

# Block Sparse Signal Recovery using Tikhonov Regularization

Sai Subramanyam Thoota  
Signal Processing for Communications Lab  
Department of ECE, IISc

October 17, 2015

# Block Sparse Signal Recovery Problem

$y = Ax + w$

$m \times 1$        $m \times n$        $n \times 1$        $5 \times 1$

$\|x\|_0 < m < n$

$\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$

- ▶ Goal: To recover the block sparse signal  $x$  from  $y$
- ▶ Block boundaries and sizes are unknown

# Current Approaches

- ▶ Sparse Bayesian Learning

- ▶ Imposing a sparsity inducing prior on the vector  $x$   
 $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma)$
- ▶ Hyperparameters  $\gamma$  estimated using evidence maximization or type-II ML [1], [2]
- ▶ Posterior density of the weights [2] is given by

$$p(x|y; \gamma, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma_x) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Sigma_x = (\sigma^{-2}\Phi^T\Phi + \Gamma^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$\mu = \sigma^{-2}\Sigma_x\Phi^T y$$

- ▶ SBL Objective function: To maximize  $p(y; \gamma, \sigma^2)$

$$L = \log|\Sigma_t| + y^T \Sigma_t^{-1} y \quad (2)$$

where  $\Sigma_t = (\sigma^2 I + \Phi \Gamma \Phi^T)$

## Current Approaches

- ▶ Iterative Reweighted  $\ell_1$  Minimization [4]

$$x^{(k+1)} = \arg \min_x \|y - \Phi x\|^2 + \lambda \sum_i w_i^{(k)} |x_i| \quad (3)$$

- ▶  $x_{\text{SBL}}$  satisfies the below equation [3]

$$x_{\text{SBL}} = \arg \min_x \|y - \Phi x\|^2 + \lambda g_{\text{SBL}}(x) \quad (4)$$

where  $g_{\text{SBL}}(x) = \min_{\gamma \geq 0} x^T \Gamma^{-1} x + \log |\alpha I + \Phi \Gamma \Phi^T|$

- ▶  $g_{\text{SBL}}(x)$  is a non-decreasing, concave function of  $|x|$  and can be optimized using a reweighted  $\ell_1$  algorithm

$$g_{\text{SBL}}(x) = \min_{\gamma, z \geq 0} x^T \Gamma^{-1} x + z^T \gamma - h^*(z) \quad (5)$$

where  $h^*(z)$  is the concave conjugate of

$h(\gamma) = \log |\alpha I + \Phi \Gamma \Phi^T|$  given by

$$h^*(z) = \min_{\gamma \geq 0} z^T \gamma - \log |\alpha I + \Phi \Gamma \Phi^T| \quad (6)$$

## Proposed Approaches

- ▶ Solution 1: Tikhonov Regularizer term along with the SBL regularizer

$$g_{\text{SBL}}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\gamma, \mathbf{z} \geq 0} \mathbf{x}^T \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}^T \gamma - h^*(\mathbf{z}) + \|\mathbf{L}\gamma\|_2^2 \quad (7)$$

where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Numerical Method approach since there is no analytical solution for the problem. Newton's Method of solving simultaneous linear equations
- ▶ Solution 2:  $l_1$  regularizer instead of  $l_2$  regularizer to impose block sparsity constraint on the vector  $\mathbf{L}\gamma$  (Solution was computationally complex and difficult to solve)

# Proposed Approaches

- ▶ Conventional Expectation Maximization (EM) based Approach (for SBL)

- ▶ Objective Function:

$$E_{x|y, \gamma^{(k)}, \sigma^2}[\log(p(y, x; \gamma, \sigma^2))] = E_{x|y, \gamma^{(k)}, \sigma^2}[\log(p(x; \gamma))] \quad (8)$$

- ▶ E Step: Treat  $x$  as hidden variables

$$E_{x|y, \gamma^{(k)}, \sigma^2}[x_i^2] = (\Sigma_x)_{i,i} + \mu_i^2 \quad (9)$$

- ▶ M Step

$$\gamma_i^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\gamma_i \geq 0} E_{x|y, \gamma^{(k)}, \sigma^2}[x_i^2] \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Sigma_x = (\sigma^{-2} \Phi^T \Phi + \Gamma^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$\mu = \sigma^{-2} \Sigma_x \Phi^T y$$

- ▶ Prior Model:

$$\gamma = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \dots, \sum_i \epsilon_i]^T \quad (11)$$

Constraint:  $\sum_i \epsilon_i \geq 0$

# EM based Approach

- ▶ Solution of the prior model shown above is same as that of the SBL
- ▶  $\ell_1$  Regularizer

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{b_i}{\sum_{j=1}^i \epsilon_j} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \epsilon_j\right) + \lambda \|\epsilon\|_1 \quad (12)$$

where  $b_i = (\Sigma_x)_{i,i}$

- ▶ Constrained optimization approach being derived to solve this problem. Solving  $N$  simultaneous equations with the constraint that  $\sum_{j=1}^i \epsilon_j \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$

## References

- 1 R. Neal, Bayesian Learning for Neural Networks. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- 2 M. Tipping, “Sparse Bayesian Learning and the relevance vector machine.” J. Machine Learning Research, Vol. 1, pp. 211-244, 2001.
- 3 D. Wipf and S. Nagarajan, “A new view of automatic relevance determination,” Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 20, 2008.
- 4 David Wipf and Srikantan Nagarajan, “Iterative Reweighted  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  Methods for Finding Sparse Solutions.” IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, Vol. 4, No. 2, April 2010.