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## Channel Coding- I

- Shannon's channel coding theorem proves that reliable communication is possible when $R<C$.
- Channel capacity is achievable only when code length approaches infinity.
- Practical channel codes fall into two categories:
- Algebraic codes and
- Iteratively decodable codes
- Coding and decoding complexity increases exponentially with length of the codes.


## Types of Encoders and Decoders- I

- Encoding
- Linear block codes
- Convolutional codes
- Modern codes (Turbo, LDPC, IRA etc.)
- Decoding
- ML decoder
- List decoder
- ML sequence detection decoding
- Reduced state decoders (e.g. Fano)
- Iterative decoders (e.g., SPA, MAP)


## Types of Encoders and Decoders- II

- Issues:
- Encoding complexity (for Large block codes)
- Decoder complexity (ML decoding, iterative decoding, MAP)

Is there any simple way of achieving capacity with less complex codes ?
Yes! Polar codes of length $N$ provably achieve capacity with $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ encoding and decoding complexity.
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## Channel Translation Function- I

- Consider a discrete memoryless channel (DMC) described by the conditional probability function $W=P(Y \mid X)$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ as input, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ as output.
- Since it is memoryless, we can say $W^{N}=P\left(Y^{N} \mid X^{N}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)$.
- 'Translate' the $W^{N}$ channel as in the following diagram.


## Channel Translation Function- II


where $N$ is $2^{n}$.


- The equivalent channel representation

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{G}_{4}
$$

## Channel Translation (Contd.)

- That is, the transition probabilities for the two channels are related as

$$
W_{N}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{u})=W^{N}\left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} \mathbf{G}_{N}\right) \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{N}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{X}^{N} .
$$

- It can be generalized that $\mathbf{G}_{N}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{G}_{2}} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{2}}_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{2}}_{n}$
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## Channel Splitting- I

- The translated channel $W_{N}$ can be split into $N$ binary input coordinate channels

$$
W_{N}^{i}\left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{i-1} \mid u_{i}\right) \triangleq \sum_{\mathbf{u}_{i+1}^{N} \in \mathcal{X}^{N-i}} \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} W_{N}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{u})
$$

where $\left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{i-1}\right)$ denotes the output of $W_{N}^{i}$ when $u_{i}$ is its input.

- That is, the $i^{\text {th }}$ channel output is $y_{i}$ with past channel inputs $\mathbf{u}_{1}^{i-1}$ as side information and $u_{i}$ as its input.


## Channel Polarization- I

- For large $N, W_{N}^{i}$ channels polarize its output to be either close to the output of an ideal channel or worst channel.
- In fact, the fraction of channels which polarize to ideal channel is equal to the capacity of the underlying DMC.
- That is, for $\delta \in(0,1)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty, I\left(u_{i}, \mathbf{y}\right) \in(1-\delta, 1]$ or $I\left(u_{i}, \mathbf{y}\right) \in[0, \delta)$.
- The fraction of indices $i \in[1,2, \ldots, N]$ for which $I\left(u_{i}, \mathbf{y}\right) \in(1-\delta, 1]$ goes to $I\left(X^{N}, Y^{N}\right)$.


## Channel Polarization- II

- As an example, consider a BEC, $I\left(X^{2}, Y^{2}\right)=2(1-\epsilon)$, where $\epsilon$ is the erasure probability and $W_{2}$

| $\mathbf{y}$ | $W\left(\mathbf{y} \mid u_{1}=0\right)$ | $W\left(\mathbf{y} \mid u_{1}=1\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | $\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{2}$ | 0 |
| 01 | 0 | $\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{2}$ |
| $0 E$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ |
| 10 | 0 | $\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{2}$ |
| 11 | $\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{2}}{2}$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ |
| $1 E$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ |
| $E 0$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ |
| $E 1$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ | $\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{2}$ |
| $E E$ | $\epsilon^{2}$ | $\epsilon^{2}$ |

## Channel Polarization- III

- One can compute $W\left(\mathbf{y}, u_{1} \mid u_{2}=0\right)$ and $W\left(\mathbf{y}, u_{1} \mid u_{2}=1\right)$ similarly assuming $u_{1}$ is known accurately.
- Thus, the channel $W_{N}$ can be split into $N$ channels whose transition probabilities are specific to a given channel type $W$.
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## Encoding : Coset codes - I

- Let $\mathbf{G}_{N}$ be the generator matrix and $\mathcal{A} \subset[1,2, \ldots N]$ is an index set with $K$ elements. Let $\mathcal{A}^{c}$ denote the complement of $\mathcal{A}$.
- The channel input vector $\mathbf{x}$ can be written as a sum of 2 vectors. i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}
$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the sub-matrix of $\mathbf{G}$ formed by the rows with indices in $\mathcal{A}$.

## Encoding : Coset codes - II

- If suppose $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}$ is known to both encoder and decoder, then the various codewords output by the encoder are cosets with the coset index denoted by $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A} c} \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}$.
- The coding rate of this code is $\frac{K}{N}$.


## Successive Cancellation Decoding - I

- Using its knowledge of $\mathcal{A}^{c}$, the decoder estimates the $u_{i}$ by computing

$$
\hat{u}_{i}= \begin{cases}u_{i}, & \text { if } i \in \mathcal{A}^{c} \\ h_{i}\left(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{i-1}\right), & \text { if } i \in \mathcal{A}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
h_{i}\left(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{i-1}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \frac{W\left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{,}, \mathbf{u}_{i-1}^{i-1} \mid u_{i}=0\right)}{W\left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{-1} \mid u_{i}=1\right)} \geq 1 \\ 1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{1}^{i-1} \in \mathcal{X}^{i-1}$.

## Probability of decoding Error - I

- Let $P_{e}\left(N, K, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}\right)$ denote the probability of error for the SC-decoder when $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{X}^{K}$ is selected randomly with equal probability.

$$
P_{e}\left(N, K, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{K}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{X}^{K}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{N}: \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{y}) \neq \mathbf{u}} W_{N}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{u})
$$

- Averaging this over all choices of $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}$ we get

$$
P_{e}(N, K, \mathcal{A})=\frac{1}{2^{N-K}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{X}^{N-K}} P_{e}\left(N, K, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}\right)
$$

## Probability of decoding Error - II

- It can be shown that for a symmetric binary input DMC $W$ and any choice of parameter $(N, K, \mathcal{A})$ the above can be upper bounded as

$$
P_{e}(N, K, \mathcal{A}) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} Z\left(W_{N}^{i}\right)
$$

where $Z\left(W_{N}^{i}\right) \triangleq \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}^{N}} \sqrt{W_{N}\left(\mathbf{y} \mid u_{i}=0\right) W_{N}\left(\mathbf{y} \mid u_{i}=1\right)}$.

- Moveover, there exists $\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}$ such that the

$$
P_{e}\left(N, K, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}\right) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}} Z\left(W_{N}^{i}\right)
$$

## Definition of Polar Code - I

- Given a BI-DMC $W$, a $\mathbf{G}_{N}$ coset code with parameters $\left(N, K, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{A}^{c}}\right)$ is called a Polar code for $W$ if the information set $\mathcal{A}$ is chosen as a $K$-element subset of $[1, \ldots, N]$ such that $Z\left(W_{N}^{i}\right) \leq Z\left(W_{N}^{j}\right), \forall i \in \mathcal{A}$, and $j \in \mathcal{A}^{c}$.
- Polar codes are channel specific designs and they achieve capacity.
- Unlike conventional codes, a polar code designed for one channel may not be optimal for another channel.
- That is, if the SNR changes, the code has to be changed. Hmmm!
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