Academic Year: 2025	**Term:** August Term	**Department:** Electrical Communication Engineering
Instructor: Sundar Rajan B	**Course:** Digital Communication	**Course Code:** E2 211

Course Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded	Percentage
42	32	76

Did the course meet the learning outcomes indicated at the beginning of the course/scheme of instruction?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
29 (90.63%)	3 (9.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.91

Were an adequate number of topics covered in the course?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
28 (87.5%)	3 (9.38%)	1 (3.13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.84

Were the topics covered in sufficient depth?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
23 (71.88%)	8 (25%)	1 (3.13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.69

Were homeworks/problem sets/assignments useful to enhance understanding of the topics covered?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
26 (81.25%)	5 (15.63%)	1 (3.13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.78

Was sufficient reference/reading material available?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
28 (87.5%)	3 (9.38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.13%)	4.78

Were the sessional assessments appropriate for the topics covered?

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
28 (87.5%)	3 (9.38%)	1 (3.13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.84

Were the average hours per week spent on the course appropriate for the number of credits? (For e.g., 3 credit course =

APPROPRIATE HOURS AS EXPECTED(5)	MORE HOURS THAN EXPECTED(3)	FEWER HOURS THAN EXPECTED(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
30 (93.75%)	2 (6.25%)	0 (0%)	4.88

If your course has a lab component (for 1:1, 2:1 or 3:1 courses), did the lab complement the course lectures and lead to

SATISFACTORY(5)	UNSATISFACTORY(1)	NOT APPLICABLE(0)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
11 (34.38%)	0 (0%)	21 (65.63%)	5.00

If your course has a lab component (for 1:1, 2:1 or 3:1 courses), was the given time appropriate/sufficient for the assign

SATISFACTORY(5)	UNSATISFACTORY(1)	NOT APPLICABLE(0)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 3)
11 (34.38%)	0 (0%)	21 (65.63%)	5.00

Your overall rating of the course:

EXCELLENT(5)	GOOD(4)	AVERAGE(3)	FAIR(2)	POOR(1)	WEIGHTED AVG. (Out of 5)
27 (84.38%)	5 (15.63%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4.84

What positives would you highlight about the course and/or the instructor(s)?

Sl. No	Answers

Sl. No	Answers
1	The course instructor helps us get interested in the class, he teaches in such a way being a first morning class.
2	Instructor's teaching is awesome
3	Excellent teaching!
4	The only professor to conduct the tutorial/ doubt sessions by himself instead of sending TAs, adequate time for exams, highly knowledgeable with great experience, very passionate about the subject, and have a great teaching skills.
5	It is a brilliant course. Never had a similar communications background but this course motivated me to pursue a career in this domain. Professor Sundar Rajan is a great teacher. He teaches in a way we cannot help but start liking the subject.
6	Very enthusiastic and passionate about the subject. Every topic taught in a very general way and always answers doubts in class. Very nice set of topics covered in an interesting way and motivated students to look into topics not in the syllabus for better understanding. Always 1 hour before the class starts to write important mathematics and diagrams on the boards to avoid wasting time making those while explaining in class!
7	The topics were taught in depth with good emphasis on the basics (for a good foundational understanding)
8	I feel so blessed that I got an opportunity to study under the professor. Truly greatful of that. Thank you very much, Sir.

Instructor Feedback Summary

Registered	Responded
42	32

Sl No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Satisfactory	Poor	Weighted Average(Out of 5)
1	Did the instructor adhere to the scheduled class hours/timings?	30	2	0			4.88
2	Were the lectures well-paced?	26	6	0	0	0	4.81
3	Were the assignments/sessional examinations/quizzes graded on time?	25	6	0	0	1	4.69
4	Were the sessional assessments fair?	24	6	1	0	1	4.63
5	Were the assignments, quizzes, and mid-term exams adequately discussed?	25	5	1	0	1	4.66
6	Did the instructor motivate you to learn more about the subject?	25	5	2	0	0	4.72
7	Did the instructor encourage student participation in class?	23	6	1	1	1	4.53
8	Was the instructor effective in communicating the contents of the course?	24	6	1	1	0	4.66
9	Your overall rating of the instructor:	25	5	1	1	0	4.69

What are your suggestions for improvement?

SI No.	Answers
1	I want More topics to be covered so that i can learn more from him
2	sometimes discrepancies in math, being harsh with students while asking doubts makes it uncomfortable to ask doubts freely.
3	If possible, please teach how to simulate a digital communication system, and the caveats in that, or how we can simulate it ourselves using C++ or python, then incorporate all the error correcting codes into that simulation to see performance (separate tutorial session would be nice for this). Would be a nice addition to the course.