

24 May 2021

Recap:

**Defn:** The Gelfand m-width of a subset  $K$  of a normed space  $\mathcal{X}$  (contained in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) is

$$d^m(K, \mathcal{X}) = \inf_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \sup_{x \in K \cap \text{Null}(A)} \|x\|$$

$\{d^m(K, \mathcal{X})\}_{m \geq 1}$  nonincreasing in  $m$ ,  $d^1(K, \mathcal{X}) = \sup_{x \in K} \|x\|$ .

**Defn:** The compressive m-width of a subset  $K$  of a normed space  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined as

$$E^m(K, \mathcal{X}) \triangleq \inf_{\substack{A: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ linear} \\ \Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{X}}} \sup_{x \in K} \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|$$

**Thm. 10.5** For  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $m \leq N$ ,  $\exists$  const.  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending only on  $p$  s.t.

$$c_1 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1} \leq d^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq c_2 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

(Matching upper & lower bounds)

**Thm. 10.5** leads to:

**Cor. 10.4** For  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $m \leq N$ , the compressive width satisfies

$$c_1 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1} \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq c_2 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

for some const.  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ .

From the lower estimates, can show:

**Prop. 10.7** Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Suppose  $\exists A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$  and  $\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  s.t.  $\forall x \in B^N_p$

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{N^{\frac{1}{p}}} \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)$$

Then, for some const.  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending only on  $p$ ,

$$m \geq c_1 \ln\left(\frac{2N}{c_2}\right), \text{ provided } c > c_2$$

As a consequence of Prop. 10.7, we have the following result:

**Cor. 10.8** If the  $2N \times N$  RIC of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$  satisfies  $\delta_{2N} < 0.4246$  (approx), then necessarily

$$m \geq c \ln\left(\frac{2N}{\delta_{2N}}\right)$$

for some const.  $c > 0$  depending only on  $\delta_{2N}$ .

Today: some proofs.

**Cor. 10.6** For  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $m \leq N$ , the compressive width satisfies

$$c_1 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1} \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq c_2 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

for some const.  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ .

**Proof:** The result extends the statement on Gelfand m-width of  $B^m$  to  $B^N_p$  in compressive m-width. Hence from Thm. 10.5, it follows that

$$c_1 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1} \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq c_2 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

New:  $B^N_p$  satisfies  $-B^N_p = B^N_p$ .

From the def. of Gelfand width,  $d^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq \sup_{x \in B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A)} \|x\|$

$$d^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq \sup_{x \in B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A)} \|x\|$$

where  $A: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear map.

For any  $v \in B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A)$ ,  $Av = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\|v - \Delta(Av)\| = \|v - \Delta(0)\| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|x - \Delta(x)\|$$

Since  $-v \in B^N_p$ ,  $-v \in B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A)$  also  $\Rightarrow$

$$\|v - \Delta(Av)\| = \|v - \Delta(-Av)\| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|x - \Delta(x)\|$$

Thus, for any  $v \in B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A)$ ,

$$\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v - \Delta(Av)\| + \|v - \Delta(-Av)\|)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v - \Delta(Av)\| + \|v - \Delta(-Av)\|)$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|x - \Delta(x)\| \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

From (1) and (2), we get

$$d^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|x - \Delta(x)\| \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

This holds for any  $A$  and  $\Delta$

$$d^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p)$$

which establishes the first part of the inequality.

To obtain the second part of the inequality, assume that

$$B^N_p \cap \text{Null}(A) \neq \emptyset$$

Define the maps  $A: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\Delta(y) \in B^N_p \cap A^{-1}(y) \quad \forall y \in A(B^N_p)$$

Since map of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$

$\Delta$  is set of all  $x$  in  $B^N_p$  that map to  $y$ .

With this choice,

$$E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left[ \sup_{y \in A(B^N_p)} \|x - \Delta(y)\| \right]$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $y \in A(B^N_p)$ ,

$$x - y \in B^N_p \cap A^{-1}(x - y) \subset B^N_p$$

and  $x - y \in A(B^N_p)$  (both  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same  $A(B^N_p)$ )

Therefore,

$$E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sup_{y \in A(B^N_p)} \|x - \Delta(Ax)\|$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sup_{y \in A(B^N_p)} \|x - y\|$$

Taking the infimum over  $A$ ,

$$E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p)$$

which establishes the second part of the inequality, and concludes the proof.  $\square$

Thus, we showed that, for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $m \leq N$ ,

$$E^m(B^m, B^N_p) \asymp \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1}$$

where the relation  $E \asymp A$  means  $\exists c_1, c_2 > 0$  s.t.  $c_1 A \leq E \leq c_2 A$ .

The lower estimate

$$c_1 \min\left\{1, \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)\right\}^{-1} \leq E^m(B^m, B^N_p)$$

is of significance for CS. We have seen that, if

$m \geq c \ln\left(\frac{2N}{\delta_{2N}}\right)$ , there are matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$

with small RICs and reconstruction maps (e.g.,  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_{1/2}$ ,

DAMP) s.t.

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{N^{\frac{1}{p}}} \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right)$$

(See, e.g., Thm. 6.12). (Thus,  $m \geq c \ln\left(\frac{2N}{\delta_{2N}}\right)$  sufficient.)

Conversely, using the above, we can now s.t. the existence

of  $\Delta$  and  $A$  providing such a stability result in the

reconstructive error forces

$$m \geq c \ln\left(\frac{2N}{\delta_{2N}}\right)$$

to be satisfied. This is Prop. 10.7:

**Prop. 10.7** Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Suppose  $\exists A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$  and

$$\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{N^{\frac{1}{p}}} \ln\left(\frac{2N}{m}\right) \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_2 \approx \frac{1}{\lambda} \|y\|_2 \approx \frac{1}{\lambda} \|x\|_2$   
 Then, for some const.  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending only on  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  
 $m \geq c_1 \lambda \ln\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ , provided  $\lambda > c_2$ .  
 (insert place in the text)

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$   
 $E^*(\delta^*, \delta^*) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x - \Delta y\|_2$

From Cor. 6.6,  $\exists c > 0$  s.t.  
 $c \min\{1, \frac{\lambda \ln(\frac{m}{n})}{m}\} \leq E^*(\delta^*, \delta^*)$

Hence, for some const.  $c' > 0$ ,  
 $c' \min\{1, \frac{\lambda \ln(\frac{m}{n})}{m}\} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$

If the min in  $\ominus$  is 1, then  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{c'}$ , and  
 the hypothesis  $\lambda > c_2 \geq \frac{1}{c'}$  allows us to discard this.  
 Hence, the min in  $\ominus$  is  $\frac{\lambda \ln(\frac{m}{n})}{m}$ ,  $\Rightarrow$

$m \geq c' \lambda \ln\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$   
 (See Lemma C.6 in the text)  $\Rightarrow$   
 $m \geq c_1 \lambda \ln\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ , with  $c_1 = \frac{c'}{c_2}$ .  $\square$

Thus, the existence of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\Delta: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   
 which ensures stable recovery of all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $\Rightarrow$   
 $m \geq c_1 \lambda \ln\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$ !

Cor. 10.7. If the  $2^k$  RIC of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  satisfies  
 $\delta_{2^k} < 0.6246$  (say), then necessarily  
 $m \geq c \lambda \ln\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$

for some constant  $c > 0$  depending only on  $\delta_{2^k}$ .  
 Proof:  $\exists \beta$   $\delta_{2^k} < 0.6246$  and  $\Delta$  is the  $2^k$ -min.  
 (BP) reconstruction map, from Thm. 6.12,

$\|x - \Delta(Ax)\|_2 \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \|x\|_2$   
 for some const.  $c$  dep. only on  $\delta_{2^k}$ . Hence,  
 the statement of Cor. 10.7 follows from Prop. 10.7.  $\square$