

Last time:

Q. Why does SBL lead to sparse solns?

Ans.1: Imposes an inverse gamma hyperprior on  $\tau_1$ :

$$\Rightarrow p_\tau(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta/\tau}, \quad \tau > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\tau] = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad \text{Var}[\tau] = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}, \quad \text{covariances}$$

Ans.2: Instead of working with  $\tau_1$ , define a family of approx to  $p(\tau_1)$ , and pick the member of the family that maximizes Bayesian evidence.Letting  $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1^2$ , and  $f(\tau_1) \triangleq \log p(\tau_1) + \text{const.}$  $f(\tau_1)$ : convex fn of  $\lambda_1$ , so using the dual form

$$\begin{cases} f(\tau) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \tau - \frac{\beta}{\tau} \\ f'(\tau) \triangleq \log \tau_1^{\alpha} - f(\tau) \end{cases} \quad \text{convex d.f.}$$

We showed that

$$p(\tau_1) \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \max_{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\alpha}} \tau_1^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta/\lambda_1}$$

As  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ , this becomes

$$f(\tau_1) \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \max_{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\alpha}} e^{-\beta/\lambda_1} \quad \text{Parametrized out of approx. to}$$

 $p(\tau_1) \propto \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Thus, the SBL procedure considers a variational approx to

an sparsity-promoting prior  $p(\tau_1) \propto \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)^{-1/2}$ , and

finds the "tightest" lower bound via type-II MLE.

Making update (see also: Figue &amp; Tipping, "Analysis of msl.")

SBL cost fn:

$$L(\tau) = -\log p(y; \tau) = \log \det(\sigma^2 I + A\tau A^T) + g'(\tau) + \sigma^2 \tau^2$$

Convenient to work with inverse variance:  $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}$ .Let  $C\tau = \log(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau)$ 

$$C = \sigma^2 I + A\tau A^T$$

Then  $L(\tau) = \log \det C + g'(\tau) y$  : b. minimize.Write  $C = \sigma^2 I + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \tau^2 I + \alpha_2^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \tau^2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sigma^2 I + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \tau^2 I + \alpha_2^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \tau^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau^2) \\ &\triangleq \alpha_2^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \tau^2 \end{aligned}$$

Determinant and inverse:  $\det(C) = (\det C_0)(1 + \alpha_2^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \det C_0 \tau^2)$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_0 = C_0^T = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2^T \\ \text{[Dual form]} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\tau) = \log \det C_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \tau^2 C_0^{-1} y$$

$$= \log \det C_0 + \log(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau^2) - \frac{(\alpha_2 C_0^{-1})^2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau^2}$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathcal{L}(w_0)}_{\text{by averaged likelihood with } \alpha_2 \text{ removed.}} + \underbrace{L(\tau)}_{\text{by averaged likelihood with } \alpha_1 \text{ removed.}}$$

 $L(\tau)$  includes no terms involving  $\alpha_1$ .2) Differentiating  $L(\tau)$  wrt.  $\alpha_2$ :

$$\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w_0)}{\partial \alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \tau^2 \frac{\partial C_0^{-1}}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{(\alpha_2 C_0^{-1})^2}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau^2)^2}$$

$$= -\frac{\alpha_2^2 C_0^{-1} + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \tau^2)^2}$$

where  $\alpha_2^2 C_0^{-1} y = \alpha_2^2 \alpha_1^{-1} \tau^2 \alpha_1^T y$ .

Setting the derivative = 0,

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_1 \quad \text{Y. 2.2.1.ii}$$

(and can  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$  as in their paper)(also, when  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2$  is zero as desired)The update of  $\alpha_2$  is inexpensive to compute: $C_0^{-1}$  can be computed fast using the matrix inversion lemma/matrix update formula (see above).

Reweighted L approach for SBL cost min.

$$L(\tau) = \log \det Z_0 + g'(\tau) y : \text{min wrt. } \tau.$$

where  $Z_0 = \sigma^2 I + A\tau A^T$  $\log \det Z_0$  is concave in  $\tau$ , use concave dual repn:

$$\log \det Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \tau^T Z_0^{-1} \tau - g'(\tau) \rightarrow 0$$

$$g'(\tau) \triangleq \min_y \tau^T y - \log \det Z_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\tau, z) = \tau^T z - g'(\tau) \geq \mathcal{L}(\tau)$$

Alternatively min  $L(\tau, z)$  wrt.  $\tau, z$ .Given  $y$ , update for  $\tau$  come from  $\Theta$ :

$$z_{\text{up}} = V_p \log \det Z_0$$

Given  $\tau$ , update for  $y$ :

$$y \leftarrow \arg \min_y \log \det Z_0 + \tau^T y + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2$$

$$\text{Min}_y \tau^T y = \min_y \frac{1}{2} \tau^T \Omega \tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \|y\|^2 \quad [10a]$$

Obtaining upper bound:

$$L_0(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \tau^T Z_0^{-1} \tau + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2 \geq L(\tau)$$

Finally convex in  $\tau$  and  $x$ . Minimizing wrt.  $y$ , we get

$$y_t = z_{\text{up}}^T V_p z_t.$$

Substituting:

$$L_0(y_t, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \tau^T Z_0^{-1} \tau + f_k(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \|y_t\|^2$$

 $\Rightarrow$   $f_k(\tau)$  can be found by solving

$$x_k = \arg \min_x \|x - A\tau\|_2^2 \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{2} \tau^T Z_0^{-1} \tau \leq \text{[Optimal linear]} \quad \Theta$$

and setting  $y_t = z_{\text{up}}^T V_p x_k$ .

Thus, the iterative result is given:

$$\text{Init: } z_0 = 1 \quad \#1$$

Repeat:

$$z \leftarrow \arg \min_z L_0(z) \triangleq z^T z + f_k(z)$$

$$z \leftarrow V_p \log \det Z_0$$

Until convergence  $\|y\| \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\text{Output: } z_k = \mathbb{E}[y|z, \tau] = V_p A^T Z_0^{-1} y.$$

Iterative reweighted L<sub>2</sub> approach:

$$\text{min}_\tau L(\tau) = \log \det Z_0 + g'(\tau) y$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_0 = \sigma^2 I + A\tau A^T, \quad \tau = \text{diag}(L(\tau))$$

After we obtain  $\tau$ , the ratio is

$$x_m = \mathbb{E}[V_p y | z, \tau] = V_p A^T Z_0^{-1} y$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_0^{-1} y = \min_x \frac{\|x - A\tau\|_2^2}{\sigma^2} + \alpha^T x$$

Substituting into the SBL cost fn, and exchanging

the order of mins:

$$\min_\tau \frac{\|y - A\tau\|_2^2}{\sigma^2} + g'(\tau)$$

where  $g'(\tau) \triangleq \min_y \tau^T y + \log \det Z_0$ Can see  $g'(\tau) = \text{Appx of Dual w.r.t. } \lambda_1$  $\lambda_1$ -L<sub>1</sub> methods for  $\tau$ .is nondecreasing and concave in  $(\tau)$ , and  $\|\tau\|^2$ .

$$\{\tau\} = \{(\tau_1^0, \tau_2^0, \dots, \tau_n^0)\}^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{+}(\tau) \leq \tau^T \tau^0 \leq \log \det Z_0$$

 $\Rightarrow \tau_{+}(\tau) \leq \tau^T \tau^0 + \log \det(\sigma^2 I + A\tau A^T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{min}_x \quad &= \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} + \log \det(\sigma^2 A A^T) + \log \det(\sigma^2 A A^T) \\
&\leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} + \log \det(\sigma^2 A A^T) + \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{h}(z)$  = concave conjugate of  $h(z)$   
 $h(z) = \log \det(\sigma^2 A A^T + \log(z))$   
 $\tilde{h}(z) = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}} w z - \log(\sigma^2 A A^T + \log(w))$

Thus, we perform alt. min. using  
 $\min_{x, t, b, z \geq 0} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \sigma^2 \left[ -\tilde{h}(t) + \frac{y - Ax}{\sigma^2} + \log t \right]$

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{(k+1)} &= \tilde{W}^{(k)} A^T (\sigma^2 I + \sigma^2 \tilde{W}^{(k)} A^T)^{-1} y, \\
\text{where } \tilde{W}^{(k)} &= \text{diag}(t_i^{(k)}),
\end{aligned}$$

The update for  $t_i^{(k)}$  comes from the defn. of the concave conjugate  $\tilde{h}_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_i^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \det(\sigma^2 A A^T + \log(w_i)) \\
&= \left[ (\sigma^2 A A^T + \log(w_i))^{-1} \right]_{ii} \\
&= t_i^{(k)} - \sigma^2 A_{ii}^T A_i [(\sigma^2 I + \sigma^2 A A^T)^{-1}]_{ii} \\
t_i^{(k+1)} &= \frac{(t_i^{(k)})^2}{(t_i^{(k)})^2 + (\sigma^2 A_{ii})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We iterate the three steps above till convergence.

Extensions: Nonneg. sparse recovery:

Just include the constraint  $x \geq 0$  in ④.

Group sparsity:

$Y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$

sparse vector with common support.

Let  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$  no. of  $x_i$ .

$d(x) = \sum_i \mathbb{1}\{\|x_i\| > 0\}$

min<sub>X</sub>  $d(X)$  s.t.  $Y = AX + W$

$[y_1, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

The above algos can be extended easily:

Replace  $\|x_i\|$  with  $\lambda_i$  norm of the row  $(x_i^{(k)})_{m \times 1}$ .