

- Last time:
- Majorization-minimization based algos.
 - Reweighted ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 methods.

Today:

- Analysis of reweighting based methods
- (properties of local minima).

Recap: separable opt for

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - y\|^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$$

Examples:

$$g(x) = \|x\|^2, \quad \alpha < 0,$$

$$g(x) = \ln(x)$$

$$g(x) = \|x\|_1, \quad \alpha < 0,$$

$$g(x) = \ln(\|x\|_1), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

Reweighted ℓ_1 methods:

Consider $g(x)$ as $= f(x) + \tilde{g}(x)$, if \tilde{g} is still convex in x ,

upper bound $f(x)$ as a linear fn. of \tilde{g} via the 1st order

Taylor expansion \rightarrow quadratic in x .

$$x^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|y - Ax\|_F^2 + \lambda \|W_k^{-1}x\|_1$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(k+1)} = W_k^{-1} (A^T A W_k^{-1} + \lambda I)^{-1} y$$

No matrix inversion lemma:

$$x^{(k+1)} = W_k^{-1} A^T (A W_k^{-1} A^T + \lambda I)^{-1} y$$

E.g., with $g(x) = \|x\|^2, \quad \alpha < 0, \quad \beta > 0$,

$$W_k^{-1} = \text{diag}\{\|x_k^{(k)}\|_1^{-1}\}$$

$$\text{with } g(x) = (x^T P)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha < 0, \quad \beta > 0,$$

$$W_k^{-1} = \text{diag}\{\|x_k^{(k)}\|_1^{-1}\}$$

Reweighted ℓ_1 min.:

Here we bound $g(x)$, ℓ_2 as a fn. of x , not x^2 , and solve

$$x^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_F^2 + \lambda \|W_k^{-1}x\|_1$$

E.g., with $g(x) = \|x\|^2, \quad \alpha < 0, \quad \beta > 0$,

$$W_k^{-1} = \text{diag}\{\|x_k^{(k)}\|_1^{-1}\}$$

$$\text{with } g(x) = (\|x\|_1)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha < 0, \quad \beta > 0,$$

$$W_k^{-1} = \text{diag}\{\|x_k^{(k)}\|_1^{-1}\}$$

Other g(x), as long as it is convex, same approach works.

only need $g'(x)$,

$$\text{e.g.: } g(x) = \ln(x),$$

Weighted ℓ_1 version:

$$g(x) = \ln(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(x^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln((x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2), \quad \forall x$$

convex in y [Clockwise]

$$\ln(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln(\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2) + \ln(\bar{x} + \bar{y}).$$

$$= \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x} + \bar{y}} + \ln(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x} + \bar{y}}$$

We thus get the iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_F^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|}{\|x^{(k)}\|_1} \quad [\text{Clockwise}]$$

(Weighted by problem).

Weighted ℓ_1 version: ℓ_2

$$g(x) = \|x\|_2 \leq \ln(\exp) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} (x - x_0) + \ln(\exp), \quad \forall x$$

and we get the iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_F^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|}{\|x^{(k)}\|_2} \quad [\text{Clockwise, Water, Exp}]$$

Local minima of $G(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i) \quad \text{s.t. } Ax = y$:

let x_* be a feasible point of interest, i.e., $Ax_* = y$.

In our case: local minima candidate, i.e., basic feasible solns. ($\exists \lambda \geq 0$ s.t. convex)

Consider a feasible pt. x , e.g., ℓ_2 , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$, $A(x_0 + \lambda x) = y$.

Let $h(x) \triangleq G(x) + \lambda^T x$.

Want to examine $h(x)$ when x_* is a BFS.

If $h(x) > h(x_*)$ for some sufficiently small λ , $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

then x_* is a local min.

So compute $\frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x}$ and see if it is positive.

$\frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} + \lambda^T$

(using $\frac{\partial \ln(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x}$).

WLOG, assume the first n entries of the are non-zero.

$$\frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} + \ln(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) + \lambda^T$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} \ln(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)$$

$$= T_1 + T_2$$

As $x \rightarrow x_*$, $T_1 \rightarrow$ some constant (fixed or $\rightarrow \infty$)

$$T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} + \lambda^T \frac{\ln(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)}{\ln(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} + \lambda^T$$

(λ^T \uparrow as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$).

So T_2 becomes large and dominates the sum as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$!

\Rightarrow for $\lambda < \lambda_*$, $T_1 + T_2 > 0$

$\Rightarrow h(x) > h(x_*) \quad \forall \lambda < \lambda_*, \lambda \notin N(A)$.

$\Rightarrow x_*$ is a local minimum.

Hence, all BFs are local minima. Not desirable!

$$\text{Modified fn.: } G(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i + \lambda_i)^2}{2} + \lambda^T x, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Following the same approach,

$$\frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} + \frac{1}{2} \left((x_1 + \lambda_1)^{-1} + (x_2 + \lambda_2)^{-1} + \dots + (x_n + \lambda_n)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} + \frac{1}{2} \left((x_1 + \lambda_1)^{-1} + (x_2 + \lambda_2)^{-1} + \dots + (x_n + \lambda_n)^{-1} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left((x_1 + \lambda_1)^{-1} + (x_2 + \lambda_2)^{-1} + \dots + (x_n + \lambda_n)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= T_1 + T_2$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1 + \lambda_1} + \frac{1}{x_2 + \lambda_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n + \lambda_n} \right)$$

As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, no guarantee that T_2 dominates T_1 .

So some BFs may not be local minima. \ominus

Some remarks on reweighted ℓ_1 vs. reweighted ℓ_2 :

1. Each iteration of ℓ_1 reweighting is more computationally expensive than reweighted ℓ_2 (check from right).

- but # iterations of reweighted ℓ_1 typically $\ll \# \ell_2$ w.r.t. ℓ_2 .

- with reweighted ℓ_1 , even $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ \rightarrow sparse soln.

(unlike reweighted ℓ_2).

2. Typically, much easier to incorporate additional constraints (non-negativity, box constraints, etc.) of reweighted ℓ_1 .

Reweighted ℓ_2 \Rightarrow closed form update.

$\ell_1 \Rightarrow$ Each iteration promotes sparsity

3. Can consider other ways to bound besides ℓ_1 or ℓ_2 ; as long as

the bounding fn. is convex, each step solves a convex opt. prob.

$\ell_2 \Rightarrow$ closed form update

$\ell_1 \Rightarrow$ Each iteration promotes sparsity

4. The choice of $\ell_1^{(k)}$ is determined by the surrogate

est. fn. for promoting sparsity that is being minimized.

- Different surrogate fn. lead to different algos

with different performance-complexity tradeoffs.

.....

[Chartrand and Yin] Reweighted L_p

$$g(x) = (x^T + \beta)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$L(\tilde{y}) = (\tilde{y}^T + \beta)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \tilde{y} \geq 0$$

$$L'(\tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{p} (\tilde{y} + \beta)^{\frac{1-p}{p}}, \quad L''(\tilde{y}) = \frac{p}{\tilde{y}} \frac{(1-p)}{(\tilde{y} + \beta)^{\frac{1-p}{p}}} \text{ concave}$$

$$L(\tilde{y}) \leq L(\tilde{x}_k)(\tilde{y} - \tilde{x}_k) + L(\tilde{x}_k)$$

$$\tilde{x}^{(k+1)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_p^p + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|x_i|}{\tilde{x}_k(i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x_i$$

$$= \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_p^p + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|\tilde{x}_k(i)| + \beta}{\tilde{x}_k(i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x_i$$

$\mathbf{w}_k^{(k+1)} = \text{diag} \left\{ \left(|\tilde{x}_k^{(k+1)}(i)| + \beta \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\}$

\Rightarrow Reweighted L_p based method.

Let $w_k^{(k)} = (|\tilde{x}_k^{(k)}(i)| + \beta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$

When $p \rightarrow \infty$, Chartrand-Yin update:

$$w_k^{(k)} = (|\tilde{x}_k^{(k)}(i)| + \beta)^{-1}$$

When $p \rightarrow 0$, FOCUSED:

$$w_k^{(k)} = (|\tilde{x}_k^{(k)}(i)|)^{p-2}$$

When $p=1$,
 (Recall $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| + \beta$, s.t. $Ax = y$)
 (As $p \rightarrow 0$ and $p \rightarrow 1$, $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$, s.t. $Ax = y$, \rightarrow min.)

$$w_k^{(k)} = (|\tilde{x}_k^{(k)}(i)| + \beta)^{-1}$$

\Rightarrow A more robust iterative soln. to (P_i).

As $p \rightarrow 0$, we are trying to solve

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - y\|_p^p + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{x}_k^{(k)}(i)|^p$$

\Rightarrow Inductive explanation of why the Chartrand-Yin update yield sparse solns.