

Journal Watch  
**IEEE Communications- Sept,2018**

**Varun Varindani**

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

September 22, 2018

- Likelihood-Based Automatic Modulation Classification in OFDM With Index Modulation
- Joint Power Control and Beamforming for Uplink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in 5G Millimeter-Wave Communications
- Subchannel Allocation and Hybrid Precoding in Millimeter-Wave OFDMA Systems

# Likelihood-Based Automatic Modulation Classification(AMC) in OFDM With Index Modulation

(J.Zheng and Y.Lv)

- Contributions- ALRT-based classifier, HLRT-based classifier, low-complexity HLRT-LLR, HLRT-Energy classifier and a blind AMC
- $N_c$  Subcarriers in one OFDM symbols are divided into  $G$  blocks with  $N$  subcarriers,i.e., $N_c=NG$
- $I_g^t = \{ i_{g,1}^t, \dots, i_{g,K}^t \}$  denote the set of indices of  $K$  active subcarriers
- $S_g^t = \{ s_{g,1}^t, \dots, s_{g,K}^t \}$  corresponds to the set of symbols transmitted over the active subcarriers

- $x_g^t = x_g^t(I_g^t, S_g^t) = \sum_{k=1}^K s_{g,k}^t e_{j_{g,k}^t}$  denote the frequency domain(FD) signal in gth block
- $\mathbf{y}_g^t = N\sqrt{\frac{G}{K}}\mathbf{X}_g^t\mathbf{h}_g + \mathbf{w}_g^t, g = 1, 2, \dots, G$ , received FD signal, where  $h_{g,j}^t, w_{g,j}^t \sim CN(0, \sigma_f^2), j = 1, 2, \dots, N$
- ALRT-based AMC: hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_m = (K, M)$  is accepted if it achieves largest posterior probability

$$p(K, M|y, \theta) = \frac{p(y|K, M, \theta)p(K, M)}{p(y|\theta)}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \underset{\mathcal{H}=(K, M) \in K \times M}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ln p(y|M, K, \theta)$$

- **Theorem 1:** In ALRT-based AMC, the classifier accepts modulation parameter hypothesis according to

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \underset{\mathcal{H}=(K,M) \in K \times M}{\operatorname{argmax}} \Gamma_{ALRT}(K, M)$$

where  $\Gamma_{ALRT}(K, M) = -TG \ln(C(N, K)M^K) +$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{g=1}^G \ln \sum_{I_g^t} \left( \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{\alpha \in I_g^t} |y_{g,\alpha}^t|^2}{\sigma_f^2}\right) \right)$$

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I_g^t} \sum_{x_{g,\alpha}^t \in \mathcal{X}_M} \exp\left(-\frac{|y_{g,\alpha}^t - N\sqrt{G/K}x_{g,\alpha}^t h_{g,\alpha}|^2}{\sigma_f^2}\right)$$

- Complexity of processing the hypothesis is  $O(TGC(N,K)(N-K+KM))$  in ALRT-based AMC
- HLRT-based AMC: To reduce the complexity, first  $I_g^t$  is estimated and then  $S_g^t$  is averaged out from  $x_g^t$

- Corollary 1: HLRT-based AMC, the classifier accepts the modulation parameter as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \underset{\mathcal{H}=(K,M) \in K \times M}{\operatorname{argmax}} \Gamma_{HLRT}(K, M)$$

where  $\Gamma_{HLRT}(K, M) = -TG \ln(M^K) +$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{g=1}^G \left( -\frac{\sum_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}_g^t} |y_{g,\alpha}^t|^2}{\sigma_f^2} \right) +$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}_g^t} \ln \sum_{x_{g,\alpha}^t \in \mathcal{X}_M} \exp\left(-\frac{|y_{g,\alpha}^t - N\sqrt{G/K} x_{g,\alpha}^t h_{g,\alpha}|^2}{\sigma_f^2}\right)$$

- Complexity of processing the hypothesis is  $O(TG(N-K+KM+N(M+1)))$  in HLRT-based AMC

# Joint Power Control and Beamforming for Uplink Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access in 5G Millimeter-Wave Communications (L.Zhu , J.Zhang , Z.Xiao )

- Contributions- (Suboptimal solution) Decomposes the original problem into two sub-problems: one is a power control and beam gain allocation problem, and the other is a beamforming problem
- Uplink Scenario is used where BS is equipped with a N-element antenna array
- $y = h_1^H w \sqrt{p_1} s_1 + h_2^H w \sqrt{p_2} s_2 + n^H w$ , 2-user NOMA model where  $w$  denotes a beamforming vector with  $|[w]_k| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $h_1 \geq h_2$

- $h_i = \lambda_i a(N, \Omega_i)$ , channel between user  $i$  and BS is an mm-wave channel,  $a(\cdot)$  is a steering vector
- **Decoding**- Case 1:  $s_1$  is decoded first

$$R_1^{(1)} = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{|h_1^H w|^2 p_1}{|h_2^H w|^2 p_2 + \sigma^2}\right), R_2^{(1)} = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{|h_2^H w|^2 p_2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Case 2:  $s_2$  is decoded first

$$R_1^{(2)} = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{|h_1^H w|^2 p_1}{\sigma^2}\right), R_2^{(2)} = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{|h_2^H w|^2 p_2}{|h_1^H w|^2 p_1 + \sigma^2}\right)$$

- $R_1 + R_2 = \log_2\left(1 + \frac{|h_1^H w|^2 p_1 + |h_2^H w|^2 p_2}{\sigma^2}\right)$ , sum rate of different decoding orders are identical



- **Problem:**

$$\underset{p_1, p_2, w}{\text{Maximize}} R_1 + R_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } R_1 \geq r_1, R_2 \geq r_2$$

$$0 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P$$

$$|[w]_k| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N)}}$$

- **Solution:** Problem is non-convex, proposed solution is sub-optimal (decomposes the original problem into two sub-problems )
- Solution of Power Control and Beam gain allocation Sub-Problem:

**Lemma 1:** With the ideal beamforming, the beam gains satisfy

$$\frac{c_1}{|\lambda_1|^2} + \frac{c_2}{|\lambda_2|^2} = N$$

where  $c_1 = |h_1^H w|^2$  and  $c_2 = |h_2^H w|^2$

**Lemma 2:** With the ideal beamforming, the optimal transmission power is

$$p_1^* = P, p_2^* = P$$

- From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, the optimal values of  $|h_2^H w|^2$  and  $|h_1^H w|^2$  are

$$c_2^* = \frac{(2^{r_2}-1)\sigma^2}{P}, \quad c_1^* = |\lambda_1|^2 \left( N - \frac{c_2^*}{|\lambda_2|^2} \right)$$

Decoding  $s_1$  first is optimal

- Solution of the Beamforming Sub-Problem:

$$\underset{w}{\text{Maximize}} \quad |h_1^H w|^2$$

$$\text{Subject to} \quad |h_2^H w|^2 \geq c_2^*$$

$$|[w]_k| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

- Above problem is non-convex, after relaxing constraints we get,

$$\underset{w}{\text{Maximize}} \quad a_1^H w$$

$$\text{Subject to} \quad |a_2^H w| \geq g$$

$$|[w]_k| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N)}}, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

where  $g = \sqrt{\frac{c_2^*}{|\lambda_2|^2}}$ ,  $a_i \equiv a(N, \Omega_i)$

- Still it is not convex, split it into a serial of convex optimization problems ,i.e., assume different phases for  $a_2^H w$

$$\underset{w}{\text{Maximize}} \quad a_1^H w$$

$$\text{Subject to} \quad \text{Re}(a_2^H w e^{2\pi j \frac{m}{M}}) \geq g$$

$$|[w]_k| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N)}}, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

where  $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$  and  $M$  is the number of candidate phases

# Subchannel Allocation and Hybrid Precoding in Millimeter-Wave OFDMA Systems (V.Ha, D.Nguyen, J.Frigon)

- Contributions- Maximizes the sum rate under a constraint on the number of data streams
- **System model**- downlink mm-wave MU-OFDMA HB(Hybrid beamforming) system with a BS with  $N_T$  antennas and  $N_{RF}$  RF chains serves K remote single-antenna UE over S subcarriers
- SINR at user k over subcarrier s ,

$$SINR_{k,s} = \frac{a_{k,s} |h_{k,s}^H A w_{k,s}|^2}{\sum_{j \neq k} a_{j,s} |h_{k,s}^H A w_{j,s}|^2 + \sigma^2}$$

where

$$a_{k,s} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if user k is assigned subcarrier s} \\ 0 & \text{,else} \end{cases}$$

$w_{k,s}$  ,digital precoding vector applied to data symbols  $x_{k,s}$

**A** denotes analog precoding matrix to map RF signals to RF chains

- Problem-

$$\max_{a_{k,s}, w_{k,s}, A} \sum_{k,s} \log(1 + \text{SINR}_{k,s}) \quad (1)$$

s.t

$$A \in A_R \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{k,s} |a_{k,s}|^2 w_{k,s}^H A^H A w_{k,s} \leq P_T \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k,s} a_{k,s} \leq \bar{D} \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{D}$  denotes highest number of concurrent data streams which system can support in one transmission slot

- Solution- let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_{k,s} &= a_{k,s} w_{k,s} \\ \tilde{p}_{k,s} &= \tilde{w}_{k,s}^H \tilde{w}_{k,s} = |a_{k,s}|^2 w_{k,s}^H w_{k,s}\end{aligned}$$

- Inequality (4) can be transformed as

$$\|\tilde{p}\|_0 \leq \bar{D} \quad (5)$$

- Problem-

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\tilde{w}_{k,s}, A} \quad & \sum_{k,s} \log(1 + SINR_{k,s}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (2), (3), (5)\end{aligned}$$

- Sparse HP Design:

**Stage one-** max-sum-rate Optimization:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{u_{k,s}} \sum_{k,s} \log\left(1 + \frac{|h_{k,s}^H u_{k,s}|^2}{\sum_{j \neq s} |h_{k,s}^H u_{j,s}|^2 + \sigma^2}\right) \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{k,s} u_{k,s}^H u_{k,s} \leq P_T \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_{k,s} = A\tilde{w}_{k,s}$

**Stage two-** Sparse HP Design: Let  $u_{k,s}^{opt}$  be the outcome of above problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\tilde{w}_{k,s}, A} \sum_{k,s} \|u_{k,s}^{opt} - A\tilde{w}_{k,s}\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t. (2), (3), (5)} \end{aligned}$$

- Results show that the joint SA and HP design achieves better sum-rate while requiring the lowest computational complexity