

Lecture 4

①

Recap:

Hoeffding's inequality

$X_1, \dots, X_n$ are indep. with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$

$X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i)^2}\right).$$

Bennett's inequality

Same assumptions as above: $|X_i| < c$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2n\sigma^2 + \frac{2ct}{3}}\right).$$

Agenda

- [A] Proof of Bennett's ineq. (previous lecture notes)
 - [B] Azuma's inequality
 - [C] McDiarmid's inequality
- } Beyond indep. rvs and sums

[B] Azuma's inequality —

(2)

We now prove a concentration bound for $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ when $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are not independent, but satisfy a more relaxed condition.

We assume throughout $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$.

Definition (Multiplicative family)

A collection of rvs $X_1, \dots, X_n$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, constitutes a multiplicative family if for every $i_1, \dots, i_k, k \leq n$,
$$\mathbb{E}[X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k}] = 0.$$

Examples of multiplicative family —

(a) indep. $X_1, \dots, X_n$

(b) $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a martingale difference seq.,

i.e., $\exists$ a martingale $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ s.t. $X_i = Z_i - Z_{i-1}$.

Then,
$$\mathbb{E}[X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}] = \mathbb{E}[(Z_{i_k} - Z_{i_k-1}) \cdot X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_{k-1}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(z_{i_k} - z_{i_{k-1}}) \cdot X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_{k-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i_{k-1}} \right] \right] \quad (3)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_{k-1}} \mathbb{E} [z_{i_k} - z_{i_{k-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i_{k-1}}] \right]$$

$$= 0$$

Lemma (Azuma's inequality)

For a multiplicative family $X_1, \dots, X_n$ with $|X_i| \leq c_i$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t \right) \leq \exp \left(-t^2 / 2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \right)$$

Proof.



$$e^{\lambda x} \leq ax + b \quad \forall x \in [-c, c] \text{ with}$$

$$e^{-\lambda c} = -ac + b \text{ and } e^{\lambda c} = +ac + b$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{e^{\lambda c} - e^{-\lambda c}}{2c}, \quad b = \frac{e^{-\lambda c} + e^{\lambda c}}{2}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda X_i} \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i X_i + b_i) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n b_i \right] \quad (\text{by the multiplicative prop})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda c_i} + e^{\lambda c_i}}{2} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 c_i^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^4 c_i^4}{4!} + \dots \right)$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda^2 c_i^2 / 2}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \psi_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2.$$

Remark. (Application for Martingales)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) = Z_n - Z_0.$$

Therefore, Azuma's inequality implies that for a martingale $\{Z_n\}$ such that $|\Delta_i| \leq c$,

$$P(Z_n - Z_0 > c \sqrt{2n \log \frac{1}{\delta}}) \leq \delta.$$

In fact, using Doob's maximal inequality, we can get the same bound for $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (Z_i - Z_0)$.

$$* \text{ Martingale: } E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$$

$$\text{sub Martingale: } E[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] \geq X_n$$

⑤

Doob's maximal inequality

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a submartingale. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} X_i > t\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X_n|]}{t}.$$

How to use this for martingales?

If $\{Z_n\}$ is a martingale $\Rightarrow \{Z_n - Z_0\}$ martingale

$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda(Z_n - Z_0)}$ submartingale (why?)

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} (Z_i - Z_0) > t\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} e^{\lambda(Z_i - Z_0)} > e^{\lambda t}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(Z_n - Z_0)}]}{e^{\lambda t}} \quad (\text{by Doob's ineq.})$$

Now, we can bound $\psi_{Z_n - Z_0}(\lambda)$ as in

Azuma's inequality to get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} Z_i - Z_0 > t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2nc^2}\right).$$

This tells us how martingale noise works: ⑥

Let $Y_n = f(n) + M_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq n \leq T} |Y_n - f(n)| > t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{T^2}{2nc}\right)$$

where we have assumed $|M_n - M_{n-1}| \leq c$ a.s.



[C] McDiarmid's inequality

We now move beyond "sum" function and present the first instantiation of Talagrand's principle.

Definition (Bounded difference property)

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies BDP with

$c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ if

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) < c_i, \quad \forall x_i, x'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

(7)

Another interpretation:

Consider a rescaled version of Hamming distance: $d_c(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{1}(x_i \neq y_i)$.

Then, f satisfies BDP with c iff

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d_c(x, y),$$

namely f is 1-Lipchitz in $\underbrace{d_c(\cdot, \cdot)}_{\text{semi-metric}}$.

semi-metric

Lemma (McDiarmid)

Consider a function f satisfying BDP with c .

Then, for $Z = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with indep. $X_1, \dots, X_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z > t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\|c\|_2^2}\right).$$

(and similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}(-Z + \mathbb{E}Z > t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\|c\|_2^2}\right).)$$

Proof. Let $X_i = \mathbb{E}[Z | X_1, \dots, X_i]$.

Then, Y_i is a martingale. Also, (8)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i - Y_{i-1} &= \mathbb{E}[Z | X^i = x^i] - \mathbb{E}[Z | X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Z | X^i = x^i] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z | X^i] | X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[Z | X^i = x^i] - \mathbb{E}[Z | X^{i-1} = x^{i-1}, X_i]\right] \\ &\leq C_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Azuma's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - Y_{i-1}) > t\right) &= \mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z > t) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\|c\|_2^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

D