

# Journal Watch

## IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, October 2018

Sai Subramanyam Thoota  
SPC Lab, Department of ECE  
Indian Institute of Science

November 3, 2018

# Joint Unicast and Multi-Group Multicast Transmission in Massive MIMO Systems - Meysam Sadeghi et al.

## Goal

- To maximize the weighted spectral efficiency of the unicast user terminals (UT) and minimum spectral efficiency (SE) of the multicast UTs

## Contributions

- Closed form expressions for the achievable SE of each unicast and multicast UTs in the system
- Formulate the problem of maximizing the SSE of the unicast UTs and the max-min fairness (MMF) problem for the multicast UTs
- MMF and SSE problems are coupled in a conflicting manner. Hence, a multiobjective optimization problem (MOOP) is formulated for the joint unicast and multicast transmission
- Derive the pareto boundary of the MOOP analytically

## System Model

- Single cell with  $N$  antenna base station (BS)
- $U$  single antenna unicast UTs and  $G$  multicasting groups (group  $g$  contains  $K_g$  single antenna UTs)
- Block fading channel model
- Orthogonal pilots for unicast UTs and multicast groups (one pilot per group)

- MMSE channel estimation
  - No pilot contamination of unicast UT channels
  - For multicast UTs, the channel estimates are equivalent up to a scalar coefficient
- Achievable spectral Efficiencies for MRT and ZF precoding

$$\text{SINR}_{m,un}^{\text{MRT}} = \frac{Np_m^{dl}\vartheta_m}{1 + \beta_m(P_{un} + P_{mu})}.$$

$$\text{SINR}_{jk,mu}^{\text{MRT}} = \frac{Nq_j^{dl}\xi_{jk}}{1 + \eta_{jk}(P_{mu} + P_{un})}.$$

$$\text{SINR}_{m,un}^{\text{ZF}} = \frac{(N - G - U)p_m^{dl}\vartheta_m}{1 + (\beta_m - \vartheta_m)(P_{un} + P_{mu})}.$$

$$\text{SINR}_{jk,mu}^{\text{ZF}} = \frac{(N - G - U)q_j^{dl}\xi_{jk}}{1 + (\eta_{jk} - \xi_{jk})(P_{un} + P_{mu})}.$$

- Optimal Resource Allocation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}1 : & \text{ maximize } \min_{j \in \mathcal{G}, k \in \mathcal{K}_j} \text{SE}_{jk, mu}^\dagger \\
 & \text{ over } \{q_j^{dl}\}, \{q_{jk}^{up}\}, \tau \\
 \text{s.t. } & \sum_{j=1}^G q_j^{dl} \leq P - P_{un} \\
 & 0 \leq q_j^{dl} \\
 & 0 \leq \tau q_{jk}^{up} \leq E_{jk} \\
 & \tau \in \{U + G, \dots, T\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}2 : & \text{ maximize } \sum_{m=1}^U \alpha_m \text{SE}_{m, un}^\dagger \\
 & \text{ over } \{p_m^{dl}\}, \{p_m^{up}\}, \tau \\
 \text{s.t. } & \sum_{m=1}^U p_m^{dl} \leq P - P_{mu} \\
 & 0 \leq p_m^{dl} \\
 & 0 \leq \tau p_m^{up} \leq E_m \\
 & \tau \in \{U + G, \dots, T\}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Theorems to prove that, at the optimal point, all the multicast UTs attain the same SE

- Solutions to  $\mathcal{P}1$  and  $\mathcal{P}2$  are coupled in a conflicting manner
- To balance the two objectives, a MOOP is formulated

$$\mathcal{M} : \max_{\mathbf{x}} [O_{mu}(\mathbf{x}), O_{un}(\mathbf{x})]^T$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$$

where

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \left( \{q_j^{dl}\}, \{q_{jk}^{up}\}, \{p_m^{dl}\}, \{p_m^{up}\}, \tau \right) \mid 0 \leq q_j^{dl}, 0 \leq \tau q_{jk}^{up} \leq E_{jk}, 0 \leq p_m^{dl}, 0 \leq \tau p_m^{up} \leq E_m, \right.$$

$$\left. P_{un} + P_{mu} \leq P, \tau \in \{U + G, \dots, T\} \right\}.$$

- Pareto boundary (System designer can choose any point based on the requirement)

### Theorem

*The MOOP (45) of the considered joint unicast and multi-group multicast massive MIMO system with either MRT or ZF precoding, does not have any weak Pareto optimal points and its strong Pareto boundary is analytically described by*

$$\mathcal{B}_s = \left\{ (O_{mu}^*(P_{un}), O_{un}^*(P_{mu})) \mid P_{mu} + P_{un} = P, 0 \leq P_{mu} \leq P, 0 \leq P_{un} \leq P \right\}.$$

*Moreover,  $(O_{mu}^*(P_{un}), O_{un}^*(P_{mu})) \in \mathcal{B}_s$  is achieved when  $(q_j^{dl*}, q_{jk}^{up*}, p_m^{dl*}, p_m^{up*}, \tau^*)$  are obtained either from Theorems 1 and 3 for MRT precoding, or from Theorems 2 and 4 for ZF precoding.*

# Low-Complexity Statistically Robust Precoder/Detector Computation for Massive MIMO Systems - Mahdi Nouri Boroujerdi et al.

## Goal

- To design the precoder/detector in a massive MIMO system without the knowledge of the channel statistics

## Contributions

- Proposed a novel technique for designing precoding/detection matrices in a massive MIMO system based on the randomized Kaczmarz algorithm (KA)
- Extension of the randomized KA (used to solve the overdetermined (OD) set of linear equations (SLE)) to solve the underdetermined (UD) SLE and used it for massive MIMO applications
- Theoretical performance analysis
- Comparison of the performance with techniques based on random matrix theory and approximate message passing via numerical simulations
  - Comparable performance with low complexity
  - No knowledge of channel statistics

## Problem Statement

- To reduce the complexity of the detector/precoder computation in the massive MIMO system

- Kaczmarz Algorithm to solve  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} = b$

---

### Algorithm 1 Kaczmarz Algorithm

---

- 1: Initialize  $\mathbf{w}^0$ .
  - 2: **for**  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$  **do**
  - 3:   Pick a row  $r(t)$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  denoted by the row vector  $\mathbf{a}_{r(t)}^H$ .  
       ▷ In [27], each row  $i$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is selected randomly with the probability  $\frac{\|\mathbf{a}_i\|_2^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2}$ .
  - 4:   Update  $\mathbf{w}^t$  as  $\mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t + \frac{b_{r(t)} - \langle \mathbf{a}_{r(t)}, \mathbf{w}^t \rangle}{\|\mathbf{a}_{r(t)}\|_2^2} \mathbf{a}_{r(t)}$ .  
       ▷ After the update,  $\langle \mathbf{a}_{r(t)}, \mathbf{w}^{t+1} \rangle = b_{r(t)}$ , and  $r(t)$ -th equation is fulfilled.
  - 5: **end for**
- 

- Each Kaczmarz update obeys the minimum energy perturbation principle
  - At each iteration  $t$ , KA finds the closest vector  $\mathbf{w}$  to the current solution  $\mathbf{w}^t$  that satisfies the selected equation

$$\mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^t\|^2 \quad \text{s.t. } \langle \mathbf{a}_{r(t)}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = b_{r(t)} \quad (1)$$

## Unified Mathematical Framework:

- Unified approach to analyze the performance of all variants of KA studied in this paper
- Convergence rate of KA depends on the choice of the probability distribution with which we choose the rows in each iteration
- Optimal probability distribution depends on the average gain of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  (more details in the paper). But solving this is an SDP, whose complexity is of the same order as computing the precoder/detector matrices directly
- Suboptimal probability distribution chosen as  $p_i = \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 / \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2$ 
  - Convergence rate depends on the normalized minimum gain of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  along the subspace spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{A}^H$

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{A}) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2] \leq (1 - \kappa_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{A}))^t \|\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2$$

## Kaczmarz Algorithm for MMSE/ZF Detection:

- $\ell_2$  regularized least squares cost function  $\|\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \xi\|\mathbf{w}\|^2$  can be written as  $\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}_0\|^2$ , where  $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{Q}; \sqrt{\xi}\mathbf{I}_K]$  and  $\mathbf{y}_0 = [\mathbf{y}; \mathbf{0}]$
- Inconsistent equations due to noise in the observations. Randomized KA will result in residual errors. To avoid that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0 = \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{s}} \stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{y}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^H\hat{\mathbf{y}}_0 = (\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{B}^H\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Q}^H\mathbf{y}, \quad (21)$$

- Solve the OD SLE  $\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}_0$  to get the estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$



# Downlink Performance of Superimposed Pilots in Massive MIMO Systems - Karthik Upadhy et al.

## Goal

- To investigate the downlink throughput performance of a massive MIMO system that employs superimposed pilots (SP)<sup>1</sup> for channel estimation

## Contributions

- Closed-form expressions for the DL achievable rate when the LS channel estimates obtained from SP are employed in a matched filter (MF) precoder at the base station (BS)
- Relationship between staggered pilots and SP and derived the DL rate for the former scheme
- Derived expressions for the NMSE and compared it against the Bayesian-CRLB
- Hybrid system design (UEs transmit both regular pilots and SP) for the DL by minimizing the UL and DL interference

**System Model:** TDD,  $L$  cells,  $K$  single-antenna users per cell, BS with  $M$  antennas

- Uplink

$$\mathbf{Y}_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sqrt{\mu_{\ell k}} \mathbf{h}_{j\ell k} \mathbf{s}_{\ell k}^T + \mathbf{W}_j$$

- Downlink

$$\hat{d}_{jm} = \sqrt{\gamma} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \mathbf{h}_{\ell jm}^H \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sqrt{\nu_{\ell k}} \mathbf{g}_{\ell k} d_{\ell k} + w_{jm}$$

<sup>1</sup>Both pilots and data transmitted together

- Effect of pilot contamination on the downlink
  - Regular Pilots (Orthogonal pilots+non overlapping data)
    - Both the LS channel estimate NMSE and DL SINR (with MF precoding) doesn't depend asymptotically on the number of BS antennas ( $M$ )
  - Superimposed Pilots (Orthogonal pilots+overlapping data during the whole frame)
    - With an optimized pilot and data power allocation, NMSE reduces proportional to  $\sqrt{M}$  and if cell reuse factor is more than that of the RP case, then the DL SINR is higher than that of DL SINR with RP (asymptotically)
  - Staggered Pilots (Orthogonal pilots+overlapping data only for the pilot duration)
    - Can achieve the asymptotic DL performance of RP with a reuse factor of SP with an overhead equivalent to that of RP (with a reuse factor of RP)
    - UL spectral efficiency of RP
- Hybrid System
  - RP outperforms SP when the UEs are close to the BS
  - Two sets of users that transmit RP and SP
  - Assumption is that users in RP and SP groups do not interfere with each other (Interference cancellation of RP users needs to be done if this assumption does not hold)
  - Optimization problem to partition the users into RP and SP groups (to minimize the UL and DL interference)
  - Greedy algorithm from an earlier reference extended to solve this problem

## Other Interesting Papers

- 1 Distributed Precoding Systems in Multi-Gateway Multibeam Satellites: Regularization and Coarse Beamforming
- 2 Sum-Rate Maximization Methods for Wirelessly Powered Communication Networks in Interference Channels
- 3 Bandwidth and Energy-Aware Resource Allocation for Cloud Radio Access Networks
- 4 A NOMA Scheme for a Two-User MISO Downlink Channel With Unknown CSIT
- 5 An Efficient Uplink Multi-Connectivity Scheme for 5G Millimeter-Wave Control Plane Applications
- 6 Interference Coordination for 3-D Beamforming-Based HetNet Exploiting Statistical Channel-State Information
- 7 Achievable Rate With 1-Bit Quantization and Oversampling Using Continuous Phase Modulation-Based Sequences