

Lecture-10: Kurtz's theorem: proof

1 Kurtz's theorem

Assumption 1.1. Consider a density-dependent family of CTMCs $((X^N : \Omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}^N)^{\mathbb{R}_+}) : N \in \mathbb{N})$. For each N , state $x \in \mathcal{Z}^N$, empirical distribution of states $a(x) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z})$, and $z, w \in \mathcal{Z}$, the transition rate $Q_{z,w}^{X^N} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for a single particle n is Lipschitz continuous in the empirical distribution.

Lemma 1.2. Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be a random process and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are constants, then for any finite $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sup_{i \in [n]} |\alpha_i| \leq C$, and $x > 0$, we have

$$\left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i(t) \right\| > x \right\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_i(t)\| > \frac{x}{nC} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $x > 0$, then we observe that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i(t)\| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_i(t)\|$. It follows that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_i(t)\| \leq \frac{x}{nC} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i(t) \right\| \leq x \right\}.$$

The result follows from taking complements on both sides. □

Definition 1.3. We define $h : [-1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as $h(t) \triangleq (1+t) \ln(1+t) - t$ for all $t \geq -1$.

Lemma 1.4 (Gronwall inequality). Consider a bounded function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(t) \leq a + b \int_0^t u(s) ds$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then $u(t) \leq ae^{bt}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. We define $v : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $v(t) = e^{-bt} \int_0^t u(s) ds$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then, we have for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\frac{d}{dt} v(t) = e^{-bt} \left(u(t) - b \int_0^t u(s) ds \right) \leq ae^{-bt}.$$

Recalling that $v(0) = 0$ and integrating on both sides, we get $v(t) \leq \frac{a}{b}(1 - e^{-bt})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Multiplying with be^{bt} followed by adding a on both sides, we get the result. □

Theorem 1.5 (Kurtz). Consider an N interacting particle CTMC $X^N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with associated empirical distribution $A^N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z})^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $(A^N : N \in \mathbb{N})$ is a density-dependent family of CTMCs with mean field model

$$\dot{a}(t) = f(a(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

If (a) Assumption 1.1 holds such that $f : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is M -Lipschitz and (b) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A^N(0) \rightarrow a(0)$ in distribution, then for a fixed $T, \epsilon > 0$ and $h : [-1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined in Definition 1.3, we have

$$P \left\{ d_T(A^N, a) > \epsilon \right\} \leq P \left\{ \|A^N(0) - a(0)\| > \frac{\epsilon}{2e^{MT}} \right\} + Ce^{-NTQh(\frac{\epsilon}{C_1 C Q 2e^{MT}})},$$

where $\sup_{w, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \|e_w - e_z\| \leq C_1$ and $|\mathcal{Z}| (|\mathcal{Z}| - 1) \leq C$.

Proof. We will show this using following steps.

Step 1. **Time change.** Let $N_{z,w} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{R}^+}$ be independent Poisson counting processes with unit rates for all $z, w \in \mathcal{Z}$. We define $\lambda_{z,w} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as $\lambda_{z,w}(s) \triangleq NA_z^N(s)Q_{zw}^{X_1^N}(A^N(s))$ as the instantaneous rate of transition from state $A^N(s)$ to $A^N(s) - e_z + e_w$. Then, the measure $\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t] \triangleq \int_0^t \lambda_{z,w}(s) ds$ defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is the mean number of transitions for particles in state z to state w . Recall that $f(a) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) N a_z Q_{zw}^{X_1^N}(a)$, and thus $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) \lambda_{z,w}(s) = f(A_z^N(s))$. Thus, we can write

$$A^N(t) = A^N(0) + \int_0^t f(A_z^N(s)) ds + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) [N_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]) - \Lambda_{z,w}(0, t)].$$

Step 2. **Lipschitz property.** Using triangle inequality and M -Lipschitz property of mean-field model f , we can write

$$\|A^N(t) - a(t)\| \leq \|A^N(0) - a(0)\| + M \int_0^t \|A_z^N(s) - a(s)\| ds + \left\| \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) \bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]) \right\|.$$

Step 3. **Bounding martingale error.** Let $r \triangleq |\mathcal{Z}|$ and $\|e_w - e_z\| \leq C_1$, then from Lemma 1.2 and union bound, we have

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) \bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]) \right\| > \epsilon \right\} \leq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t])\| > \frac{N\epsilon}{C_1 r(r-1)} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

From Assumption 1.1, it follows that $\max_{z,w,a} Q_{z,w}^{X_1^N} \leq \bar{Q}$. From the definition of $\Lambda_{z,w}$, we get $\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t] = \int_0^t NA_z^N(s)Q_{z,w}^{X_1^N}(A^N(s)) ds \leq N\bar{Q}t$. It follows that for all $w, z \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, N\bar{Q}T]} \bar{N}_{zw}(t) \geq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]).$$

Hence, $P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]) > x \right\} \leq P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, N\bar{Q}T]} \bar{N}_{zw}(t) > x \right\}$ for all $x > 0$. From Lemma ??, we obtain

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, N\bar{Q}T]} \|\bar{N}_{zw}(t)\| > \frac{N\epsilon}{C_1 r(r-1)} \right\} \leq 2e^{-N\bar{Q}Th(\frac{\epsilon}{r(r-1)C_1\bar{Q}T})}.$$

Step 4. **Applying Gronwall.** Applying union bound and (1), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \|A^N(t) - a(t)\| - M \int_0^t \|A^N(s) - a(s)\| ds \right| > 2\epsilon \right\} \\ & \leq P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \|A^N(0) - a(0)\| + \left\| \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{w \neq z} \frac{1}{N} (e_w - e_z) \bar{N}_{zw}(\Lambda_{z,w}(0, t]) \right\| \right| > 2\epsilon \right\} \\ & \leq P \left\{ \|A^N(0) - a(0)\| > \epsilon \right\} + 2r(r-1)e^{-N\bar{Q}Th(\frac{\epsilon}{r(r-1)C_1\bar{Q}T})}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\|A^N(s) - a(s)\|$ is bounded on $[0, T]$, and thus we can apply Gronwall inequality to $u(t) \triangleq \|A^N(t) - a(t)\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, if $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (u(t) - M \int_0^t u(s) ds) \leq 2\epsilon$, then $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} u(t)e^{-MT} \leq 2\epsilon$. Therefore, we obtain

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|A^N(t) - a(t)\| e^{-MT} > 2\epsilon \right\} \leq P \left\{ \|A^N(0) - a(0)\| > \epsilon \right\} + 2r(r-1)e^{-N\bar{Q}Th(\frac{\epsilon}{r(r-1)C_1\bar{Q}T})}.$$

The result follows from the definition of $a(0)$ and taking $\epsilon' = 2\epsilon e^{MT}$. \square

2 Asymptotic independence

Theorem 2.1 (DeFinetti). *If $X^N(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^N$ is exchangeable for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A^N(0) = a(0)$, then for all finite $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathcal{Z}^F$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \cap_{n \in F} \{X_n^N(0) = z_n\} = P \cap_{n \in F} \{X_n^\infty(0) = z_n\}.$$

Remark 1 (Propagation of chaos). The above theorem is called *Boltzmann property* or *chaos*. The theorem states that initial chaos propagates.

Theorem 2.2. *Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $F \subseteq [N]$ finite. Let $X^N(0) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Z}^N$ be an exchangeable random vector, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A^N(0) \rightarrow a(0)$ in distribution, and Assumption 1.1 holds. Then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (X_n^N(t), n \in F) = (U_n(t), n \in F)$ in distribution for $U(t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ i.i.d. with distribution $a(t)$.*

Proof. Since $X^N(0)$ is exchangeable and the marginal evolution of each particle is identical and depends only on the empirical distribution A^N , it follows that $X^N(t)$ is exchangeable at all times $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\Phi_n \in C_b(\mathcal{Z})$ be any bounded continuous function for all $n \in F$. Then it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \prod_{n \in F} \Phi_n(X_n^N(t)) = \prod_{n \in F} \mathbb{E} \Phi_n(U_n(t)) = \prod_{n \in F} \langle \Phi_n, a(t) \rangle,$$

where $\langle a, b \rangle \triangleq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} b_z z_z$ for all $b \in C_b(\mathcal{Z})$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z})$. We will show this for $|F| \in \{1, 2\}$ and it follows for any finite F by induction.

$|F| = 1$. Without loss of generality, we take $F = \{1\}$. From the exchangeability of $X^N(t)$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \Phi_1(X_1^N(t)) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \Phi_1(X_n^N(t)) \right] = \mathbb{E} \langle \Phi_1, A^N(t) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Since $A^N(t) \rightarrow a(t)$ in distribution, we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \langle \Phi_1, A^N(t) \rangle = \langle \Phi_1, a(t) \rangle = \mathbb{E} \Phi_1(U_1)$.

$|F| = 2$. Without loss of generality, we take $F = \{1, 2\}$. We look at the following difference

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{n=1}^2 \Phi_n(X_n^N(t)) - \mathbb{E} \prod_{n=1}^2 \langle \Phi_n, A^N(t) \rangle + \mathbb{E} \prod_{n=1}^2 \langle \Phi_n, A^N(t) \rangle - \prod_{n=1}^2 \langle \Phi_n, a(t) \rangle.$$

We observe that the RHS of the above equation is sum of two difference. We use exchangeability property of X^N to write the following equation

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_1(X_1^N(t))\Phi_2(X_2^N(t))] = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \mathbb{E} \sum_{n \neq m} \Phi_1(X_n^N(t))\Phi_2(X_m^N(t)). \quad (3)$$

From exchangeability property of X^N , adapting (2) for Φ_1, Φ_2 , we also write the following,

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{n=1}^2 \langle \Phi_n, A^N(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \Phi_1(X_n^N(t))\Phi_2(X_n^N(t)) + \sum_{n \neq m} \Phi_1(X_n^N(t))\Phi_2(X_m^N(t)) \right]. \quad (4)$$

Since Φ_1, Φ_2 are bounded, we define $v \triangleq \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} |\Phi_1(z)| \vee |\Phi_2(z)| < \infty$. Taking the difference of (3) and (4), we upper bound the first difference as

$$\frac{v^2}{N} + \left(1 - \frac{(N-1)}{N}\right) \mathbb{E} \prod_{n=1}^2 \Phi_n(X_n^N(t)) \leq \frac{2v^2}{N}.$$

We can rewrite the second difference as

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Phi_1(z)\Phi_2(z)(\mathbb{E}(A_z^N(t))^2 - a_z(t)^2) + \sum_{w \neq z} \Phi_1(z)\Phi_2(w)(\mathbb{E}A_z^N(t)A_w^N(t) - a_z(t)a_w(t)).$$

For $w \neq z$, we can write the difference

$$\mathbb{E}A_z^N(t)A_w^N(t) - a_z(t)a_w(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[(A_z^N(t) - a_z(t))A_w^N(t)\right] + a_z(t)\mathbb{E}(A_w^N(t) - a_w(t)).$$

Since $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A^N(t) = a(t)$ in distribution, $A^N(t), a(t) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})$, and v is the upper bound on $|\Phi_1|, |\Phi_2|$ we can upper bound the second difference as

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} v^2 \mathbb{E}(A_z^N(t) - a_z(t))^2 + 2v^2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(A_z^N(t) - a_z(t)).$$

Taking limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get the result.

□