

Supplemental Material for “On the Performance of Distributed Antenna Array Systems with Quasi-Orthogonal Pilots”

Anubhab Chowdhury*, Pradip Sasmal*, Chandra R. Murthy*, and Ribhu Chopra†

I. INTRODUCTION

In this document, we present an unified minimum mean square error (MMSE) estimator for uplink training for distributed antenna array (DAA) massive multiple-input-multiple-output (DAA-mMIMO) systems [1], which can be generalized to orthogonal pilot reusing (OPR) as well mutually unbiased orthonormal basis (MUOB)-based pilot codebooks [2]. We then provide the detailed steps involved in the derivation of the uplink and downlink spectral efficiencies (SEs).

II. CHANNEL ESTIMATION

We consider a TDD DAA-mMIMO MIMO system consisting of M APs equipped with N antennas each. The APs jointly serve K single antenna UEs. The channel vector between the m th AP and k th the UE is modeled as $\mathbf{h}_{mk} = \sqrt{\beta_{mk}} \mathbf{f}_{mk} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, where the pathloss component β_{mk} is assumed to be constant for several coherence blocks, and the fast fading channel, $\mathbf{f}_{mk} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_N)$, is to be estimated at the start of each coherence interval. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ be the index set of all UEs, and the corresponding pilot sequences be $\Phi \triangleq \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_K\}$. We consider the use of pilots of length τ_p .

Let, the k th UE transmits a pilot signal φ_k with an energy $\mathcal{E}_{p,k}$, then the received signal at the m th AP can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y}_{p,m} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}\tau_p} \mathbf{h}_{mk} \varphi_k^T + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}\tau_p} \mathbf{h}_{mi} \varphi_i^T + \mathbf{W}_{p,m} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times \tau_p}, \quad (1)$$

where, each columns of $\mathbf{W}_{p,m}$ is distributed as $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, N_0 \mathbf{I}_N)$. Now, to estimate the k th UE’s channel, the m th AP post-multiply (1) with φ_k^* , and the processed becomes

$$\mathbf{y}_{p,m} = \mathbf{Y}_{p,m} \varphi_k^* = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}\tau_p} \mathbf{h}_{mk} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}\tau_p} \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi} + \mathbf{W}_{p,m} \varphi_k^* \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}, \quad (2)$$

with $\mathbf{W}_{p,m} \varphi_k^* \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, N_0 \mathbf{I}_N)$. The MMSE estimate of the k th UE’s channel at the m th AP, denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}$, can be evaluated as [3]

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} = \frac{\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{h}_{mk}^H \mathbf{y}_{p,m}]}{\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{y}_{p,m}^H \mathbf{y}_{p,m}]} \mathbf{y}_{p,m}$$

*The authors are with the Dept. of ECE, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India. (e-mails: {anubhabc, pradipsasmal, cmurthy}@iisc.ac.in.)

†Ribhu Chopra is with the Dept. of EEE, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India (e-mail: ribhufec@iitg.ac.in.)

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}\tau_p} \beta_{mk}}{N_0 + \mathcal{E}_{p,k}\beta_{mk}\tau_p + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i}\beta_{mi} |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2} \mathbf{y}_{p,m} \quad (3)$$

Also, we can write, $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} = \mathbf{h}_{mk} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}$, where, $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, (\beta_{mk} - \sigma_{mk}^2) \mathbf{I}_N)$, with $\sigma_{mk}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}\beta_{mk}^2\tau_p}{N_0 + \mathcal{E}_{p,k}\beta_{mk}\tau_p + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i}\beta_{mi}\tau_p |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2}$.

Letting, $\text{Cont}_{mk} \triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i}\beta_{mi}\tau_p |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2$, we can show that that [2]

$$\text{Cont}_{\cdot k} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_k \cup k\}} \mathcal{E}_{p,j}\beta_{mj}, & \Phi \in \text{MUOB} \\ \sum_{\substack{j \text{ s.t. } \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_j \rangle = 1}} \tau_p \mathcal{E}_{p,j}\beta_{mj}, & \Phi \in \text{OPR} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

which is the pilot contamination experienced by the k th UE.

III. UPLINK AND DOWNLINK DATA PROCESSING

We now analyze the effect of pilot contamination on the system throughput. Our analysis applies for any random pilot-codebook.

A. Uplink

Let the k th UE transmit the symbol $s_{u,k}$ ($\mathbb{E}[|s_{u,k}|^2] = 1$) in the uplink with an energy of $\mathcal{E}_{u,k}$. Let \mathcal{A}_k be the set of AP indices that jointly and coherently processes the k th UE’s signal. After maximal ratio combining at those APs, the k th stream of the accumulated received signal at the CPU becomes

$$\begin{aligned} r_{u,k} &= \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{u,k}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E} [\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk}] s_{u,k} \\ &+ \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{u,k}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk}] \right\} s_{u,k} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{u,i}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} s_{u,i} + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{w}_m, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where, $\mathbf{w}_m \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, N_0 \mathbf{I}_N)$ is the receiver noise added at the m th AP. The first and second term of (5) are commonly termed as array gain and beamforming uncertainty [4], respectively. Now, applying the use-and-then-forget technique [Chapter. 3, [3]], the uplink SE of k th UE can be expressed¹ as $\lambda(1 -$

¹For a coherence interval of τ , we equally partition duration of $(\tau - \tau_p)$ channel uses for uplink and downlink link data transmission. Thus, the pre-log factor $\lambda(1 - \frac{\tau_p}{\tau})$ for both uplink implies a fraction λ ($\lambda \in [0, 1]$) of the data transmission duration is allotted for uplink.

$\frac{\tau_p}{\tau} \log_2(1 + \gamma_k^u)$, where, γ_k^u is given by (6), and the closed form expression is evaluated in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *In the uplink, the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) of the k th UE can be written as*

$$\gamma_k^u = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{u,k} \text{Gain}_{u,k}}{\mathcal{E}_{u,k} \text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right) + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{U} \\ i \neq k}} \mathcal{E}_{u,i} \mathbb{I}_{ik} + N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2}, \quad (7)$$

where,

$$\text{Gain}_{u,k} = N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \right)^2, \quad (8a)$$

$$\text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} N \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}, \quad (8b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{ik} &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &+ N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}. \end{aligned} \quad (8c)$$

Proof. The array gain in (6), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \left[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}\|^2 = N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the numerator of (6), becomes

$$\mathcal{E}_{u,k} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] \right|^2 = \mathcal{E}_{u,k} N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \right)^2, \quad (9)$$

which corroborates with (8a). Next,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] \right|^2 \right] \\ (a) &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} - \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right|^2 \right] - \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] \right|^2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} + \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}\|^2 \right|^2 \right] - \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right] \right|^2 \right\} \\ (b) &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} \right|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right|^2 \right] - N^2 \sigma_{mk}^4 \right\} \\ (c) &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \left\{ N \sigma_{mk}^2 (\beta_{mk} - \sigma_{mk}^2) + N(N+1) \sigma_{mk}^4 - N^2 \sigma_{mk}^4 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} N \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

wherein, (a) follows as the variance of sum of independent random variables are sum of the respective variances. In (b), we note that $\mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} \right] = \mathbf{0}$ and is independent of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}$, and therefore, apply Lemma 5. Finally, (c) is obtained using (38c). Thus, (8b) follows directly.

Now, we derive the multi-user interference term. Prior to that, let us define the denominator of (3) as

$$d_{mk}^{-1} = N_0 + \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \beta_{mk} \tau_p + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p \beta_{mi} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2, \quad (11)$$

and thus, $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \mathbf{y}_{p,m}$. For $i \neq k$, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{ik} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \mathbf{y}_{p,m}^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \times \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'} + \mathbf{W}_{p,m} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k^* \right)^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \mathbf{y}_{p,m}^H \mathbf{W}_{p,m}^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \times \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'} \right)^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} + \mathbb{I}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where \mathbb{I}_1 being the second expectation term involved in (12), and can be further manipulated as shown in (14), with

$$\mathbb{I}_2 \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \|\mathbf{h}_{mi}\|^2 \right|^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

Next, we expand \mathbb{I}_2 as shown in (15). Now, the first term of (15) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} &N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} d_{mk} \beta_{mk} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \beta_{mi} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p d_{mk} \beta_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\gamma_k^u = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{u,k} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk}] \right|^2}{\mathcal{E}_{u,k} \text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mk} \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{u,i} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] + N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathbb{E} \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}\|^2}. \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_1 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{i\}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right)^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \|\mathbf{h}_{mi}\|^2 \right|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \beta_{mk} d_{mk} \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{i\}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'} \right)^H \mathbf{h}_{mi} \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{I}_2 + N \underbrace{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{i\}} \{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2\} \{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi} \beta_{mi'}\} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2}_{\triangleq \mathbb{I}_3} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(b): Using (39).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_2 &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{h}_{mi}\|^4] + \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}_k, \\ m \neq n}} d_{mk} d_{nk} \beta_{mk} \beta_{nk} \|\mathbf{h}_{mi}\|^2 \|\mathbf{h}_{ni}\|^2 \right] \\ &= N(N+1) \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \beta_{mi}^2 + N^2 \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}_k, \\ m \neq n}} d_{mk} d_{nk} \beta_{mk} \beta_{nk} \beta_{mi} \beta_{ni} \\ &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \beta_{mi}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}_k, \\ m \neq n}} d_{mk} d_{nk} \beta_{mk} \beta_{nk} \beta_{mi} \beta_{ni} \right) \\ &\quad + N \underbrace{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \beta_{mi}^2}_{\triangleq \mathbb{I}_4} \\ &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} d_{mk} \beta_{mk} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \beta_{mi} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 + \mathbb{I}_4. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which contributes to coherent interference. Thus, now using (14), (15), and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_1 &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \mathbb{I}_4 + \mathbb{I}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now, we will simplify the second term of (14) as

$\mathbb{I}_3 =$

$$\begin{aligned} &N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sum_{\substack{i' \in \\ \mathcal{U} \setminus \{i\}}} \{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2\} \{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi} \beta_{mi'}\} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \times \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad - \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p \beta_{mi} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_i, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= N \underbrace{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2}_{\triangleq \mathbb{I}_5} \\ &\quad - N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}^2 |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_5 &= N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \times \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i'}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_k \rangle|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and we also observe here from (11) that

$$\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{d_{mk}} - N_0 \right), \quad (20)$$

which when substituted back in (19) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_5 = & N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk} \beta_{mi} \\ & - N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Therefore, inserting (21) into (18),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_3 = & N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk} \beta_{mi} \\ & - N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \\ & - N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}^2 |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now, substituting (17) into (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{ik} = & N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \\ & + N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 + \mathbb{I}_4 + \mathbb{I}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Next, substituting for \mathbb{I}_4 and \mathbb{I}_3 , we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{ik} = & N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \\ & + N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \\ & + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \beta_{mi}^2 \\ & + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk} \beta_{mi} \\ & - N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi} \\ & - N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p^2 d_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}^2 |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_k \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and, finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{ik} = & N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}} \right)^2 |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \\ & + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \beta_{mk}^2 d_{mk}}_{\sigma_{mk}^2} \beta_{mi}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Lastly, the additive noise component of (7) trivially follows as $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{mk}^2 \mathbf{I}_N)$. \blacksquare

B. Downlink

Next, let $s_{d,k}$ be intended downlink signal for the k th UE. Let, $\mathcal{E}_{d,m}$ be the total power budget of m th AP and the corresponding power control coefficient ζ_{mk} decides what fraction of power is intended for the k th UE. We employ reciprocity based matched filter precoding in the downlink. Now, the m th AP serves only a cluster of users indicated by the set $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_m$, and therefore, the downlink transmitted signal by the m th AP can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{r}_{d,m} = \sum_{i \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_m} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* s_{d,i}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, the received signal at the k th UE can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} r_{d,k} = & \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \mathbf{r}_{d,m} + w_k \\ = & \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{i \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_m} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* s_{d,i} + w_k \\ = & \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* s_{d,k} \\ & + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* s_{d,i} + w_k, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where, $w_k \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0)$ is the receiver noise at the k th user. To apply Use-and-then-Forget bound, we re-write $r_{d,k}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} r_{d,k} = & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right] s_{d,k} \\ & + \left\{ \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* - \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right] \right\} s_{d,k} \\ & + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* s_{d,i} + w_k, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and thus the downlink SE becomes $(1-\lambda)(1-\frac{\tau_p}{\tau}) \log_2(1+\gamma_k^d)$, where,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k^d = & \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right] \right|^2 \times \\ & \left(\text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* \right|^2 \right] + N_0 \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We can apply exactly same analysis to derive the closed form expressions of the downlink signal gain, the beamforming error variance, and show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right] = N \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \sigma_{mk}^2 \quad (30a)$$

$$\text{var} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}^* \right) = N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mk} \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mk}. \quad (30b)$$

However, there is a subtle difference in the multi-user interference term as the k th UE receives signal from the i th UE ($i \neq k$) transmitted from the APs that serves i th UE ($m \in \mathcal{A}_i$). We derive the closed form expression in the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *It can be shown that the downlink multi-user interference experienced by the k th UE due to the i th UE is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* \right|^2 \right] &= \\ N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}} \frac{\beta_{mk}}{\beta_{mi}} \sigma_{mi}^2} \right)^2 |\varphi_i^H \varphi_k|^2 \\ + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi} \beta_{mk} \sigma_{mi}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Proof. The technique of the proof is same as adopted in the uplink case. The key difference is in the uplink we substituted for the desired UE's estimated channel (i.e. $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mk}$) from (2), whereas here we substitute for $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}$. We show the key steps required to arrive at the final expression of (31).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* \right|^2 \right] &= \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \beta_{mi} d_{mi} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \times \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'} + \mathbf{W}_{p,m} \varphi_i^* \right)^* \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \|\mathbf{h}_{mk}\|^2 \right|^2 \right] |\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \sum_{\substack{i' \in \\ \mathcal{U} \setminus k}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p} \langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle \mathbf{h}_{mi'}^* \right|^2 \right] \\ &\quad \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathbb{I}_5 \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \mathbf{W}_{p,m}^* \varphi_i \right|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where in the last equality we substitute $\bar{d}_{mi} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p} \beta_{mi} d_{mi}$. Next, observe that, as the channel vectors of different users are uncorrelated and zero mean, and so are the channel vector and the noise component; the sum of second and third expectation of (32) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_5 + \mathbb{I}_6 &= N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sum_{\substack{i' \in \\ \mathcal{U} \setminus k}} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mk} \beta_{mi'} |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Next, the first expectation (32) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \|\mathbf{h}_{mk}\|^2 \right|^2 \right] &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{h}_{mk}\|^4] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}_i \\ n \neq m}} \bar{d}_{mi} \bar{d}_{ni} \|\mathbf{h}_{mk}\|^2 \|\mathbf{h}_{nk}\|^2 \right] \\ &= N(N+1) \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 + N^2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}_i \\ n \neq m}} \bar{d}_{mi} \bar{d}_{ni} \beta_{mk} \beta_{nk} \\ &= N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \beta_{mk} \right)^2 + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Finally, substituting (34), and (33) into (32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \mathbf{h}_{mk}^T \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{mi}^* \right|^2 \right] &= \\ \mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p \left\{ N^2 \left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \beta_{mk} \right)^2 + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk}^2 \right\} |\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sum_{\substack{i' \in \\ \mathcal{U} \setminus k}} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mk} \beta_{mi'} |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk} \\ &= N^2 \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi} \beta_{mk} \right)^2 |\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\quad + N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk} \left\{ \sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + N N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \bar{d}_{mi}^2 \beta_{mk}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now, (31) follows by substituting

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k} \tau_p} \bar{d}_{mi} \beta_{mk} = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{d,m} \zeta_{mi}} \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p d_{mi} \beta_{mi}^2 \right\} \frac{\beta_{mk} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}}}{\beta_{mi} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}}, \quad (36a)$$

$$\left\{ \mathcal{E}_{p,i} \tau_p d_{mi} \beta_{mi}^2 \right\} = \sigma_{mi}^2, \quad (36b)$$

$$\text{and } \left\{ \sum_{i' \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{p,i'} \tau_p \beta_{mi'} |\langle \varphi_{i'}, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{d_{mi}} - N_0 \quad (36c)$$

appropriately on (35). \blacksquare

IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 3. *The achievable rate of the k th UE can be expressed as*

$$R_k = \left(1 - \frac{\tau_p}{\tau} \right) [\lambda \log_2(1 + \gamma_k^u) + (1 - \lambda) \log_2(1 + \gamma_k^d)],$$

where

$$\gamma_k^u = \frac{N \mathcal{E}_{u,k} (\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2)^2}{N \text{CohI}_k^u + \text{NCoH}_k^u + N_0 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2}, \quad (37a)$$

$$\gamma_k^d = \frac{N^2 \rho_d (\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sqrt{\zeta_{mk}} \sigma_{mk}^2)^2}{N^2 \text{CohI}_k^d + N \text{NCohI}_k^d + 1}, \quad (37b)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CohI}_k^u &\triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \mathcal{E}_{u,i} |\varphi_i^H \varphi_i|^2 (\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}} \frac{\beta_{mi}}{\beta_{mk}}})^2, \\ \text{NCohI}_k^u &\triangleq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_{u,i} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}, \quad \text{CohI}_k^d \triangleq \\ &\sum_{i \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{k\}} \rho_d |\varphi_i^H \varphi_i|^2 (\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sigma_{mi}^2 \sqrt{\zeta_{mi}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{p,k}}{\mathcal{E}_{p,i}} \frac{\beta_{mk}}{\beta_{mi}}})^2, \\ &\text{and NCohI}_k^d \triangleq \rho_d \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_i} \sigma_{mi}^2 \zeta_{mi} \beta_{mk}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the uplink, γ_k^u of Lemma 1 can be re-expressed as γ_k^u of Theorem 3. The first term of (25) corresponds to the first term on the denominator of γ_k^u in (37a), and merging (10) and $N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_k} \sigma_{mk}^2 \beta_{mi}$ from (25), we obtain the second term of γ_k^u . Rest of the terms follows directly from Lemma 1. (37b) follows similarly from (30a), (30b), and Lemma 2, and ρ_d be the maximum normalized (as a multiple of the noise variance N_0) power transmitted by each AP. ■

APPENDIX

A. Useful Lemma

Lemma 4. [Appendix. A, [3]] Let two independent random vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be distributed as $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_x^2 \mathbf{I}_N)$ and $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_y^2 \mathbf{I}_N)$, respectively. Then the followings results follow

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{x}\|^2] = N \sigma_x^2 \quad (38a)$$

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\mathbf{x}\|^4] = N(N+1) \sigma_x^4 \quad (38b)$$

$$\mathbb{E} [|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^H \mathbf{x}|^2] = N(N+1) \sigma_x^4 + N \sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2. \quad (38c)$$

Lemma 5. [(62), [4]] If \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are independent random vectors and $\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}$, then

$$\mathbb{E} [|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2] = \mathbb{E} [|\mathbf{x}|^2] + \mathbb{E} [|\mathbf{y}|^2] \quad (39)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Zhou, M. Zhao, X. Xu, J. Wang, and Y. Yao, "Distributed wireless communication system: a new architecture for future public wireless access," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 108–113, 2003.
- [2] A. Chowdhury, P. Sasmal, and C. R. Murthy, "Comparison of orthogonal vs. union of subspace based pilots for multi-cell massive MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE SPAWC*, Atlanta, GA, USA, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [3] T. L. Marzetta, E. G. Larsson, H. Yang, and H. Q. Ngo, *Fundamentals of Massive MIMO*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [4] H. Q. Ngo, A. Ashikhmin, H. Yang, E. G. Larsson, and T. L. Marzetta, "Cell-free massive MIMO versus small cells," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1834–1850, 2017.