

E1 244: Detection and Estimation Theory

Spring 2011 – Test 1 Solutions

1. Conditioned on θ , a random variable Y has Gaussian distribution with unknown mean θ and known variance σ^2 . It is known that prior distribution of θ itself is Gaussian with known mean θ_0 and known variance σ_0^2 .

- (a) Find the MMSE estimator of θ when a single sample of Y is observed.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_\theta(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \\
 w(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right] \\
 w(\theta|y) &= \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_0\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} - \frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_0\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} - \frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] d\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

After completing the square inside exponential term and some manipulation,

$$w(\theta|y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma_0^2\sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2+\sigma^2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(\theta - \frac{y\sigma_0^2 + \mu_0\sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}\right)^2}{2 \frac{\sigma_0^2\sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}}\right]$$

Hence,

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MMSE}}(y) = \mathbb{E}_\theta w(\theta|y) = \frac{y\sigma_0^2 + \mu_0\sigma^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}$$

- (b) What happens when $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow 0$?

Solution: As $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MMSE}}(y) \rightarrow \mu_0$. This is intuitive since as $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow 0$, θ takes value μ_0 with probability 1.

- (c) What happens when $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$? What kind of estimator is this?

Solution: As $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MMSE}}(y) \rightarrow y$. This is nothing but the Maximum Likelihood Estimate, since $\sigma_0^2 \rightarrow \infty$ can be thought of as having no prior knowledge about θ .

2. **Random Access.** In a given slot (say, an hour), the number K of students accessing IEEE Xplore is Poisson distributed with unknown mean λ . Each time a student access

IEEE Xplore, there is a probability p , also unknown, that the web-site is available, and with probability $1 - p$, the student will get the message “*All available online seats are currently occupied*”. As system administrator, you want to estimate the parameters λ and p . For some slot, you record $K = k$ students accessing IEEE Xplore, and their outcomes $\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k]$, where $Y_i = 1$ if the access was successful (i.e., the website was available) and $Y_i = 0$ otherwise.

- (a) Find the sufficient statistics (of the smallest dimension) for the parameter $\theta = (p, \lambda)$.

Solution: The pdf of the observation given θ is

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}, k) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^k y_i} (1-p)^k$$

By the NF factorization theorem, $(k, \sum_{i=1}^k y_i)$ is sufficient.

- (b) Assuming p is known, find the ML estimator $\hat{\lambda}_{ML}$ of λ . Is the estimator unbiased? What is the MSE of the ML estimator?

Solution: When p is known, the log likelihood function is

$$l_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}, k) = -\lambda + k \log \lambda + g$$

where g contains terms that are independent of λ . The ML estimate of λ is then given by the solution to

$$-1 + \frac{k}{\lambda} = 0,$$

which yields

$$\hat{\lambda}_{ML} = k.$$

Since K is Poisson distributed with mean λ , the ML estimator is unbiased. Moreover, its MSE (variance) is λ as well.

- (c) Suppose neither λ nor p is known. Does a UMVUE estimator for p exist? If so, find it. If not, show why not.

Solution: The log-likelihood function is given by

$$l_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}, k) = h(k, \lambda) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right) \log \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) + k \log(1-p)$$

Writing the pdf as an exponential form,

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}, k) = \exp \left\{ k \log((1-p)\lambda) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right) \log \frac{p}{1-p} - \lambda - \log k! \right\},$$

from which $(k, \sum_{i=1}^k y_i)$ is a complete sufficient statistic. Now consider ML estimation of p . From the log-likelihood function,

$$\hat{p}_{ML} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K Y_i$$

The ML estimate is unbiased, because

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{p}_{ML} = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{K}\sum Y_i|K\right)\right) = p$$

Thus, the ML estimate is unbiased estimate of p , and a function of the complete sufficient statistic. Hence, it is also the UMVUE of p .

3. **Mixed Cost Function.** Consider the following model:

$$\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n}, \tag{1}$$

where

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is the observation vector
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a known data matrix whose entries are $[\mathbf{h}_1^T, \mathbf{h}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n^T]^T$, with $h_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$
- $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is an unknown weight vector
- $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is a noise vector, also unknown

(a) Find a Least Squares (LS) estimate of \mathbf{w} given the observation. Make any reasonable assumption on the matrix A that you may need.

Solution: The LS problem can be stated as:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{y} - A\mathbf{w}).$$

Writing the above as a quadratic function of \mathbf{w} , we have,

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}^T A\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^T A^T A\mathbf{w}. \tag{2}$$

Taking the gradient and equating to zero results in

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = -2A^T \mathbf{w} + 2A^T A\mathbf{w} = 0. \tag{3}$$

This results in $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{LS} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y}$. Note that the matrix A should have full rank in order for the LS problem to have unique solution.

(b) Find an MMSE estimate of \mathbf{w} if $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2 I_{n \times n})$, and the entries of \mathbf{w} are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2)$ and independent of \mathbf{n} .

Solution: Since \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{y} are jointly Gaussian, defining $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{w}^T, \mathbf{y}^T)^T$, we have that

$$\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_w^2 I & \sigma_w^2 A^T \\ \sigma_w^2 A & \sigma_w^2 A A^T + \sigma_n^2 I \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Hence, the probability density function of \mathbf{w} conditioned on \mathbf{y} is Gaussian with mean

$$\mu_{\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}} = \sigma_w^2 A^T (\sigma_w^2 A A^T + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} \mathbf{y},$$

Finally, since the conditional mean is the MMSE estimate, $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MMSE} = \mu_{\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{y}}$.

(c) Let

$$\rho(r_i) \triangleq \begin{cases} r_i^2/2, & |r_i| \leq b \\ b|r_i| - b^2/2, & |r_i| > b \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

i. Plot $\rho(r_i)$. Is it a convex function of r_i ?

Solution: The plot is simple to draw. It is clear that the function is linear for $|r_i| > b$, and hence there exist two points r_{1i} and r_{2i} such that the line joining the two lies below the curve. Thus, it is a non convex function in r_i .

ii. Find the derivative of $\rho(r_i)$.

Solution: The derivative can be easily shown to be

$$\frac{\partial \rho(r_i)}{\partial r_i} = \begin{cases} r_i, & |r_i| \leq b \\ b \operatorname{sgn}(r_i), & |r_i| > b \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

iii. Define $r_i \triangleq y_i - \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{w}$, where \mathbf{y}_i is the i -th component of \mathbf{y} . Also, let $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{nn}^T) = \operatorname{diag}[\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2]$, and $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{n}) = 0$, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are known. Assuming that the entries of the noise vector conditioned on the observation are independent, for the model in (1), find an estimate of \mathbf{w} that minimizes the cost function $J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(r_i/\sigma_i)$, where $\rho(r_i)$ is as defined in (4).

Hint: You may need an iterative solution.

Solution: Define $q(r_i/\sigma_i) \triangleq \frac{1}{r_i} \frac{\partial \rho(r_i/\sigma_i)}{\partial r_i}$. Taking the derivative of the cost function and equating it to zero gives:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{h}_i r_i q(r_i/\sigma_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{h}_i (\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{w}) \cdot q(r_i/\sigma_i) = 0 \quad (6)$$

The above equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{h}_i q(r_i/\sigma_i) \mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{h}_i \mathbf{h}_i^T q(r_i/\sigma_i) \mathbf{w}. \quad (7)$$

Writing the above in matrix form as $A^T Q \mathbf{y} = A^T Q A \mathbf{w}$, which results in $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (A^T Q A)^{-1} A^T Q \mathbf{y}$, where the matrix Q is defined as follows:

$$Q = \operatorname{diag}(q(r_1/\sigma_1), q(r_2/\sigma_2), \dots, q(r_n/\sigma_n)). \quad (8)$$

We start with an initial guess for \mathbf{w} and iteratively find the optimum \mathbf{w} .