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## Complete Dictionary Learning Over the Sphere

```
Ju Sun, Qing Qu and John Wright
```

■ Goal: Given $p$ samples from $\mathbb{R}^{n}, Y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right]$, find a concise representation for these samples. That is, find:
a complete (square and invertible) matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and a sparse coefficient matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, such that $Y \approx A X$ when $n<p$.

■ Usual approach

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{A, X}\|Y-A X\|_{F}^{2}+\lambda\|X\|_{1} \\
& \text { s.t. } A \in \mathcal{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Objective non convex in $A, X ; \mathcal{A}$ typically non convex too
- For a permutation matrix $\Pi$ and a diagonal matrix $\Sigma$ with diagonal entries in $\{+1,-1\}$ :
$(A, X)$ and $\left(A \Pi \Sigma, \Sigma^{-1} \Pi^{\top} X\right)$ result in the same objective value: combinatorially many global minima
- A different formulation:
- Rowspace $(\mathrm{Y})=$ Rowspace $(\mathrm{X})$, rows of $X$ are sparse vectors in the known subspace Rowspace(Y)
- First recover rows of $X$, then recover $A$

$$
\min _{q}\left\|q^{\top} Y\right\|_{0} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad q^{\top} Y \neq 0
$$

- Replace above formulation with a convex objective and a spherical constraint

$$
\min _{q} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_{\mu}\left(q^{\top} y_{i}\right) \text { s.t. }\|q\|_{2}=1
$$

where $h_{\mu}$ : a convex, smooth appproximation to |.|

- Contributions
- Geometric characterization of the objective, explanation for the effectiveness of non convex heuristics

■ First efficient algorithm that provably recovers $A, X$ where $X$ can have $O(n)$ non zeros per column

- Under the assumption that $X_{i j}=\Omega_{i j} V_{i j}$, with $\Omega_{i j} \sim \operatorname{Ber}(\theta)$ and $V_{i j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ (denoted $\left.X_{0} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} B G(\theta)\right)$ :
For $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{3}\right)$, given $Y=A_{0} X_{0}$ with $A_{0}$ a complete dictionary and $X_{0} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} B G(\theta)$, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that recovers $A_{0}$ and $X_{0}$ (upto sign, scale and permutation) with high probability.


## Bayesian Group Testing Under Sum Observations: A Parallelizable Two-Approximation for Entropy Loss

Weidong Han, Purnima Rajan, Peter I. Frazier and Bruno M. Jedynak

■ Setup

- $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ containing locations of $k$ objects, $k \geq 1$ is known
- Choose subsets $A_{i}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, query the number of objects in each subset and obtain a sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ of noiseless answers
- Formally, for the $n^{\text {th }}$ question $A_{n}$, the answer $X_{n}$ is

$$
X_{n}=\mathbb{1}_{A_{n}}\left(\theta_{1}\right)+\ldots+\mathbb{1}_{A_{n}}\left(\theta_{k}\right)
$$

- Bayesian setting: $\theta_{i} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} f_{0}$ with joint density $p_{0}=\Pi_{i=1}^{k} f_{0}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$

■ Goal: Devise a method for choosing questions so that $\theta$ can be found as accurately as possible form a finite budget of questions (accuracy measured in terms of entropy of posterior distribution of $\theta)$

■ Prior work

- $k=1$ case: noiseless, noisy, Bayesian setting considered
- $k>1$ case: Group testing: "Is $A \cap S \neq \phi$ ?" Subset guessing: "Is $S \subset A$ ?"
Binary answers, non-Bayesian setting
■ Contributions
- A non-adaptive dyadic policy and an adaptive greedy policy for noiseless group testing under sum observations. Both algorithms based on minimizing the expected entropy of the posterior on $\theta$.
- Dyadic policy: shown to be optimal among non adaptive policies Greedy policy: at least as good as dyadic policy, strictly better in some cases.

The dyadic policy


Prior density $f_{0}$ with support $[0,1]$. The question set $A_{n}$ is the union of the shaded subsets.

Sparse Signal Processing with Linear and Non-Linear Observations: A Unified Shannon-Theoretic Approach

Cem Aksoylar, George K. Atia and Venkatesh Saligrama

■ Setup
■ Set of $N$ variables/features $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$, outcome $Y$ (both known)
■ Only $k$ variables, indexed by $S \subset[N]$ (unknown), relevant for predicting outcome $Y$

- Latent random quantity $\beta_{S}$ affecting observations

$$
P\left(Y \mid X, \beta_{S}, S\right)=P\left(Y \mid X_{S}, \beta_{S}, S\right)
$$

- Goal: Given $T$ sample pairs $\left\{X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{T}$, observation model $P\left(Y \mid X_{S}, \beta_{S}, S\right)$ and prior $p\left(\beta_{S}\right)$, find necessary and sufficient conditions on $T$ in order to recover $S$ with arbitrarily small error probability

■ Contributions

- Necessary and sufficient conditions on $T$ for various sparsity models (sparse linear regression, binary regression, group testing, models with missing data)
- Results for both linear and non-linear models in a unifying manner
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