

Journal Watch:
Transactions on Signal Processing,
May15, June-1, 2016

Saurabh Khanna,
Signal Processing for Communication, ECE, IISc

Generalized Interference Alignment - Part 1:

Theoretical Framework Authors: Lianzhong Ruan, Vincent Lau, Moe Win

- ▶ **Generalized interference alignment (GIA) problem** considers a very general setup comprising multiple transmitters, receivers, legitimate jammers and eavesdroppers.
 - ▶ Determine feasibility conditions for interference alignment
 - ▶ Design of transreceivers (precoder and decoder matrices)
- ▶ **System model:** Received signal at receiver- k :

$$\mathbf{y}_k^{(\ell)} = (\mathbf{U}_k^{(\ell)})^\dagger \left(\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K \mathbf{H}_{kj}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{z}_k^{(\ell)} \right)$$

- ▶ **GIA transceiver design problem:** Design $\{\mathbf{U}_k^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{V}_j\}$'s, such that

$$\text{Rn} \left((\mathbf{U}_k^{(\ell)})^\dagger \mathbf{H}_{kk}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}_k \right) = d_k, \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\},$$

$$\text{Rn}(\mathbf{V}_j) = d_j, \quad \forall j \in \{K+1, K+2, \dots, K\}, \quad \text{and } (\mathbf{U}_k^{(\ell)})^\dagger \mathbf{H}_{kj}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall (k, j) \in \mathcal{A}$$

Feasibility constraints in GIA are nonlinear and non-convex.

- ▶ *Feasibility of transceiver design problem \equiv algebraic independence of GIA constraints \equiv full rankness of associated Jacobian.*
- ▶ Using **algebraic geometry** concepts, shows that local and global optimums have no performance gap.

Optimal Joint Detection and Estimation Based on Decision-Dependent Bayesian Cost

Authors: Shang-Li and Xiaodong Wang, Columbia Univ.

► **Joint detection and estimation problem:**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_0 : & \quad \mathbf{y} \sim f_0(\mathbf{y}|\theta_0), & \quad \text{with } \theta_0 \sim \pi_0(\theta_0) \\ \mathcal{H}_1 : & \quad \mathbf{y} \sim f_1(\mathbf{y}|\theta_1), & \quad \text{with } \theta_1 \sim \pi_1(\theta_1)\end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{y} are observations and $\theta_{0/1}$ are unknown parameters under hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{0/1}$.

- **Goal:** Decide between \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 , and at the same time, also estimate the unknown parameter θ .
- **Coupling** between the underlying estimation and detection problems:
- The quality of parameter estimate depends upon the correctness of selected hypothesis
 - Estimate of parameter helps in deciding between the competing hypothesis
- **Naive approaches:**
- Composite hypothesis testing followed by MAP estimation.
 - Neyman-Pearson formulation: Minimize the estimation cost subject to constraint on detection performance.

Optimal Joint Detection and Estimation Based on Decision-Dependent Bayesian Cost

- ▶ **Optimal joint detection and estimation:**

A Bayes estimation cost function is proposed:

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, \delta) = \sum_{i,j \in \{0,1\}} c_{i,j} P_{i,j}(\delta = j) \times \mathbb{E}_i \left(\|\hat{\theta}_{c,j} - \theta_c\|^2 + \|\hat{\theta}_{s,j} - \theta_{s,i}\|^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{j=i\}} \mid \delta = j \right)$$

where δ is the detection output.

- ▶ If prior on hypothesis is not available:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, \delta}{\text{minimize}} \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, \delta) \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbb{P}_0(\delta = 1) \leq \alpha, \quad \mathbb{P}_1(\delta = 0) \leq \beta \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If prior on hypothesis is available:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, \delta}{\text{minimize}} \mathcal{C}(\hat{\theta}_0, \hat{\theta}_1, \delta) \\ & \text{subject to } \mathbb{P}(H_0) \mathbb{P}_0(\delta = 1) + \mathbb{P}(H_1) \mathbb{P}_1(\delta = 0) \leq \gamma \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Closed form solution for above problems is provided.
- ▶ Extension to multiple hypothesis is also discussed.

Design and Analysis of a Greedy Pursuit for Distributed Compressed Sensing

Authors: Dennis Sundman, Saikat Chatterjee, Mikael Skoglund, KTH Sweden

- ▶ **Distributed Compressed Sensing:**
Estimate \mathbf{x}_j from \mathbf{y}_j in distributed manner.

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{e}_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

- ▶ **Mixed support set model:** Each \mathbf{x}_j can be decomposed as: $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}_j^C + \mathbf{z}_j$.
 - ▶ $\mathbf{x}_1^C, \mathbf{x}_2^C, \dots, \mathbf{x}_L^C$ share a common sparse support.
 - ▶ \mathbf{z}_j is sparse local innovation component.
- ▶ **Distributed Parallel Pursuit (DIPP):** Distributed Co-SAMP type algorithm with Co-SAMP inspired fusion of common support estimates across nodes.
- ▶ **Main steps in single iteration of DIPP:**
 - ▶ Generate local support estimate by CoSamp like update
 - ▶ Exchange current support estimates with neighboring nodes
 - ▶ Apply majority rule to obtain external support estimate
 - ▶ Refine local support by using external support estimate, once again using CoSamp like update
- ▶ RIP constant based stable signal recovery guarantees under measurement noise is provided.

Analytical Derivation of the Inverse Moments of One-Sided Correlated Gram Matrices With Applications

Authors: K. Elkhailil, A. Kammoun, T. Y. Al Naffouri, and M. S Alouini

► Moments of one-sided correlated gram matrices

- Let \mathbf{H} be $n \times m$ random matrix with i.i.d zero-mean unit variance complex Gaussian random entries.
- Let Λ be deterministic PSD matrix with distinct eigenvalues.
- Then, consider the Gram matrix \mathbf{S} as

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{H}^* \Lambda \mathbf{H}.$$

- The r^{th} moments $\mu_{\Lambda}(r)$ is defined as:

$$\mu_{\Lambda}(r) \triangleq \frac{1}{m} \text{trace} (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{S}^r)).$$

- **Application-1:** BLUE estimation error in $(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e})$ problem can be rewritten in terms of inverse moments.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{blue}} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^* \Sigma_{\text{noise}}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1} = m \mu_{\Sigma_{\text{noise}}^{-1}}(-1).$$

Analytical Derivation of the Inverse Moments of One-Sided Correlated Gram Matrices With Applications

Authors: K. Elkhailil, A. Kammoun, T. Y. Al Naffouri, and M. S Alouini

- ▶ **Application-2:** Average estimation error in LMMSE for ($\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$) problem:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{lmmse}} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \text{tr}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^* \Sigma_{\text{noise}}^{-1} \mathbf{H})^{-1}.$$

- ▶ High SNR regime

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \{\|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{lmmse}} - \mathbf{x}\|^2\} = m \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{(-1)^k}{\sigma_x^{2k}} \mu_{\Sigma_{\text{noise}}^{-1}}(-k-1) + o(\sigma_x^{-2r})$$

where $l \leq p - 1$ with $p = \min(m, n - m)$.

- ▶ Low SNR regime

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{H}} \{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{lmmse}} - \mathbf{x}\|^2\} = m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sigma_x^{2k+2} \mu_{\Sigma_{\text{noise}}}(k).$$

Analytical Derivation of the Inverse Moments of One-Sided Correlated Gram Matrices With Applications

Authors: K. Elkhalil, A. Kammoun, T. Y. Al Naffouri, and M. S Alouini

- ▶ **Application-3:** Accuracy of sample covariance matrix $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$:

$$\text{Loss} = \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{R}^{1/2} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{1/2} - \mathbf{I}\|_F^2$$

- ▶ Once again, the Loss can be written in terms of inverse moments of sample covariance matrix $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$.
- ▶ **Stieltjes Transform:** For a Hermitian matrix \mathbf{A} , its Stieltjes transform is given by:

$$m_{\mathbf{A}}(z) \triangleq \int \frac{1}{\lambda - z} dF^{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m} \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} - z\mathbf{I}_m)^{-1}.$$

where $F^{\mathbf{A}}(\cdot)$ is empirical spectral distribution of \mathbf{A} .

- ▶ **Main result:** The r^{th} inverse moment can be written in terms of derivative of the Stieltjes transform.

Other Interesting Papers:

- ▶ Sequence Set Design With Good Correlation Properties Via Majorization-Minimization
- ▶ A General Design Framework for MIMO Wireless Energy Transfer With Limited Feedback
- ▶ Massive MIMO for Decentralized Estimation of a Correlated Source
- ▶ Bayes-Optimal Joint Channel-and-Data Estimation for Massive MIMO With Low-Precision ADCs
- ▶ Uplink Downlink Rate Balancing and Throughput Scaling in FDD Massive MIMO Systems
- ▶ Traffic Aware Resource Allocation Schemes for Multi-Cell MIMO-OFDM Systems
- ▶ Decentralized Sum Rate Maximization With QoS Constraints for Interfering Broadcast Channel Via Successive Convex Approximation
- ▶ SINR Constrained Beamforming for a MIMO Multi-User Downlink System: Algorithms and Convergence Analysis
- ▶ Robust Pilot Decontamination Based on Joint Angle and Power Domain Discrimination