## E1 245 - Online Prediction and Learning, Aug-Dec 2019 Homework #4

1. Hoeffding's inequality

Prove the following inequality for independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with values in [0, 1].

$$orall arepsilon \geq 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\left[rac{\sum_{t=1}^T X_t}{T} - rac{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\left[X_t
ight]}{T} \geq arepsilon
ight] \leq e^{-2Tarepsilon^2}.$$

Hint: For any  $\lambda > 0$  and a non-negative random variable Z,  $\mathbb{P}[Z \ge \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\lambda Z} \ge e^{\lambda \varepsilon}\right]$ ; use Markov's inequality, Hoeffding's lemma and optimize over  $\lambda > 0$ .

2. Bandit algorithms

Consider the iid<sup>1</sup> stochastic bandit problem with *K* Bernoulli-reward arms and total time *T*. Recall that if  $\mu_i$  denotes the expected reward of the *i*th arm, then the regret of a bandit algorithm that plays an arm  $I_t \in [N]$  at each time  $1 \le t \le T$ , and observes only the (random) reward from the chosen arm, is defined to be  $R(T) := T \cdot \max_i \mu_i - \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\mu_{I_t}]$ .

Explain briefly which of the following algorithms will/will not always achieve sublinear (pseudo-) regret with time horizon *T* (Recall: R(T) is sublinear  $\Leftrightarrow \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{R(T)}{T} = 0$ ).

- (a) Play all arms exactly once. For each arm *i*, initialize  $s_i$  to be its observed reward and  $n_i := 1$ . At each time  $t \le T$ , play  $I_t := \arg \max_i s_i / n_i$  (break ties in any fixed manner), get (stochastic) reward  $R_t$  and update  $s_{I_t} \leftarrow s_{I_t} + R_t$ ,  $n_{I_t} \leftarrow n_{I_t} + 1$ .
- (b) Play all arms exactly once. For each arm *i*, initialize  $s_i$  to be its observed reward and  $n_i := 1$ . At each time  $t \le T$ , toss an independent coin with probability of heads  $p := 1/\sqrt{T}$ . Play  $I_t := \arg \max_i s_i/n_i$  (break ties in any fixed manner) if the coin lands heads, else play a uniformly random arm, get (stochastic) reward  $R_t$  and update  $s_{I_t} \leftarrow s_{I_t} + R_t$ ,  $n_{I_t} \leftarrow n_{I_t} + 1$ .
- (c) Same as the previous part but with p := 1/T.
- (d) Same as the previous part but with p := 1/K.
- (e) For each arm  $i \in [N]$ , initialize  $u_i = 1, v_i = 1$ . At each time  $t \leq T$ , sample independent random variables  $\theta_i(t) \sim \text{Beta}(u_i, v_i)$ , and play  $I_t := \arg \max_i \theta_i(t)$  (break ties in any fixed manner). Get (stochastic) reward  $R_t$  and update  $u_{I_t} \leftarrow u_{I_t} + R_t$ ,  $v_{I_t} \leftarrow v_{I_t} + (1 R_t)$ .
- (f) Play all arms exactly once. For each arm *i*, initialize  $s_i$  to be its observed reward and  $n_i := 1$ . At each time  $t \le T$ , let  $A_t := \arg \max_i s_i / n_i$  and  $B_t := \arg \max_{i \ne A_t} s_i / n_i$  denote the best and second-best arms in terms of sample mean, respectively. Play  $I_t \in \{A_t, B_t\}$  chosen uniformly at random, get (stochastic) reward  $R_t$  and update  $s_{I_t} \leftarrow s_{I_t} + R_t$ ,  $n_{I_t} \leftarrow n_{I_t} + 1$ .
- 3. Experts game with stochastic observations

Consider a stochastic online learning problem with 2 actions or arms  $\{1,2\}$  with Bernoulli reward distributions. Moreover, suppose you know that the arms' Bernoulli parameters  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  can be either  $(\mu_-, \mu_+)$  or  $(\mu_+, \mu_-)$ , where  $\mu_- := \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $\mu_+ := \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$ , for an unknown  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

At each round  $1 \le t \le T$ , a learner plays a single action  $I_t \in \{1,2\}$  and gets observations as described below. Recall that the (pseudo) regret of the learner after *T* rounds is  $\varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{E}$ [number of times arm with mean  $\mu_{-}$  is played in *T* rounds].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>independent and identically distributed

- (a) Suppose that after each play, the learner only observes an independent reward sample from the action which it plays. Describe an algorithm for playing arms and a non-trivial (sub-linear in *T*) regret bound for it.
- (b) Suppose now that after each play  $I_t$ , the learner observes independent reward samples from *both* the actions' reward distributions, i.e., it observes  $X_1(t) \sim \text{Ber}(\mu_1)$  and  $X_2(t) \sim \text{Ber}(\mu_2)$  (note that the reward earned by the learner is the same, but the other, unplayed arm's reward is also observed). Design an algorithm with as small regret in *T* rounds as possible. (A concrete regret bound is expected, but without needing to be precise about constants.)

(Hint: You can achieve much better regret than before, with a simpler strategy.)

- 4. Exponential Weights as active Online Mirror Descent
  - (a) Prove the following result. Suppose (active) OMD is run on the convex decision set  $\mathscr{K}$  with a Legendre function R, where R is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex with respect to some norm  $|| \cdot ||$  on  $\mathscr{K}$ ,  $R(x) R(w_1) \leq B^2 \ \forall x \in \mathscr{K}$ , and the gradients of the loss functions are at most G in the dual<sup>2</sup> norm  $|| \cdot ||_*$ . Then, with a step size  $\eta := \frac{B}{G}\sqrt{\frac{2}{T}}$ , the T-round regret of OMD is at most  $BG\sqrt{\frac{2T}{\alpha}}$ . *Hint: In the regret bound for active OMD in class, find an upper bound for the term*  $D_R(w_t, w'_{t+1}) - D_R(w_{t+1}, w'_{t+1})$ .
  - (b) Using this and the previous exercises, argue an appropriate regret bound for the Exponential weights algorithm run on the simplex  $\Delta_d$ , and with linear loss functions having weights in [0, 1].
- 5. Worst case regret for Explore-Then-Commit

Consider the Explore-Then-Commit bandit algorithm<sup>3</sup>, that we studied in class, run on a 2-armed bandit with Bernoulli-distributed rewards and parameters (means)  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in [0, 1]$ , a time horizon of *T* and an initial exploration phase of  $\varepsilon T$  rounds with  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $\Delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$ .

- (a) Show that there is a choice of  $\varepsilon$ , depending only on the time horizon T and *not depending* on  $\Delta$ , under which the regret of the algorithm is bounded above by  $c(\Delta + T^{2/3})$ , where c > 0 is a universal constant.<sup>4</sup>
- (b) Now suppose the commitment time is allowed to be data-dependent, which means the algorithm explores each arm alternately until some condition based on the observations is met, after which it commits to a single arm for the remainder. Design a condition such that the regret of the resulting algorithm can be bounded by  $c'\left(\Delta + \frac{\log T}{\Delta}\right)$  where c' is a universal constant. Note: Your condition should only depend on the observed rewards and the time horizon, and *not* on  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  or  $\Delta$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recall that for a norm  $||\cdot||$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , its dual norm is defined by  $||y||_* := \max_{x:||x||=1} x^T y$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The algorithm simply explores round-robin in an initial exploration phase and commits to the best-looking arm for the remainder of time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This is known to be the best problem-independent regret rate with T that non-data (and non-problem) dependent exploration with commitment can buy.