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PART-I: Solving constrained convex optimization problem

## Conjugate functions

- For a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its convex conjugate $f^{*}$ is defined as:

$$
f^{*}(\mathbf{z})=\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f}\left(\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{x}-f(\mathbf{x})\right)
$$

- Geometric interpretation:
$f^{*}(\mathbf{z})$ is the negative intercept on $y$-axis made by tangent to curve $y=f(\mathbf{x})$ with slope $\mathbf{z}$.


## Lagrangian method

- Standard constraint optimization problem (P):

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text { subject to } & g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, & 1 \leq i \leq n \\
& h_{i}(\mathbf{x})=0, & 1 \leq i \leq m
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f, g_{i}, h_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- Let $p^{*}$ denote the primal optimal value.
- Lagrangian function $L$ is given by:

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu})=f(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i} h_{i}(\mathbf{x})
$$

- $\lambda_{i}>0$ are Lagrange multipliers associated with $g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$.
- $\nu_{i}$ are Lagrange multipliers associated with $h_{i}(\mathbf{x})=0$.


## Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions

- If

1. Slater's conditions hold.
2. $f, g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ are differentiable
then, optimal values of $\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)$ must satisy:

- primal feasibility constraints: $g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) \leq 0$ and $h_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)=0$.
- dual feasibility constraints: $\lambda_{i}^{*} \geq 0$.
- complementary slackness: $\lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)=0$.
- gradient of Lagrangian with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ is zero i.e.,

$$
\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{*} \nabla g_{i}^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i}^{*} \nabla h_{i}^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)=0
$$

## Lagrange dual function

- Lagrange dual function $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) & =\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \\
& =\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f}\left(f(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i} h_{i}(\mathbf{x})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}=\left(\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{n}\right)$ are the dual variables.

- Dual function $g$ is always concave w.r.t. $\lambda_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}$.
- Lower bound property of dual function:

$$
\text { If } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq 0 \text { then, } g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \leq p^{*}
$$

where $p^{*}$ is the optimal value of objective function in primal problem (P).

## The dual problem

- Lagrange dual problem is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { maximize } g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \\
& \text { subject to } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- The dual problem find the best lower bound on $p^{*}$.
- $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}$ are dual feasible if $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq 0$ and $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in \operatorname{dom} g$
- Question: Why should we care about the dual problem?


## Weak and strong duality

- Weak duality: $d^{*} \leq p^{*}$
- always holds (for convex and nonconvex problems) can be used to find nontrivial lower bounds for difficult problems
- Strong duality: $d^{*}=p^{*}$
- does not hold in general
- usually holds for convex problems
- conditions that guarantee strong duality in convex problems are called constraint qualifications.


## Obtaining primal solution

- Let $\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)$ be the solution to the dual problem:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)=\underset{\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu}}{\operatorname{argmax}} g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\nu})
$$

- Then, $\mathbf{x}^{*}$, the solution to primal problem is obtained by solving the minimization problem:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{*}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)
$$

## A simple example

- Minimum norm solution to an underdetermined system of linear equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lagrangian: $L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}^{T}(\mathbf{A x}-\mathbf{b})$.
- Dual function: $g(\mathbf{y})=\inf _{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\inf _{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}^{T}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b})\right)$

$$
=-\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{b}
$$

- Dual optimal $\mathbf{y}^{*}=\underset{\mathbf{y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} g(\mathbf{y})=-2\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$.
- Primal optimal $\mathbf{x}^{*}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{A}^{T}\left(\mathbf{A A}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$.


## Lagrange dual function and conjugate function

- Construction of dual problem is simplified if conjugate of objective function is known.
- For example, consider the convex optimation problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lagrangian $L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=f(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y}^{\top}(\mathbf{A x}-\mathbf{b})$.
- Dual function $g(\mathbf{y})=\inf _{\mathbf{x}}\left(f(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y}^{T}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b})\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\inf _{\mathbf{x}}\left(f(\mathbf{x})-\left(-\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right) \mathbf{x}\right)\right)-\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{b} \\
& =f^{*}\left(-\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)-\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Recall definition of convex conjugate

$$
f^{*}(\mathbf{y})=\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f}\left(\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{x}-f(\mathbf{x})\right)
$$

## Fenchel's duality - conjugate functions

- For a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its convex conjugate $f^{*}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(\mathbf{z})=\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{P}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{x}-f(\mathbf{x})\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For a concave function $f: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its concave conjugate $g_{*}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{*}(\mathbf{z})=\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{x}-g(\mathbf{x})\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fenchel's duality - conjugate functions

- Geometric interpretation of conjuate function.

- Conjugate function $f^{*}(\mathbf{z})$ is the negative intercept on $y$-axis made by tangent to curve $y=f(\mathbf{x})$ with slope $\mathbf{z}$


## Fenchel's duality

## Fenchel's duality theorem

For any convex function $f$ and concave function $g$, we have,

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho}}(f(\mathbf{x})-g(\mathbf{x}))=\max _{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{P}}}\left(g_{*}(\mathbf{z})-f^{*}(\mathbf{z})\right)
$$

- Geometric interpretation of Fenchel's duality theory.

(a)

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho}}(f(\mathbf{x})-g(\mathbf{x}))
$$


(b)
$\max _{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}}\left(g_{*}(\mathbf{z})-f^{*}(\mathbf{z})\right)$

## Complementary slackness condition

- Let $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ be the primal optimal and $\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \nu^{*}\right)$ be the dual optimal for standard convex optimization problem (P).
- If strong duality holds, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) & =g\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)=\min _{\mathbf{x}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right) \leq L\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{i}^{*} h_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) \\
\Rightarrow 0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) \leq 0$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)=0$.


## Strong duality tells relation between primal and dual solutions

- If Slater's conditions (constraint qualifications) hold, strong duality holds.
- If strong duality holds, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) & =g\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right) & =\min _{\mathbf{x}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ is the unique minimizer of $f$ in the given feasibility set, following must hold:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{*}=\min _{\mathbf{x}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{*}\right)
$$

## PART-II: Introduction to ADMM

## Dual Ascent (1/3)

- Consider the convex optimization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function.

- The Lagrangian is given by

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=f(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y}^{T}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b})
$$

where $\mathbf{y}$ is the dual variable or Lagrangian multiplier.

- The dual function is given by

$$
g(\mathbf{y})=\inf _{\mathbf{x}} L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=f^{*}\left(-\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)-\mathbf{b}^{T} \mathbf{y}
$$

where $f^{*}$ is convex conjugate of $f$.

## Dual Ascent (2/3)

- The dual problem is

$$
\max _{\mathbf{y}} g(\mathbf{y})
$$

- The primal optimal point $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ can be found from a dual optimal point as

$$
\mathbf{x}^{*}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}^{*}\right)
$$

- A unique minimizer exists if $f$ is strictly convex.


## Dual Ascent (3/3)

- Dual Ascent method is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{k+1}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}^{k+1}=\mathbf{y}^{k}+\alpha^{k}\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}-\mathbf{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For proper choice of stepsize $\alpha^{k}$, the value of dual function increases in each iteration.
- $\alpha^{k}$ is a non-increasing sequence.
- Under assumptions on $f, \mathbf{y}^{k}$ converges to dual optimal $\mathbf{y}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{k}$ converges to primal optimal $\mathbf{x}^{*}$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.


## Dual decomposition (1/2)

- If objective function $f$ is separable, them dual ascent method can lead to a decentralized algorithm.
- Say $f$ is separable such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}\right)$ and the variables $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i}}$ are subvectors of $\mathbf{x}$.

- The equality constraint $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$ can also be split as:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}-\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{b}\right)=0
$$

where $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{A}_{1} \ldots & \ldots & \mathbf{A}_{N}\end{array}\right]$.

## Dual decomposition (2/2)

- The Lagrangian can be written in split form as

$$
L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(f_{i}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y}^{T}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}-\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{b}\right)\right)
$$

- The dual ascent method leads to a decentralized algorithm:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k+1}=\underset{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}^{k}\right) & 1 \leq i \leq N \\
\mathbf{y}^{k+1}=\mathbf{y}^{k}+\alpha^{k}\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}-\mathbf{b}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

- Decentralized Implementation:

1. Each node performs primal update step.
2. Each node broadcasts its residual $\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}-\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{b}$ to other nodes.
3. Each node sums the residuals from individual nodes and performs dual update step.

## Augmented Lagrangian and Method of Multipliers

 (1/2)- Consider primal problem (P1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize~} f(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We construct Augmented Lagrangian:

$$
L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=f(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{y}^{\top}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b})+\frac{\rho}{2}\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- Augmented Lagrangian can be viewed as the Lagrangian for a different primal problem (P2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { minimize } f(\mathbf{x})+\frac{\rho}{2}\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Primal problems (P1) and (P2) have same optimal point but (P2) has a more well behaved cost function.


## Augmented Lagrangian and Method of Multipliers

 (2/2)- By applying dual ascent method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{k+1}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\rho}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}^{k+1}=\mathbf{y}^{k}+\rho\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}-\mathbf{b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- By using $\rho$ as stepsize in dual ascent step, the iterate $\left(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}, \mathbf{y}^{k+1}\right)$ is dual feasible.
Proof: We work out.
- Positives: Convergence under more relaxed conditions.
- $f$ need not be unbounded or strictly convex
- Negatives: Due to quadratic penalty term in augmented Lagrangian, separability of $f$ no longer results in a decentralized algorithm!


## ADMM: Alternating Directions Method of Multipliers (1/2)

- ADMM problem setup:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize} f(\mathbf{x})+g(\mathbf{z}) \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{B z}=\mathbf{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

- $f$ and $g$ are convex functions.
- Augmented Lagrangian is given by:

$$
L_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})=f(\mathbf{x})+g(\mathbf{z})+\mathbf{y}^{\top}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}-\mathbf{c})+\frac{\rho}{2}\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}-\mathbf{c}\|_{2}^{2}
$$

## ADMM: Alternating Directions Method of Multipliers (2/2)

- The primal and dual update equations are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{k+1}=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\rho}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}^{k}, \mathbf{y}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathbf{z}^{k+1}=\underset{\mathbf{z}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\rho}\left(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}^{k}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}^{k+1}=\mathbf{y}^{k}+\rho\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}^{k+1}-\mathbf{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Primal variable update equation is executed in Gauss Siedel fashion.
- Dual variable update equation is similar to Method of Multipliers.
- If $f$ is separable, a decentralized algorithm is possible.


## Some questions..

- Does this iterative algorithm converge?
- If the algorithm converges, does it converge to correct value?
- How fast is the convergence?
- How does primal gap $\left\|f\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)-f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)\right\|_{2}$ decays with each iteration.
- What is a reasonable stopping criterion?
- $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{k}-\mathbf{x}^{k-1}\right\|_{2} \leq \epsilon$ is an overkill !
- How sensitive is the algorithm with respect to changes in algorithm parameters?
- Sensitivity of ADMM's convergence with respect to augmented Lagrangian parameter $\rho$.


## Convergence of ADMM

- Under assumptions:

1. The functions $f$ and $g$ are closed, proper and convex.
2. The unaugmented Lagrangian $L_{0}$ has a saddle point.

- We have:
- Residual convergence:

$$
\text { as } k \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k}+\mathbf{B z}^{k}-\mathbf{c} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- Objective convergence:

$$
\text { as } \left.k \rightarrow 0, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)+g\left(\mathbf{z}^{k}\right) \rightarrow p^{*}\right)
$$

- Dual variable convergence:

$$
\text { as } k \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{y}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}^{*}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}^{*}$ is the dual optimal point.

## ADMM and optimality conditions (1/2)

- Optimality conditions for ADMM problem consists of three conditions:

1. Primal feasibility condition

$$
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{*}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}^{*}-\mathbf{c}
$$

2. First dual feasibility condition:

$$
0 \in \partial f\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)+\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{y}^{*}
$$

3. Second dual feasibility condition:

$$
0 \in \partial g\left(\mathbf{z}^{*}\right)+\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}^{*}
$$

## ADMM and optimality conditions (2/2)

- Primal and first dual feasibility are achieved as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\left(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}, \mathbf{z}^{k+1}, \mathbf{y}^{k+1}\right)$ always satisfy second dual feasibility condition.


## Proof.

From primal update equation for $\mathbf{z}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \in \partial g\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right)+\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}^{k}+\rho \mathbf{B}^{T}\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}^{k+1}-\mathbf{c}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & 0 \in \partial g\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right)+\mathbf{B}^{T}\left(\mathbf{y}^{k}+\rho\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{k+1}+\mathbf{B} \mathbf{z}^{k+1}-\mathbf{c}\right)\right) \\
\Rightarrow & 0 \in \partial g\left(\mathbf{z}^{k+1}\right)+\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stopping criterion for ADMM

- Primal gap at $k^{\text {th }}$ iteration can be upper bounded as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}\right)+g\left(\mathbf{z}^{k}\right)-p^{*} \leq-\left(\mathbf{y}^{k}\right)^{T} \mathbf{r}^{k}+\left(\mathbf{x}^{k}-\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)^{T} \mathbf{s}^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}^{k}$ is the primal residual and $\mathbf{s}^{k}$ is the residual for first dual optimal condition.

Proof: We work out..

- Upper bound on primal gap can be used to design stopping criterion.


## PART-III: Distributed optimization using ADMM - A simple example

## Distributed optimization using ADMM (1/5)

- Consider an unconstrained convex optimization problem (P1):

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x)
$$

- Goal is to minimize the $f$ using multiple computing nodes in a distributed fashion.
- Say, $f$ is separable as: $f(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{L} f_{j}(x)$.
- Then we can formulate an equivalent constrained optimization problem (P2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x_{1} \ldots x_{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \\
& \text { subject to } x_{j}=x_{j^{\prime}} \quad \forall j, j^{\prime} \in(1,2 \ldots L)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Distributed optimization using ADMM (2/5)

- Use auxilliary variables to express (P2) as a standard ADMM problem (P3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x_{1} \ldots x_{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \\
& \text { subject to } x_{j}=z_{b} \quad \forall j \in(1,2 \ldots L), b \in \mathcal{B}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ is the set of bridge/anchor nodes connected to node $j$.

- Augmented Lagrangian can be split w.r.t $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots x_{L}$ !


## Distributed optimization using ADMM (3/5)

- A more compact representation of (P3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\mathbf{x}} f_{\text {ext }}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { subject to } \mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{E}_{2} \mathbf{z}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots\right)$ and $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2} \ldots\right)$ are concatenated vectors.
- the rows of $\mathbf{E}_{1} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{E}_{2} \mathbf{z}=0$ correspond to individual constraints in (P2).


## Distributed optimization using ADMM (4/5)

- Let $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{z}^{*}\right\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{*}$ denote the unique primal and dual optimal solutions, then the following holds

1. Sequence $\mathbf{u}^{k}$ is $Q$-linearly convergent to $\mathbf{u}^{*}$ i.e.,

$$
\left\|\mathbf{u}^{k+1}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{G}} \leq \frac{1}{1+\delta}\left\|\mathbf{u}^{k}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\|_{\mathbf{G}}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is constructed as $\mathbf{u}=\left[\left(\mathbf{E}_{2} \mathbf{z}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T}\right]^{T}$ and $\delta$ is evaluated as

$$
\delta=\min _{\mu \geq 1, \nu \geq 1}\left(\frac{2 m_{f}}{\frac{\nu M_{f}^{2}}{\rho(\nu-1) \sigma_{\min }^{2}}+\mu \rho \sigma_{\max }^{2}}, \frac{\sigma_{\min }^{2}}{\nu \sigma_{\max }^{2}}, \frac{\mu-1}{\mu}\right) .
$$

2. The primal sequence $\mathbf{x}^{k}$ is R-linearly convergent to $\mathbf{x}^{*}$, i.e.,

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}^{k+1}-\mathbf{x}^{*}\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2 m_{f}}\left\|\mathbf{u}^{k}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\| \mathbf{G}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the matrix norm with respect to the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{G}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\rho I_{n|\mathcal{B}|}, \rho^{-1} I_{N_{C}}\right), m_{f}$ is the strong convexity constant of $f_{\text {ext }}$ and $M_{f}$ is the Lipschitz constant of $\nabla f_{\text {ext }}$.

## Distributed optimization using ADMM (5/5)

- To speedup convergence, $\rho$ is chosen such that $\delta$ is maximized.
- Optimized values of $\rho$ and corresponding $\delta$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{o p t}=\frac{M_{f}}{\sigma_{\max } \sigma_{\min }}\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\kappa-1)^{2}+4 \kappa \kappa_{f}^{2}}+(\kappa-1)}{\sqrt{(\kappa-1)^{2}+4 \kappa \kappa_{f}^{2}}-(\kappa-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \text { and } \delta_{o p t}=2\left(\kappa+1+\sqrt{(\kappa-1)^{2}+4 \kappa \kappa_{f}^{2}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\kappa_{f}=\frac{M_{f}}{m_{f}}$ denotes the condition number of the objective function $f_{\text {ext }}$.
$\wedge \kappa=\frac{\sigma_{\max }^{2}}{\sigma_{\text {min }}^{2}}=\frac{\text { max no. of bridge nodes connections per node }}{\text { min no. of bridge nodes connections per node }}$
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## Backup slides

## Linear convergence of a sequence

- Suppose a sequence $x_{k}$ converges to $L$.
- $x_{k}$ is said to be $Q$-linearly convergent to $L$, if there exists $\mu \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|x_{k+1}-L\right|}{\left|x_{k}-L\right|}=\mu
$$

- $x_{k}$ is said to be $R$-linearly convergent to $L$, if there exists Q-linearly convergent sequence $y_{k}$ which converges to zero such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{k}-L\right| \leq y_{k}
$$

