Journal Watch IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing - 01 Apr' 2018

Vaibhav Baranwal



SPC Lab Department of Electrical Communication Engineering Indian Institute of Science

7th Apr, 2018

1. MISO Channel Estimation and Tracking from Received Signal Strength Feedback

Authors: Tianyu Qiu , Xiao Fu , Nicholas D. Sidiropoulos , and Daniel P. Palomar

Goal: Estimate the channel using Received Signal Strength (RSS) / Channel Quality Indicator (CQI) feedback.

- All existing and emerging wireless communication systems provide basic Received Signal Strength (RSS) / Channel Quality Indicator (CQI) feedback to compensate for temporal channel variations.
- It can track the vector MISO channel from RSS/CQI feedback alone if one employs time-varying beamforming and phase modulation together with phase retrieval ideas from optics and crystallography..
- Three efficient algorithms that cover different model assumptions are proposed to track the vector MISO channel on the transmitter side using only RSS/CQI feedback.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

1. Problem Statement

We first consider a MISO channel.



1. Proposed Algorithms

Here, $v(m) \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_v^2)$. RSS is given by $|z(m)|^2$ and is related to CQI by $(|z(m)|^2 - \sigma_v^2)/\sigma_v^2$. $y(m)e^{j\phi(m)} = \mathbf{w}^H(m)\mathbf{h}(m) + n(m), \forall m$ $\min_{\{\mathbf{h}(m),\phi(m)\}_{m=1}^M} \sum_{m=1}^M |y(m)e^{j\phi(m)} - \mathbf{w}^H(m)\mathbf{h}(m)|^2$

- Recursive Phase Retrieval
 - A. Forgetting Factor Based Formulation

$$\min_{\mathbf{h},\{\phi(m)\}_{m=1}^{M}}\sum_{m=1}^{M}\lambda^{M-m}|y(m)e^{j\phi(m)}-\mathbf{w}^{H}(m)\mathbf{h}|^{2}$$

B. An Efficient Recursive Algorithm

$$\min_{h,\varphi_M} \|\mathbf{D}_M^{\lambda}\mathbf{D}_M^{y}e^{j\varphi_M} - \mathbf{D}_M^{\lambda}\mathbf{W}_M\mathbf{h}\|_2^2$$

1. Proposed Algorithms

$$\mathbf{h}(m) = \alpha \mathbf{h}(m-1) + \mathbf{u}(m), \ \forall m = 1, 2, \dots$$
$$(h(M), \{\phi(m)\}_m) = \arg \min_{h,\varphi_M} \|\mathbf{C}_M^{-1/2} (\mathbf{D}_M^y e^{j\varphi_M} - \mathbf{D}_M^\alpha \mathbf{W}_M \mathbf{h})\|_2^2$$

 Generalized Maximum Likelihood Estimation-One step Gradient (GMLE-G)

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{M}^{(t+1)} &\leftarrow \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{M}} g\left(\mathbf{h}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{M}\right), \\ \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)} &\leftarrow \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{h}} g\left(\mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{M}^{(t+1)}\right), \end{split}$$

 Generalized Maximum Likelihood Estimation-One step Gradient (GMLE-D)

$$\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{|u_m|=1,\forall m} g\left(\mathbf{h}^{(t)}, \mathbf{u}\right)$$

2. Beyond Massive MIMO: The Potential of Positioning With Large Intelligent Surfaces

Authors: Sha Hu , Fredrik Rusek , and Ove Edfors

 It considers the potential for positioning with a system where antenna arrays are deployed as a large intelligent surface (LIS), which is a newly proposed concept beyond massive multi-input multi-output (MIMO).



2.Signal Model



$$\hat{s}_{x_0, y_0, z_0}(x, y) = s_{x_0, y_0, z_0}(x, y) + n(x, y),$$

$$s_{x_0, y_0, z_0}(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{z_0}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\eta^{\frac{3}{4}}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi j\sqrt{\eta}}{\lambda}\right),$$

Vaibhav Baranwal (IISc)

Image: A mathematical states of the state

2. CRLB

We denote an useful parameter, $au = (R/z_0)^2$,

Terminal on the CPL

$$C_{x,y}([0, 0, z_0], R) = 16\tau^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{z_0^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-1} + o(\tau^{-2}),$$

$$C_z([0, 0, z_0], R) = 16\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{13}{z_0^2} + \frac{16\pi^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-1} + o(\tau^{-1})$$

• Terminal not on the CPL

$$C_{x,y} \approx rac{4\lambda^2 z_1^5}{\pi^2 z_0 R^4},$$

 $C_z \approx rac{\lambda^2 z_0^2}{\pi^2 R^2} + rac{4\lambda^2 (x_0^2 + y_0^2) z_1^5}{\pi^2 z_0^3 R^4}.$

• Phase uncertainity in analog circuits of the LIS

$$\tilde{s}_{x_0, y_0, z_0}(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{z_0}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\eta^{3/4}} \exp\left(j\left(-\frac{2\pi\sqrt{\eta}}{\lambda} - \varphi\right)\right)$$

2. CRLB

$$C_z \approx \frac{48\lambda^2}{\pi^2 \tau^3} \left(1 + \frac{12\lambda^2}{\pi^2 z_0^2 \tau^2} \right)^{-1},$$
$$C_\varphi \approx \frac{4}{\tau \lambda^2} \left(\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 z_0^2 \right).$$

• Deployment of the LIS



Journal Watch

3. Atomic Norm Minimization for Modal Analysis From Random and Compressed Samples

Authors: Shuang Li, Dehui Yang, Gongguo Tang, and Michael B. Wakin

- Modal analysis is the process of estimating a system modal parameters, such as its natural frequencies and mode shapes.
- There is a growing interest in developing automated techniques for structural health monitoring (SHM) based on data collected in a wireless sensor network.
- In order to conserve power and extend battery life, however, it is desirable to minimize the amount of data that must be collected and transmitted in such a sensor network.
- The paper highlights the fact that modal analysis can be formulated as an atomic norm minimization (ANM) problem, which can be solved efficiently and in some cases recover perfectly a structure mode shapes and frequencies.

Image: Image:

Suppose a data matrix $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N]$ of size $M \times N$.

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_{k,i} a(f_k) \\ a(f) &= [e^{j2\pi f 0}, \dots, e^{j2\pi f (M-1)}]^T \\ A(f,b) &= a(f)b^* \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{A(f,b) : f \in [0,1), \|b\|_2 = 1\} \\ \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \inf\{t > 0 : \mathbf{X} \in t \ conv(\mathcal{A})\} \end{aligned}$$

(日) (周) (三) (三)

3. Priliminary

$$\begin{aligned} x^{*}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_{k} \psi_{k}^{*} e^{j2\pi F_{k}t} \\ T &= \{t_{1}, \dots, t_{M}\} = \{0, T_{s}, \dots, (M-1)T_{s}\} \\ \mathbf{X}^{*} &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_{k} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,k}^{*} e^{j2\pi F_{k}t_{1}} & \cdots & \psi_{N,k}^{*} e^{j2\pi F_{k}t_{1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_{1,k}^{*} e^{j2\pi F_{k}t_{M}} & \cdots & \psi_{N,k}^{*} e^{j2\pi F_{k}t_{M}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} |A_{k}| \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,k} e^{j2\pi f_{k}0} & \cdots & \psi_{N,k} e^{j2\pi f_{k}0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi_{1,k} e^{j2\pi f_{k}(M-1)} & \cdots & \psi_{N,k} e^{j2\pi f_{k}(M-1)} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K} |A_{k}| \mathbf{a}(f_{k}) \psi_{k}^{\top} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} |A_{k}| \mathbf{A}(f_{k}, \mathbf{b}_{k}), \end{aligned}$$

k = 1

k = 1

- 4 ⊒ →

(日) (日) (日) (日)

2

A. Modal Analysis for noiseless signals:-It considers five measurement schemes:-

uniform sampling

$$\hat{X} = arg \min_{X} \|X\|_{\mathcal{A}} s.t., X = X^*$$

synchronous random sampling

$$\hat{X} = \arg \min_{X} \|X\|_{\mathcal{A}} s.t., X_{\Omega_{S} \times [N]} = X^{*}_{\Omega_{S} \times [N]}, \, \Omega_{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$$

asynchronous random sampling

$$\Omega_A \subset T \times [N]$$

• random temporal compression

$$y_n = \Phi_n x_n^*, \ n = 1, \dots, N.$$
$$\hat{X} = \arg \min_X \|X\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \ s.t.$$
$$y_n = \Phi_n x_n^*, \ n = 1, \dots, N,$$
$$X = [x_1, \dots, x_N],$$

• random spatial compression

$$y_m = \langle X^{*T}(:,m), \tilde{b}_m \rangle = \langle X^{*T}(:,m), \tilde{b}_m \, \tilde{e}_m^T \rangle, \, m = 1, \dots, M, \, \tilde{b}_m \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1}$$
$$\hat{X} = \arg \min_X \|X\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \, s.t. \, y_m = \langle X^T(:,m), \, \tilde{b}_m \, \tilde{e}_m^T \rangle, 1 \le m \le M$$

Image: Image:

$$Y = X^* + W,$$

entries of W satisfy $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$ and we consider the following atomic norm denoising problem:

$$\min_{X} \frac{1}{2} \|Y - X\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \|X\|_{\mathbb{A}}$$

- The immense amount of daily generated and communicated data presents unique challenges in their processing.
- Subspace clustering (SC) is a relatively recent method that is able to successfully classify nonlinearly separable data in a multitude of settings.
- SC methods incur prohibitively high computational complexity when processing large volumes of high-dimensional data.
- The paper introduces a randomized scheme for SC, termed as Sketch-SC, tailored for large volumes of high-dimensional data.

Consider the following optimization problem

$$\min_{A\in\mathcal{C}}h(A)+\lambda L(X-BA)$$

B is an appropriate $D \times n$ basis matrix (dictionary), h(A) is a a regularization function of the $n \times N$ matrix A. L(.) is an appropriate loss function, and C is a constraint set for A. For SSC, LSR and LRR, B = X, n = N and h(.) is $\|.\|_1, \frac{1}{2}^2_F, \|.\|_*$, and L(.) is $\frac{1}{2}\|_F^2, \frac{1}{2}\|_F^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}\|_F^2$ or $\frac{1}{2}\|_{2,1}$ respectively. Constraint for SSC is $\mathbb{C} = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} : A^T 1 = 1; diag(A) = 0\}$, while for LSR and LRR, we have $C = \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.

$$\hat{X} = \hat{R}X$$
 and $\hat{B} = \hat{X}R$
 $\min_{A} h(A) + \lambda L(\hat{X} - \hat{B}A)$

Here, \hat{R} be a $d \times D$ JLT matrix, where $d \ll D$

- Self-Interference Cancelation Through Advanced Sampling ... M. Bernhardt, F. Gregorio, J. Cousseau, and T. Riihonen
- Low-Complexity Massive MIMO Subspace Estimation and Tracking From Low-Dimensional Projections ... S. Haghighatshoar and G. Caire
- Sparse Activity Detection for Massive Connectivity ... Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, and W. Yu
- High-Dimensional MVDR Beamforming: Optimized Solutions Based on Spiked Random Matrix Models ... L. Yang, M. R.McKay, and R. Couillet