

E1 245 - Online Prediction and Learning, Aug-Dec 2014
Homework #2

1. *Exp-Concavity of common loss functions (16 points)*

- (a) (4 points) Show that if for a $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\eta > 0$ the function $F(z) := e^{-\eta l(z,y)}$ is concave, then $l(z,y)$ is a convex function of z .
- (b) (4 points) Show that the relative entropy loss $l(x,y) := y \log \frac{y}{x} + (1-y) \log \frac{1-y}{1-x}$, $x, y \in [0, 1]$, is 1-exp-concave for all values¹ of y .
- (c) (4 points) Show that the square loss $l(x,y) := (x-y)^2$, $x, y \in [0, 1]$, is $\frac{1}{2}$ -exp-concave for all values of y .
- (d) (4 points) Show that the absolute value loss $l(x,y) := |x-y|$, $x, y \in [0, 1]$, cannot be η -exp-concave for any $\eta > 0$.

2. *Competing with switching sequences (12 points)*

Consider learning to play one out of N actions at each time $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ in the full-information setting, and where each action incurs a loss bounded in $[0, 1]$.

- (a) (6 points) Suppose an ambitious algorithm designer wants to design a strategy that can perform as well as the best *offline solution*, i.e., the best possible sequence of actions that can be chosen with *advance information* about all actions' losses across all time². Let $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^T$ represent the set of *all* possible sequences of actions at times $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$. Show that, when T and N are large, any algorithm that the designer produces *cannot* achieve sublinear regret (in expectation over the algorithm's randomness) with respect to the best-performing sequence in the class \mathcal{S} . (You may assume that the minimax lower bound we proved in class for the absolute loss also applies to linear losses as in our case.)
- (b) (6 points) Consider the following compromise. For a sequence of actions $(i_1, \dots, i_T) \in \mathcal{S}$, define its *complexity* to be $c(i_1, \dots, i_T) := \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{1}\{i_t \neq i_{t-1}\}$, i.e., the number of times the sequence switches actions, and let $\mathcal{S}_m := \{x \in \mathcal{S} : c(x) \leq m\}$, where $m \leq T - 1$. Give an online algorithm³ that achieves (expected) regret $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{2}} \left((m+1) \log N + m \log \frac{T}{m}\right)\right)$ with respect to the best sequence of actions⁴ in \mathcal{S}_m .

3. (4 points) Show that the entropic regularizer $R(w) := \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \log w_i$ is $\frac{1}{B}$ -strongly convex over $\{w \in \mathbb{R}_+^N : \|w\|_1 \leq B\}$ with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_1$ norm, for $B > 0$.

¹By convention, we take $\frac{0}{0} := 0$ & $0 \cdot \log 0 := 0$.

²Denoting the loss of action i at time t by $l(i,t)$, the best offline solution, with information in advance, plays arm $\arg \min_i l(i,t)$ at each time t . This is the least loss that can ever be suffered assuming only one arm can be played at any instant.

³Disregard issues of computational efficiency.

⁴Feel free to use the bound $\binom{n}{k} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

4. *Sequential probability estimation (5 points)*

Consider estimating the probability of a string of symbols from an alphabet \mathcal{Y} , $|\mathcal{Y}| = m$, with respect to the log loss. Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all i.i.d. experts, i.e., all experts f that predict conditional probability $f_t(j|y^{t-1}) = f(j)$ (with $f(j) > 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^m f(j) = 1$) independently of t and y^{t-1} . For a particular sequence $y^T \in \mathcal{Y}^T$, determine the best expert (i.e., the one having the smallest cumulative loss) in \mathcal{F} and its cumulative loss.

5. (8 points) Establish the following lemmas used in the proof of the regret bound for the Universal Portfolio algorithm.

(a) (5 points) Let $b^* \in \Delta_m$ represent a Constantly Rebalancing Portfolio (CRP) on the (non-negative) unit simplex in \mathbb{R}_+^m . Let $\text{Ball}_\varepsilon(b^*) := \{(1 - \varepsilon)b^* + \varepsilon b : b \in \Delta_m\}$ for $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. If $\text{Vol}(A)$ denotes the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional volume⁵ of a set $A \subseteq \Delta_m$, then show that $\text{Vol}(\text{Ball}_\varepsilon(b^*)) = \varepsilon^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta_m)$.

(b) (3 points) Show that any CRP strategy $b \in \text{Ball}_\varepsilon(b^*)$ achieves wealth $S_T(b, x^T) \geq S_T(b^*, x^T)(1 - \varepsilon)^T$ in T investment periods.

6. *Online Gradient Descent for portfolio selection (6 points)*

Consider running (projected) Online Gradient Descent for the universal portfolio problem with m stocks. Under the condition that the market vectors $x_t \equiv (x_{1,t}, \dots, x_{m,t})$ satisfy $1 \geq x_{i,t} \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $t \geq 1$, show that (projected) OGD, with an appropriately tuned learning rate, gets regret at most $\frac{\sqrt{2mT}}{\varepsilon}$ at the end of T rounds⁶.

⁵Alternatively, $\text{Vol}(A)$ can be defined to be the probability of a point lying in the set A when it is drawn uniformly from Δ_m .

⁶Note that OGD is an efficient algorithm as compared to Cover's Universal Portfolio algorithm, but its regret performance is worse (UP enjoys $O(m \log T)$ regret).