

# Journal Watch

## IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing

### October 1, 2016

Sai Thoota

Signal Processing for Communications Lab  
Department of ECE  
Indian Institute of Science

October 15, 2016

# Relay-Aided Space-Time Beamforming for Interference Networks with Partial Channel Knowledge

- Contributions

- Proposes a novel multiantenna interference management technique referred to as r-STBF.
- $\frac{KM}{K+M-1}$  multiplexing gain is achievable in a  $K$ -user MISO interference channel when the relay has  $M$  antennas.
- $\frac{KL}{K+L-1}$  multiplexing gain is achievable in a  $K \times L$  SISO X channel with an  $M$  antenna relay.

- System Model

- MIMO Relay-Aided  $K$ -User MISO Interference Channel
- Assumption: Local CSI at the Rx, Delayed CSI at the relay, CSI of the relay to receiver using channel reciprocity.

$$y^{[k]}(n) = \mathbf{h}^{[k,k]}(n)\mathbf{x}^{[k]}(n) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K \mathbf{h}^{[k,j]T}(n)\mathbf{x}^{[j]}(n) + \mathbf{h}^{[k,R]}(n)\mathbf{x}^{[R]}(n) + z^{[k]}(n) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{[R]}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{H}^{[R,k]}(n)\mathbf{x}^{[k]}(n) + \mathbf{z}^{[R]}(n), k \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (2)$$

- r-STBF explained in the next slide using a toy example ( $K = M = 2$ ).

**r-STBF: Phase 1** (2 time slots): Side-Information Learning: Only transmitters transmit and relay receives. Received signals at the users 1 and 2.

$$\mathcal{L}^{[1,1]}(1) = h_1^{[1,1]}(1)s_1^{[1]} + h_2^{[1,1]}(1)s_2^{[1]} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2,1]}(1) = h_1^{[2,1]}(1)s_1^{[1]} + h_2^{[2,1]}(1)s_2^{[1]} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{[1,2]}(2) = h_1^{[1,2]}(2)s_1^{[2]} + h_2^{[1,2]}(2)s_2^{[2]} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2,2]}(2) = h_1^{[2,2]}(2)s_1^{[2]} + h_2^{[2,2]}(2)s_2^{[2]} \quad (6)$$

(7)

Receive beamforming used at the relay to separate the two received symbols in time slot  $k$ .

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{[k]} = \left( \mathbf{H}^{[R,k]}(k) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{y}^{[R]}(k) = \mathbf{s}^{[k]} + \left( \mathbf{H}^{[R,k]}(k) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{z}^{[R]}(k) \quad (8)$$

$$k \in 1, 2, \text{ where } \mathbf{s}^{[k]} = [s_1^{[k]}, s_2^{[k]}]^T \quad (9)$$

**Phase 2** (1 time slot): Space-Time Relay Transmission: Relay forwards the received signals by applying space-time relay beamforming.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{[R]}(3) &= \gamma \left( \mathbf{V}^{[1]} \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{[1]} + \mathbf{V}^{[2]} \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{[2]} \right) \\ &= \gamma \mathbf{V}^{[1]} \mathbf{s}^{[1]} + \gamma \mathbf{V}^{[2]} \mathbf{s}^{[2]} + \gamma \mathbf{z}^{[R]} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Beamforming:  $\mathbf{V}^{[1]}$  and  $\mathbf{V}^{[2]}$  designed such that

$$\gamma \mathbf{h}^{[2,R]T}(3) \mathbf{V}^{[1]} = \mathbf{h}^{[2,1]T}(1), \quad \mathbf{h}^{[2,R]T}(3) \mathbf{V}^{[2]} = \left[ -h_2^{[2,2]*}(2), h_1^{[2,2]*}(2) \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma \mathbf{h}^{[1,R]T}(3) \mathbf{V}^{[2]} = \mathbf{h}^{[1,2]T}(2), \quad \mathbf{h}^{[1,R]T}(3) \mathbf{V}^{[1]} = \left[ -h_2^{[1,1]*}(1), h_1^{[1,1]*}(1) \right] \quad (12)$$

# PRIME: Phase Retrieval via Majorization-Minimization

- Contributions
  - Proposed low-complexity algorithms for phase retrieval based on MM framework.
  - Three algorithms: PRIME-Modulus-Single-Term, PRIME-Modulus-Both-Terms, PRIME-Power.
- Optimization Problem 1:

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| y_i - |\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x}|^2 \right|_2^2 = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} \left\| \mathbf{y} - |\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{x}|^2 \right\|_2^2 \quad (13)$$

- Optimization Problem 2:

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} \left\| \sqrt{\mathbf{y}} - |\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{x}| \right\|_2^2 \quad (14)$$

$$= \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( |\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x}|^2 - 2\sqrt{y_i} |\mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x}| + y_i \right) \quad (15)$$

$\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times N}$  is the measurement matrix,  
 $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$  is the measurement vector,  
 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times 1}$  is the complex vector to be estimated.

- PRIME-Modulus-Single-Term: To solve problem 2. Majorization of the non-convex second term using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, which leads to a simple least squares problem:

$$\left| \mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x} \right| \cdot \left| \mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \right| \geq \operatorname{Re} \left( \left| \mathbf{a}_i^H \mathbf{x} \right| \left( \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \right)^H \mathbf{a}_i \right) \quad (16)$$

- PRIME-Modulus-Both-Terms: To solve problem 2. Majorization of both the terms (for 2<sup>nd</sup>, similar to the first algorithm). For the first term, the following result is used.

$$\mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{L} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \mathbf{x}^H (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{x}_0 \right) + \mathbf{x}_0^H (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{L}) \mathbf{x}_0 \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  are Hermitian matrices such that  $\mathbf{M} \succeq \mathbf{L}$ .

- PRIME-Power: To solve problem 1. Majorization of problem 1 as a leading eigenvector problem using MM techniques.

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{X}))^2 \quad (18)$$

$$= \underset{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}}{\text{minimize}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N (\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{X}))^2}_{\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X})^H \Phi \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X})} - \sum_{i=1}^N 2y_i \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{X}) \quad (19)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^H$ ,  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H$  and  $\Phi \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{A}_i) \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{A}_i)^H$ .

# MAP Support Detection for Greedy Sparse Signal Recovery Algorithms in Compressive Sensing

- Contributions
  - Proposed a novel support detection method for greedy algorithms, referred to as MAP support detection.
  - Algorithms: MAP-MP, MAP-OMP, MAP-gOMP, MAP-CoSaMP, MAP-SP.
- System Model:

$$\mathbf{y} = \Phi \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w} \quad (20)$$

where  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N]$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  is the measurement vector,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is the vector to be estimated,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  is the Gaussian noise with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_w^2$ .

- The entries of the dictionary matrix are drawn from an IID Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance  $\frac{1}{M}$ .
- Sparsity level  $K$  is known apriori.

- $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^N$ , residual vector in  $k^{\text{th}}$  iteration is  $\mathbf{r}^{k-1}$ . Estimated support in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  iteration is  $S^{k-1}$ .

$$\mathbf{z}_n^k = \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} \overbrace{\left( \sum_{l \in \mathcal{T} \setminus S^{k-1}} \mathbf{a}_{lX_l} + \mathbf{w} \right)}^{\mathbf{r}^{k-1}} \quad (21)$$

$$= \|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2 x_n + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{S^{k-1} \cup \{n\}\}} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_{lX_l}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} + \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} \quad (22)$$

- Hypothesis test corresponding to  $x_n = 0$  and  $x_n = 1$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : z_n^k = \sum_{l \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{S^{k-1} \cup \{n\}\}} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_{lX_l}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} + \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 : z_n^k = \|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2 x_n + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{S^{k-1} \cup \{n\}\}} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{a}_{lX_l}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} + \frac{\mathbf{a}_n^T \mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{a}_n\|_2} \quad (24)$$

- Conditional probability density functions of  $z_n^k$  given  $x_n$  is used to determine the MAP ratio for a given observation  $z_n^k$ . The support index is determined by selecting the index which maximizes the MAP ratio.

# Delay Control for Temporally Fair Scheduling Policies via Opportunistic Mixing

## • Contributions

- Proposed a novel concept of scheduling policy for delay control.
- Developed a high performance, flexible delay control framework with a set of algorithms that can equip any temporally fair scheduling policy with a highly effective delay control capability.

## • System Model:

- Wireless system with  $K$  users competing for a single frequency resource. Time is divided into equal slots.
- Channel metric  $x_k$ , utility function for selecting users  $u_k = U_k(x_k)$ , service time  $\tau_k$

## • Algorithms:

- Delay control via Markov decision process (MDP).
- Delay control via round robin mixing (RRM).
- Delay control via opportunistic Bernoulli mixing (OBM).



## Other Interesting Papers

- Exploring algorithmic limits of matrix rank minimization under affine constraints.
- Multivariate fronthaul quantization for downlink C-RAN.
- Exact joint sparse frequency recovery via optimization methods.
- Design and performance analysis of noncoherent detection systems with massive receiver arrays.
- Newtonized orthogonal matching pursuit: frequency estimation over the continuum.
- Recursive identification method for piecewise ARX models: a sparse estimation approach.
- Design and analysis of broadband amplify-and-forward cooperative systems: a fractionally-spaced sampling approach.