update  $r^{(k)} = y - Ax^{(k)}$

Until: stopping criterion, e.g.,  $\|r^{(k)}\|_2 \leq \epsilon_{\text{min}}$ .

Note: in (a)

$$s_j = \min_{\alpha_j} \frac{\| \alpha_j A_j - r^{(k-1)} \|_2}{\| \alpha_j \|_2}, \quad s_j^* = \frac{A_j^* r^{(k-1)}}{\|A_j\|_2^2}$$

$$= \frac{\| \alpha_j^2 r^{(k-1)} A_j - r^{(k-1)} \|_2}{\| \alpha_j \|_2^2}$$

$$= \frac{\| r^{(k-1)} \|_2^2 - \frac{(A_j^* r^{(k-1)})^2}{\|A_j\|_2^2}}{\| \alpha_j \|_2^2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Finding the smallest  $s_j$  is equivalent to finding the normalized column that is most aligned with the residual.  $\left| \frac{A_j^* r^{(k-1)}}{\|A_j\|_2^2} \right|^2$

Lemma 3.3

$A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, A_j$  normalized cols. Given  $S \subseteq [n], \forall$  supported on  $S, j \in [n]$ , if  $\|y - Ax\|_2 \leq \epsilon$  then  $\|y - A_j\|_2 \leq \epsilon + \|A_j - A_S\|_2$

then  $\|y - A_j\|_2^2 \leq \|y - Ax\|_2^2 - |A_j^* (y - Ax)|^2$

Remark 1:  $\|y - A_j\|_2^2 \leq \|y - Ax\|_2^2$  not surprising. The lemma tells us that adding col  $j$  to the support reduces the norm of the residual even more.

Remark 2:  $\forall$  can be any vec supported on  $S$ , in particular, it could be the "best" vec. supported on  $S$ :  $\arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|y - Ax\|_2, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S$ .

Proof:  $\text{supp}(x + te_j) \subseteq S \cup \{j\}$

$\Rightarrow \|y - A_j\|_2^2 \leq \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|y - A(x + te_j)\|_2^2$

Polyn form:  $t = se^{i\theta}, s > 0, \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \Rightarrow$

$\|y - A(x + te_j)\|_2^2 = \|y - Ax - tA_j\|_2^2 = \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + |t|^2 \|A_j\|_2^2 - 2 \text{Re} \{ t^* A_j^* (y - Ax) \}$

$\geq \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + s^2 - 2s \frac{|A_j^* (y - Ax)|}{\|A_j\|_2}$

$\Rightarrow \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|y - A(x + te_j)\|_2^2 = \|y - Ax\|_2^2 - |A_j^* (y - Ax)|^2$

Of course, so could reduce the  $\ell_2$  norm of the residual even further, so the result follows.  $\square$

[HW: Review properties of the pseudoinverse, Sec. A2]

Stopping criterion for OMP:

- $Ax^{(k)} = y$ . (Doesn't work if there are meas./comput errors)
- $\|y - Ax^{(k)}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$
- $\|A^* (y - Ax^{(k)})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$
- If sparsity  $s$  is known, (or an est. is available), can use  $n = s$ .  $\Rightarrow$  results in an  $s$ -sparse output.

When will OMP succeed?

Prop. 3.5 Given  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , normalized cols. Every  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S, |S| = s$ , is recovered from  $y = Ax$  after at most  $s$  iterations of OMP iff

- $A_S$  is injective
  - $\max_{j \in S} |A^* r_j| > \max_{j \notin S} |A^* r_j|$  (w/  $\forall S, |S| \leq s$ )
- for all  $0 \neq r \in \{Ax, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S\}$ .

Proof: Necessary  $\Rightarrow$

Assume OMP recovers all vecs. supported on  $S$  in at most  $s = |S|$  iterations.  $\Rightarrow A_S$  is injective  $\because$  2 vecs supported on  $S$  with the same measurement vec. must be equal by the hypothesis.

In OMP, the index chosen in the 1<sup>st</sup> iteration stays in the support set of the output.  $\Rightarrow$  If  $y = Ax, x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $x$  is exactly supported on  $S$ , then  $\ell \in S$  cannot be chosen in the 1<sup>st</sup> iteration, i.e.:

$\max_{j \in S} |A^* y_j| > |A^* y_\ell| \quad \forall \ell \notin S$

$\Rightarrow \max_{j \in S} |A^* y_j| > \max_{j \notin S} |A^* y_j|$

This is true  $\forall y \in \{Ax, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S\}$ .

Thus, the two conditions are necessary.

Sufficient  $\Leftarrow$

Assume  $Ax^{(1)} = y, Ax^{(2)} = y, \dots, Ax^{(s)} = y$  w/oa

Given  $0 \leq n \leq s-1$ , if  $S^n \subseteq S$ , then  $x^{(n)} = Ax^{(n)} \in \{Ax, \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S\}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{J}_{n+1} \in S \text{ by (ii) [OMP picks } \hat{J}_{n+1} = \underset{j \in \mathcal{C}^c}{\text{arg max}} \{ |(A^H r^{(n)})_j| \}]}$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{n+1} = S^n \cup \{\hat{J}_{n+1}\} \subseteq S$$

$$\text{Hence, } S^n \subseteq S \quad \forall 0 \leq n \leq A.$$

Now, given  $1 \leq n \leq A-1$ ,  $(A^H r^{(n)})_{\hat{J}_n} = 0$  by the

orthogonality principle  $\Rightarrow \hat{J}_n \notin S^n$

(Else,  $A^H r^{(n)} = 0 \Rightarrow r^{(n)} = 0$ , contradicting  $Ax^{(n)} = y, Ax^{(n)} \in S^n$ )

Thus,  $|S^n| = n$ , i.e.,  $S^A = S$ .

Hence  $Ax^{(A)} = y$ : OMP finds  $x^{(A)} = \underset{x \in \mathcal{C}^A}{\text{arg min}} \{ \|y - Ax\|_2 \mid \text{supp}(x) \subseteq S \}$

By the injectivity of  $A_S$ , the above  $\Rightarrow x^{(A)} = x$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Notation:  $z \in \mathcal{C}^A$ .

$L_1(z) \triangleq$  Index set of  $A$  largest absolute entries of  $z$ .

$H_A(z) \triangleq Z_{L_1(z)}$  (Best  $A$ -term approx of  $z$ ).

### Thresholding based methods

Basic Thresholding: (BT)

$$S^\# = L_A(A^H y)$$

$$x^\# = \underset{z \in \mathcal{C}^A}{\text{arg min}} \{ \|y - Az\|_2, \text{supp}(z) \subseteq S^\# \}$$

output  $x^\#$

Prop. 3.7  $x \in \mathcal{C}^A$ ,  $S \triangleq \text{supp}(x)$  is recovered

from  $y = Ax$  via BT iff

$$\left[ \min_{i \in S} |(A^H y)_i| > \max_{i \in S^c} |(A^H y)_i| \right].$$