

# Semiquantitative Group Testing

Pradip Sasmal

IISc Bangalore

02.02.2019

## Group testing

- ▶  $m$  tests designed to identify  $d$  defectives among  $n$  items. Goal : minimize  $m$
- ▶ probabilistic GT : a probability distribution is considered for  $d$ , and the goal is to minimize the expected number of tests
- ▶ combinatorial GT (CGT) :  $d$  (or at least an upper bound on  $d$ ) is known in advance
- ▶ nonadaptive GT: all the tests are designed in advance
- ▶ adaptive GT: the result of one test may be used to govern the design of other tests

continue ....

- ▶  $C_{m \times n}$  : binary test matrix,  $d_i$  : number of defectives participated in  $i$ -th test,  $y_i$  :  $i$ -th test output.
- ▶ quantitative GT (QGT):  $y_i = d_i$
- ▶ the threshold group testing (TGT) model:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_i < \eta_i \\ 1 & \text{if } d_i > \eta^i \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{if } \eta_i \leq d_i \leq \eta^i \end{cases}$$

$\eta_i$  and  $\eta^i$  fixed lower and upper threshold respectively

# Quantitative GT

- ▶ semiquantitative group testing (SQGT), motivated by a class of problems arising in genome screening experiments
- ▶ genotyping methods allow for more precise readings at the output than classical GT detectors, but still do not provide full information about the abundance of a target gene in the test
- ▶ codes constructed for CGT or TGT underutilize the potential of these sequencers, while codes constructed for QGT are prone to errors due to “overestimating” the sequencers’ precision

## SQGT vs other GTs

- ▶ test matrix  $C_{m \times n}$  : interger valued
- ▶  $c_j \in [q]^m$  : codeword of  $j$ -th item
- ▶  $c_j(i)$  :  $i$ -th entry of  $c_j$  : the amount of  $j$ -th sample used in the  $i$ -th test
- ▶  $y_i$  : non-binary value that depends on the number of defectives through a given set of thresholds
- ▶ integer-valued test matrices as opposed to real-valued matrices : that the sample preparation in genotyping performed by robotic arms that are usually programmed to sample the same amount of DNA

# Mathematical formulation

## Definition

The “SQ-sum” of a set of  $s \geq 1$  codewords,

$\chi = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^s$ , in a SQGT model with thresholds  $\eta = [\eta_1, \dots, \eta_Q]^T$ , is represented by

$y_\chi = \odot_{i=1}^s x_j = x_1 \odot x_2 \odot \dots \odot x_s$ , and describes a vector of length  $m$  with its  $k$ -th coordinate equal to

$$y_\chi(k) = r \quad \text{if } \eta_r \leq \sum_{j=1}^s x_j(k) < \eta_{r+1}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq Q,$$

where  $x_j(k)$  is the  $k$ -th coordinate of  $x_j$ , and “+” stands for real-valued addition.  $y_\chi \in [Q]^m$  is referred as the syndrome of  $\chi$ , and the underlying  $\odot$  operation as the SQ-sum.

continue ..

SQGT model:

$$y = \odot_{j=1}^d x_{ij},$$

$x_{ij}$  is the codeword of the  $j$ -th defective.

Example

Let  $d = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $n = 10$ ,  $q = 3$ ,  $Q = 4$ , and  $\eta = [0, 2, 3, 5, 7]$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Special cases of SQGT

- ▶ if  $q = Q = 2$  and  $\eta_1 = 1$ , SQRT  $\implies$  CGT.
- ▶ if  $Q - 1 = d(q - 1)$  and  $\forall r \in [Q], \eta_r = r$ , SQGT  $\implies$  QGT, with possibly non-binary test matrix
- ▶ Assume  $\eta_Q > (q - 1)d$ , SQGT with equidistant threshold :  
 $\eta_r = r\eta$ , where  $r \in [Q + 1]$  and  $y_\chi(k) = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{j=1}^s x_j(k)}{\eta} \right\rfloor$

### Definition

A set of codewords  $\chi = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^s$  with syndrome  $y_\chi$  is said to be included in another set of codewords  $Z = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^t$  with syndrome  $y_Z$ , if  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $y_\chi(i) \leq y_Z(i)$ . Denote this inclusion property by  $\chi \triangleleft Z$ , or equivalently,  $y_\chi \triangleleft y_Z$ .

- ▶ Using this definition, it can be easily verified that if  $\chi \subseteq Z$ , then  $\chi \triangleleft Z$ .



# SQ-disjunct code for error free SQGT

## Definition

(SQ-disjunct code) : A code is called a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); 0]$ -SQ-disjunct code of length  $m$  and size  $n$  if  $\forall s, t \leq d$  and for any sets of  $q$ -ary codewords  $\chi = \{\chi_i\}_{i=1}^s$  and  $Z = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^t$ ,  $\chi \triangleleft Z$  implies  $\chi \subseteq Z$ .

## Theorem

*A  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); 0]$ -SQ-disjunct code is capable of identifying any number of defectives less than or equal to  $d$  in the absence of test errors. In other words, given an error-free vector of test results  $y \in [Q]^m$ , any codeword with a syndrome included in  $y$  corresponds to a defective, and any codeword with a syndrome not included in  $y$  corresponds to a non-defective.*

## Theorem

*A code is  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); 0]$ -SQ-disjunct if and only if no codeword is included in a set of  $d$  other codewords.*

# SQ-disjunct code for SQGT with error

## Definition

A code is called a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); e]$ -SQ-disjunct code of length  $m$  and size  $n$  if for any set of  $d + 1$  codewords,  $\chi = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{d+1}$ , and for any codeword  $x_i \in \chi$ , there exists a set of coordinates,  $R_i$ , of size at least  $2e + 1$  such that  $\forall k_i \in R_i$ ,

$$y_{\{x_i\}}(k_i) > y_{\chi \setminus \{x_i\}}(k_i),$$

and  $R_i$  is disjoint of any  $R_\ell$  for which  $x_\ell \in \chi$  and  $\ell \neq i$ ; in this equation  $y_{\{x_i\}}$  is the syndrome of  $\{x_i\}$ , and  $y_{\chi \setminus \{x_i\}}$  is the syndrome of the remaining  $d$  codewords in  $\chi$ .

## Decoding Algorithm

The decoding algorithm for a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); e]$ -SQ-disjunct code of length  $m$  and size  $n$  works as follows: For each codeword  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , count the number of coordinates of  $y_{\{x_i\}}$  for which

$$y_{\{x_i\}}(k) > y(k).$$

If the number of such coordinates is at least  $e + 1$ ,  $x_i$  does not correspond to a defective. On the other hand, if the number of such coordinates is at most  $e$ , the codeword corresponds to a defective.

- ▶ The computational complexity of the decoding algorithm is  $O(mn)$ .

## Construction of SQ-disjunct codes

Construction 1 : Any code generated by multiplying a conventional binary  $d$ -disjunct code capable of correcting  $e$  errors by  $q - 1$ , where  $q - 1 \geq \eta_1$ , is a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); e]$ -SQ-disjunct code.

Construction 2 : Form a matrix  $C \in \{0, \eta, 2\eta, \dots, l\eta\}^{m \times n}$  by choosing each entry independently according to the following probability distribution,

$$P_X(x) = \begin{cases} P_0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ p_1 & \text{if } x \in \{0, \eta, 2\eta, \dots, l\eta\} \end{cases}$$

where  $l = \lfloor \frac{q-1}{\eta} \rfloor$ ,  $P_0 = \frac{d}{d+1}$  and  $p_1 = \frac{1}{l(d+1)}$ . Then  $C$  is a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); e]$ -SQ-disjunct code of length  $m_l$  and size  $n_l$  with probability at least  $1 - o(1)$ ; asymptotically,  $m_l$  equals

$$m_l \sim \frac{m_1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{l^{d+1}d^d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \binom{d}{k} \binom{l}{d-k+1} (l\eta)^k\right)}$$

where  $m_1$  is the length of a  $[q; Q; \eta; (1 : d); e]$ -SQ-disjunct code of size  $n_1 = n_l$ , obtained by multiplying the best probabilistically constructed binary  $d$ -disjunct code, capable of correcting up to  $e$  errors, by  $\eta$ .

## References

A. Emad and O. Milenkovic, "Semiquantitative Group Testing," in *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 4614-4636, Aug. 2014.