Analytical Characterization of Uncertainty in the Localization of a Sensor Node

Karthik P. N. SPC Lab

March 15, 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Outline

1 Outline

- **2** The Problem Setup
- **3** Theorem 1: Expected Coverage of a Single Beacon
- 4 Theorem 2: Average Whitespace as a Function of r and n

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- **5** Proof of Theorem 1
- 6 Proof of Theorem 2
- Ouncertainty in the Localization of a Sensor
- **8** Simulation Results

9 Summary

The Problem Setup

- A fixed number of beacon nodes (transmitters) deployed uniformly at random over an area
- Each beacon *covers* a circular area of radius *r* around it (node coverage)
- The expected area covered is a function of r and n
- A region in which every point is covered by at least k beacons is said to be k-covered and its area is called the k-coverage

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- A sensor (receiver) in the field of the beacons has a power vector associated with the location of its placement
- Each entry of the power vector is a binary value corresponding to the power received from a beacon
- Given a power vector, many locations over the area may be associated with the same vector, leading to uncertainty in the location of the sensor

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



What We Want...

An mathematical expression relating the average uncertainty (U_{avg}) and average whitespace (W_{avg}) (both measured as a fraction of the total area) to r and n, i.e.,

$$U_{avg} = f(r, n)$$
 $f =?$
 $W_{avg} = g(r, n)$ $g =?$

Expected Coverage of a Single Beacon

Theorem 1 :

If a beacon with transmission radius r is deployed uniformly at random in an $l \times b$ rectangular area ($r \le min(l, b)/2$), its expected coverage is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \mathbb{D}\right] = \frac{\frac{r^4}{2} - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}br^3 + \pi r^2 lb}{lb}$$

where A_{cov} is a random variable representing the node coverage of a beacon and the expectation is computed over all possible locations of a beacon

Average Whitespace as a Function of r and n

Theorem 2 :

When n beacons each with transmission radius r are deployed uniformly at random in an $l \times b$ rectangular area ($r \le min(l, b)/2$), the average whitespace is

$$W_{avg} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\frac{r^4}{2} - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}br^3 + \pi r^2 lb}{l^2 b^2} \right) \right]^n = \left[1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \text{I} \right]}{lb} \right]^n$$

where $\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov}\right]$ is the average area covered by a beacon and the expectation is computed over all possible locations of a beacon



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ◇◇◇

- Let A_{cov} denote the area covered by a beacon of transmission radius r
- A_{cov} is a random variable since its value depends on the location of the beacon
- Under the assumption that each beacon is deployed uniformly at random, the pdf of the beacon location (x, y) is given by

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{lb}, \ 0 \le x \le l, \ 0 \le y \le b.$$

• The expected value of $A_{cov}(\mathbf{1})$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} = \int_{x=0}^{l} \int_{y=0}^{b} a_{cov} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy\right]$$

- For the ease of analysis, the rectangular region is partitioned into 4 sub-regions *R*1, *R*2, *R*3 and *R*4. The beacon can be deployed in one of the four regions
- The average area covered by a beacon may then be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \mathbb{D}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \mathbb{D}\right]_{Ri} p(Ri)$$

where $\mathbb{E} \left[A_{cov} \oplus \right]_{Ri}$ is the average area covered by a beacon deployed uniformly at random in sub-region Ri and p(Ri) is the probability that a beacon is deployed in sub-region Ri

• In sub-region R1,

$$a_{cov} = \pi r^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[A_{cov} \right]_{R1}$$

• In sub-region R2,



• Similar analysis yields the same value for $\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \right]_{R3}$ as well

• In sub-region R4, there arise two scenarios



Accounting for both the scenarios depicted above,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \mathbf{1}\right]_{R4} = r^2 \left(\pi - \frac{29}{24}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

• p(R1) is given as follows:

$$p(R1) = rac{\text{Size of sub-region R1}}{\text{Total area of the region}} = rac{(l-2r)(b-2r)}{lb}$$

• Similarly,

$$p(R2) = \frac{2r(l-2r)}{lb}, \ p(R3) = \frac{2r(b-2r)}{lb}, \ p(R4) = \frac{4r^2}{lb}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• Substituting all the values yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \mathbb{T}\right] = \frac{\frac{r^4}{2} - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}br^3 + \pi r^2 lb}{lb}$$

• Let E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n denote the *n* independent events that a location belongs to the area covered by beacon 1, beacon 2, \dots , beacon *n* respectively. From Theorem 1, we may write

$$p(E_i) = rac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \oplus i\right]}{lb}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n$$

• Let *W* denote the event that a location belongs to whitespace (no-coverage region) associated with the deployment of *n* beacons. Clearly,

$$W = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (E_i)^c$$

The probability of occurrence of event W is

$$p(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left[(E_i)^c \right]$$

$$p(W) = \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} \right]}{lb}\right)^{n}$$

 p(W) quantifies the average whitespace as a fraction of the total area. Letting W_{avg} denote this, we get

$$W_{avg} = \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov}\underline{1}\right]}{lb}\right)^{n}$$
$$= \left[1 - \left(\frac{\frac{r^{4}}{2} - \frac{4}{3}lr^{3} - \frac{4}{3}br^{3} + \pi r^{2}lb}{l^{2}b^{2}}\right)\right]^{n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Every location in the area is associated with a power vector
- Given a binary-valued power vector, it is difficult to associate a unique location with it since many locations may be associated with the same vector
- Let A_{cov} be a random variable denoting the area of a region in which every location is covered by exactly k out of n beacons. The fraction of the total area that is exactly k-covered can be expressed as

$$f_{\text{K}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov}\right]}{lb}$$

 There exist ⁿ_k binary-valued power vectors having k ones. The fraction of the total area associated with one such vector is

$$f_{\underline{k}}(n) = \frac{f_{\underline{k}}}{\binom{n}{k}}$$

• The average uncertainty (as a fraction of the total area) in localization associated with a power vector with exactly can be expressed as

$$U_{avg} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(f_{(k)} \binom{n}{k} \right)^{2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- To analytically characterize U_{avg} , it is necessary to express $\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov}\right]$ in terms of r and n
- Letting C^k_n denote the area covered by at least k out of n beacons, we may write

$$\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov} = \mathbb{E}\left[C_n^k\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[C_n^{k+1}\right]$$

• Suppose that there are *i* beacons deployed and the area of the j-covered region is C_i^j . If the (i + 1)th beacon adds an extra area X_{i+1}^j to the j-covered region, the new size of j-covered region will be

$$C_{i+1}^j = C_i^j + X_{i+1}^j$$

• If F_{i+1}^{J} denotes the fraction of the extra area contributed by the addition of the (i + 1)th beacon, it is expected to be

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F_{i+1}^{j}\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[C_{i}^{j}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[C_{i}^{j+1}\right]}{lb}$$

- An recursive formula 1 shown below can be used to evaluate $\mathbb{E}\left[C_{i}^{j}\right]$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[C_{i}^{j}\right] = p\mathbb{E}\left[C_{i-1}^{j-1}\right] + (1-p)\mathbb{E}\left[C_{i-1}^{j}\right]$$
where $p = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[A_{cov}\underline{1}\right]}{lb}$

Simulation Results

- *I* = 25m, *b* = 25m
- A 100×100 grid assumed to evaluate coverage area
- Number of random deployment experiments over which averaging is performed = 10000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Average Whitespace vs. r



Figure: Average whitespace (as a percentage of the total area) as a function of beacon radius. The mean absolute percentage error between theoretical and experimental values = 5.98%

Average Uncertainty vs. r



Figure: Average uncertainty (as a percentage of the total area) as a function of beacon radius for n = 3 and r = 0 : 2 : 10m.

Summary

- Average uncertainty in the localization of a sensor placed in a field of beacons and available whitespace are functions of beacon radius (r) and number of beacons (n)
- The expected coverage of a single beacon deployed in a region of finite dimensions is lesser than its *node coverage*
- When two or more beacons are deployed uniformly at random, the average size of whitespace region is a polynomial decreasing function of beacon radius
- The average uncertainty in the association of a unique location in the region, given a vector of power values, attains a minimum for a certain value of *r*, for a given value of *n*.