

Journal Watch - June 18, 2016  
Sireesha Madabhushi

---

**IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology**  
Issue - May 2016

# Social-Community-Aware Resource Allocation for D2D Communication Underlying Cellular Networks

-Fang Wang, Yong Li, Zhaocheng Wang and Zhixing Yang

## Aim

Exploit ties in human-formed social networks to enhance D2D resource sharing and allocation

## Contribution

Two step coalition game

- Coalition formulation between communities
- Optimization formulation for D2D resource allocation

## Game formulation

- Defined on  $(\mathbb{I}, \nu)$ .
- Payoff for each community,  $u_i(S) = \alpha R_i(S) - \beta C_i(S)$ .
- Payoff for the coalition,  $\nu(S) = \sum_{i \in S} u_i(S)$  for  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- A collection is preferred over the other if at least one player achieves a payoff improvement without hurting other players' benefits.
- Communities decide on which coalition to join based on merge-and-split rules.

## Optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_S} y_n(S) q_n \\ & \text{s.t.} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}_S} x_{m,n}^t W R_{m,n} \delta - y_n(S) q_n \geq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_S \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_S} x_{m,n}^t \leq 1, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{M}_S, t \in \{1, \dots, T\} \\ & \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}_S} x_{m,n}^t \leq 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_S, t \in \{1, \dots, T\} \\ & x_{m,n}^t = \{0, 1\} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{M}_S, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_S, t \in \{1, \dots, T\} \\ & y_n(S) = \{0, 1\} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_S \\ & \text{where } R_{m,n} = \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_n h_{nn}^2}{P_m h_{mn}^2 + N_0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Verification

Comparison with exhaustive search algorithm and non-cooperation schemes in terms of system utility, calculation time and community payoffs.

# Stochastic Geometry Study on Device-to-Device Communication as a Disaster Relief Solution

—Akram Al-Hourani, Sithamparamanathan Kandeepan and Abbas Jamalipour

## Contribution

- Expression for network level service success probability (with chain relaying).
- Measure for the extent of disaster alleviation introduced by D2D network.
- Comparison between two disaster propagation scenarios, random BS phase-out and concentrated phase-out.

## System Model

- D2D communication only in the uplink frequency bands.
- Decode-and-forward relaying when SINR at the destination is below a threshold.
- BS and Tx deployed according to a homogenous PPP with density,  $\lambda_b$ .
- After disaster, BS density changes to  $(1 - D)\lambda_b$  where damage ratio,  $D \in [0, 1]$ .

## Approach

- Link success probability,  $P_s(P_T, \alpha, P_I, \lambda_I, W, \Theta, r_0) = Pr\{SINR \geq \Theta\}$ .
- Link level performance =  $P_{UL}P_{DL}$ .
- Network level performance for 3 scenarios
  - Cellular-only:  $\kappa_0$
  - Single hop relay network:  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_0 + H_1 - \kappa_0 H_1$
  - Chain relay network:  $\kappa_n = \kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_0 H_0^n - \kappa_{n-1} H_0^n$
- Performance difference,  $\Delta S_n = \kappa_n - \kappa_0$ ;  $DAB = D|_{\Delta S_n=0}$

## Verification

- Comparison between analytical results and Monte Carlo simulations
- Damage ratio vs network level success probability
- Performance with concentrated phase-out is lower for more severe damage ratios.

# Base-Station Sleeping Control and Power Matching for Energy-Delay Tradeoffs With Bursty Traffic

— Jian Wu, Yanan Bao, Guowang Miao, Sheng Zhou and Zhisheng Niu

## Problem

With a bound on mean delay, minimize the average total power consumption  
Obtain optimal energy-delay tradeoff through joint Sleeping Control (SC) and Power Matching (PM) optimization.

## System model

- Traffic: IPP with parameters  $(\lambda, r_1, r_2)$
- BS Power:

$$P_{BS} = \begin{cases} P_0 + \Delta_P P_t & \text{active mode} \\ P_{\text{sleep}} & \text{sleep mode} \end{cases}$$

- BS SC and PM: Sleeping threshold( $N$ ) based SC

$$\mu = \frac{B}{I} \log_2(1 + \gamma P_t) \quad (1)$$

## Approach

- Extended IPP/M/1 queueing model with N-based sleeping and adjustable service rate.
- Obtain expressions for delay and power for SC and PM.
- Energy efficient to incorporate N-based SC when

$$N + f(N, \mu, \lambda, k, r_2) > \frac{2\lambda E_s}{(1+k)(P_0 - P_{sleep})} \quad (2)$$

- Analyse the behaviour of transmit power with BS SC as compared to PM.
- Solve iteratively for  $N$  and  $P_t$  until minimum average power consumption is observed.

## Other interesting papers

- L.Lei, Y.Kuang, N.Cheng, X.Shen, Z.Zhong and C.Lin, "Delay-Optimal Dynamic Mode Selection and Resource Allocation in Device-to-Device Communications-Part I:Optimal Policy".
- L.Lei, Y.Kuang, N.Cheng, X.Shen, Z.Zhong and C.Lin, "Delay-Optimal Dynamic Mode Selection and Resource Allocation in Device-to-Device Communications-Part II: Practical Algorithm".
- L. Zhou, X. Hu, E. C.-H. Ngai, H. Zhao, S. Wang, J. Wei and V. C. M. Leung, "A Dynamic Graph-Based Scheduling and Interference Coordination Approach in Heterogeneous Cellular Networks".
- D. Hwang, B. Clerckx, S. S. Nam and T.-J. Lee, "Opportunistic Multiuser Two-Way Amplify-and-Forward Relaying With a Multiantenna Relay".