

Supplemental Material for “Novel Receiver Designs for Low-resolution ADC-Based Uplink MU-MIMO Systems with Unknown Channel Covariance Information”

Rubin Jose Peter, *Member, IEEE* and Chandra R. Murthy, *Fellow, IEEE*

I. COMPUTATION OF RELEVANT EXPECTATIONS

We describe the computation of the expectation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$, $\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$, $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$, $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$, which are used in the Algorithm 1 and the proof of Lemma 1. We use the notation $\mathbf{E}_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T$, where $\mathbf{e}_i$ denotes the i th canonical basis vector.

1) *Expectation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$* : Consider the function $Q(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\text{tr}(\mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})))$, and note that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = -\frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{S})}{\partial \mathbf{S}} \Big|_{\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{0}}$. A closed form expression for $Q(\mathbf{S})$ can be easily computed for the complex inverse Wishart distribution, and is given by $Q(\mathbf{S}) =$

$$\int \exp(-\text{tr}((\mathbf{S}^T + \Psi) \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})) \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{\mathcal{C}\Gamma_{N_r}(\nu)} |\mathbf{R}_k|^{-(\nu+p)} d\mathbf{R}_k, \\ = \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu}. \quad (41)$$

Using (41), the derivative of $Q(\mathbf{S})$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial Q(\mathbf{S})}{\partial \mathbf{S}} = -\nu \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1}. \quad (42)$$

Substituting $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$ in the above expression, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = \nu \Psi^{-1}. \quad (43)$$

Next, from (41), it can be seen that to compute the l th column of $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}]$, we must use the following steps:

- Compute $\mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{S})$, the partial derivative of $Q(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to the i th column of $\mathbf{S}$.
- Compute the partial derivative of $\mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to the (j, l) th element of $\mathbf{S}$.
- Evaluate the expression at $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$.

The partial derivative of $Q(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to the i th column of $\mathbf{S}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{S}) = -\nu \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \quad (44)$$

Next, the partial derivative of $\mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to $[\mathbf{S}]_{jl}$ is

$$\mathbf{q}_{ijl}(\mathbf{S}) = \nu \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i$$

The authors are with the Dept. of ECE at IISc, Bangalore, India, Emails: {rubinpeter, cmurthy}@iisc.ac.in.

$$+ \nu^2 \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} \mathbf{e}_j^T (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \quad (45)$$

Thus, the l th column of $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}]$ is

$$[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})]_{\cdot l} = \mathbf{q}_{ijl}(\mathbf{S}) \Big|_{\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{0}} \\ = \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l.$$

Collecting all columns $\{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})]_{\cdot l}\}_{l=1}^{N_r}$ into a matrix yields the final expression

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = \nu (\mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i) \Psi^{-1} + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1}. \quad (46)$$

2) *Expectation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$* : We use the expression for $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$ derived in the previous subsection to compute the expectation of the required quantity, $\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$. Note that the $(i + (j - 1)N_r)$ th column of $\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$ is $\text{vec}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} = [\text{vec}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{11} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}), \text{vec}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{21} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) \\ \dots, \text{vec}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{N_r N_r} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})]$$

Therefore, using (46), we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{*-1} \otimes \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = [\text{vec}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{11} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})), \\ \dots, \text{vec}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{N_r N_r} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}))] \\ = \nu \text{vec}(\Psi^{-1}) \text{vec}(\Psi^{-1})^H + \nu^2 (\Psi^{-1T} \otimes \Psi^{-1}).$$

3) *Expectation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$* : The computation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$ is also essential to obtain the closed form expression of MB-CRLB for the estimation of the channel covariance matrix. Computing $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$ involves the following steps:

- Compute $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S})$ as given by (48) later in this section, and note that $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$, which can be computed using (46).
- Compute $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$ using the partial derivatives of the i th column of $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to the elements of the j th row of the matrix $\mathbf{S}$.
- Finally, compute $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$ using

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = \\ \sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}). \quad (47)$$

Similar to (44), (45), the partial derivative of $Q(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to the r th column, followed by the partial derivative with respect to $[\mathbf{S}]_{sl}$ of $\mathbf{S}$ yield the l th column of $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S})$. Arranging these vectors in a matrix, we obtain

$$\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S}) = \nu \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{e}_s^T (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r) + \nu^2 \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1}. \quad (48)$$

Note that $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S})|_{\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$. From (48), the i th column of $\mathbf{Q}_{rs}(\mathbf{S})$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{rsi}(\mathbf{S}) &= \nu \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &= \mathbf{q}_{1,rsi}(\mathbf{S}) + \mathbf{q}_{2,rsi}(\mathbf{S}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute the derivative of $\mathbf{q}_{1,rsi}(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to $[\mathbf{S}]_{jl}$, and this is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{1,rsilj}(\mathbf{S}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{1,rsi}(\mathbf{S})}{\partial [\mathbf{S}]_{jl}} = \\ &\quad - \nu^2 d_s \mathbf{e}_j^T (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad - \nu d_s (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad - \nu d_s (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $d_s = \frac{|\Psi|^\nu}{|\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T|^\nu}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{1,rsilj}(\mathbf{0}) &= -\nu^2 (\mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad - \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r - \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Arranging the vectors $\{\mathbf{g}_{1,rsilj}(\mathbf{0})\}_{l=1}^{N_r}$ into a matrix yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{1,rsij}(\mathbf{0}) &= -\nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad - \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad - \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Next, we differentiate $\mathbf{q}_{2,rsi}(\mathbf{S})$ with respect to $[\mathbf{S}]_{jl}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{2,rsilj}(\mathbf{S}) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{2,rsi}(\mathbf{S})}{\partial [\mathbf{S}]_{jl}} = \\ &\quad - \nu^3 d_s \mathbf{e}_j^T (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_l (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &\quad - \nu^2 d_s (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &\quad - \nu^2 d_s (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{lj} (\Psi + \mathbf{S}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Arranging $\{\mathbf{g}_{2,rsilj}(\mathbf{0})\}_{l=1}^{N_r}$ into a matrix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{2,rsij}(\mathbf{0}) &= -\nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad - \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &\quad - \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We can compute $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$ using (49) and

(50) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) &= -(\mathbf{G}_{1,rsi}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{G}_{2,rsi}(\mathbf{0})) \\ &= \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} + \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \\ &\quad + \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T (\Psi)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r + \nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{rs} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T (\Psi)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using (47), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \{ \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad + \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \\ &\quad + \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \\ &\quad + \nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &\quad + \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \}, \\ &= (N_r \nu^2 + \nu + \nu^3) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad + (\nu N_r + 2\nu^2) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The simplifications performed in each term of (51) to obtain the final expression are described below.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \right) \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &= N_r \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{is} \Psi^{-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \right) \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_s^T \mathbf{e}_s \\ &= N_r \nu \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &\sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &= \nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} (\mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T) \Psi \left(\sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \right) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &= \nu^3 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \\ &\sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \right) \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \left(\sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \right) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&\sum_{r,s=1}^{N_r} \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \Psi \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^T \right) \Psi \left(\sum_{s=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_s^T \right) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \nu^2 \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i
\end{aligned}$$

In this derivation, we use the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{e}_l \mathbf{e}_l^T = \mathbb{I}, \\
&\mathbf{e}_l^T \mathbf{e}_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

4) **Expectation of $\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}$** : The computation of $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1})$ is similar to (51). We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \mathbf{R}_k^{-1} \Psi \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}) = \\
&(\nu^2 \nu^2 + \nu + \nu^3) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{ij} \Psi^{-1} + (\nu N_r + 2\nu^2) \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_j^T \Psi^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the same as (51).

II. CONCAVITY OF $(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} + 2\sigma_w^2 \mathbf{Z}^{-1/2} + \sigma_w^4 \mathbf{I})^{-1}$

In this subsection, we show that the function

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}) = \left(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} + 2\sigma_w^2 \mathbf{Z}^{-1/2} + \sigma_w^4 \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1}$$

is concave with respect to the matrix inequality. In order to prove concavity, we consider the scalar function $f(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}) \mathbf{w}$, for an arbitrary nonzero vector $\mathbf{w}$, and show that $f(\mathbf{Z})$ is concave. First, we consider the eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^{P \times P}$ as $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^H$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is unitary and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_P)$ contains the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{Z}$. Then, $f(\mathbf{Z})$ is given by

$$f(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{U} \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + 2\sigma_w^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2} + \sigma_w^4 \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{w}.$$

Now, $f(\mathbf{Z})$ is concave with respect to the matrix inequality if and only if the function $g(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{Z}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{Z})$ is concave in α . Let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{U}^H \mathbf{w}$ and let $[v]_i$ denote its i th entry. Consider the function $g_1(\alpha) = f(\alpha \mathbf{Z})$, which can be simplified to

$$g_1(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^P \frac{\frac{|[v]_i|^2}{\sigma_w^4} \alpha}{\frac{\sigma_w^4}{\lambda_i} + \frac{2}{\sigma_w^2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_i}} + \alpha} \quad (52)$$

It is easy to see that the function $\tilde{g}_1(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha c_1} + c_2}$ with $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ is concave for $\alpha > 0$. Since a non-negative weighted sum of concave functions remains concave, $g_1(\alpha)$ in (52) is concave for any $\mathbf{w}$. Because affine transformations preserve concavity, $f(\mathbf{Z}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{Z})$ is concave. Therefore, the function $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is concave with respect to the matrix inequality.

III. LOWER-BOUND OF M-FIM

Denote the eigenvalue decomposition of $\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H}$ by $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^H$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is a unitary eigenvector matrix, $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_P)$ contains the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H}$, and P is the matrix dimension. Then, we have $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}) \triangleq (\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n*} \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n T} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} =$

$$(\mathbf{U}^* \otimes \mathbf{U}) \left((\mathbf{\Lambda} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{\Lambda} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \right) (\mathbf{U}^* \otimes \mathbf{U})^H. \quad (53)$$

Consider the scalar function $f(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{w}$. Using (53), $g_1(\alpha) = f(\alpha \mathbf{R})$ can be represented as

$$g_1(\alpha) = \mathbf{v}^H ((\alpha \mathbf{\Lambda} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \otimes (\alpha \mathbf{\Lambda} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1}) \mathbf{v},$$

where the vector $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{U}^* \otimes \mathbf{U})^H \mathbf{w}$. Since the second derivative of $g_1(\alpha)$ is non-negative, the function $g_1(\alpha)$ is convex. As affine transformations preserve convexity, $f(\mathbf{R}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{R}_1)$, and hence $f(\mathbf{R})$, are convex. Consequently, $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{R})$ is convex with respect to the matrix inequality. By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n*} \mathbf{R}^* \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n T} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1}) \succeq \\
&(\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n*} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R})^* \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n T} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\Psi_c}{\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\tilde{\nu} = \nu - N_r$, the lower bound (RHS) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\nu}} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n*} \Psi_c^* \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n T} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I} \right)^{-1} \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\nu}} \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n} \Psi_c \mathbf{A}_p^{t_n H} + \sigma_w^2 \mathbb{I} \right)^{-1}.$$

IV. PROOF OF (10)

Using the conditional independence between the random variables in the graphical model in Fig. 1, the joint pdf can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(\mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N}, \mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N}, \mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}, \mathbf{H}^{t_N}, \mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^N) \\
&= p(\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_N}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_N}, \mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N}, \mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N}, \mathbf{X}_d^{t_N} | \\
&\quad \mathbf{H}^{t_N}, \mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^N, \sigma_w^2) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{H}^{t_N}, \mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^N, \sigma_w^2) \\
&= p(\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Y}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_p^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) p(\mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{H}^{t_N}, \mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&= p(\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Y}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_p^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) p(\mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{H}^{t_N} | \mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&= p(\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Y}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N}) p(\mathbf{Z}_p^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_p^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{Z}_d^{t_N} | \mathbf{H}^{t_N}; \mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}, \sigma_w^2) p(\mathbf{X}_d^{t_N}) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{H}^{t_N} | \mathbf{R}) \\
&\quad \times p(\mathbf{R}, \{\mathbf{Y}_p^{t_n}, \mathbf{Y}_d^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}; \{\mathbf{X}_p^{t_n}\}_{n=1}^{N-1}, \sigma_w^2).
\end{aligned}$$