## Lecture 26: Dominated Convergence Theorem

Continuation of Fatou's Lemma.

**Corollary 0.1.** If  $f \in L^+$  and  $\{f_n \in L^+ : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is any sequence of functions such that  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere, then

$$\int_X f \leqslant \liminf \int_X f_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_n \to f$  everywhere in X. That is,  $\liminf f_n(x) = f(x)$  (=  $\limsup f_n$  also) for all  $x \in X$ . Then, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_X f = \int_X \liminf f_n \leqslant \liminf \int_X f_n.$$

If  $f_n \nleftrightarrow f$  everywhere in X, then let  $E = \{x \in X : \liminf f_n(x) \neq f(x)\}$ . Since  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere in X,  $\mu(E) = 0$  and

$$\int_X f = \int_{X-E} f \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X f_n = \int_{X-E} f_n \ \forall n$$

thus making  $f_n \not\rightarrow f$  everywhere in X - E. Hence,

$$\int_X f = \int_{X-E} f \leqslant \liminf \int_{X-E} f_n = \liminf \int_X f_n.$$

**Example 0.2 (Strict inequality).** Let  $S_n = [n, n+1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_n = \chi_{S_n}$ . Then,  $f = \liminf f_n = 0$  and

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu < \liminf \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n \, d\mu = 1.$$

**Example 0.3 (Importance of non-negativity).** Let  $S_n = [n, n+1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_n = -\chi_{S_n}$ . Then,  $f = \liminf f_n = 0$  but

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, d\mu > \liminf \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n \, d\mu = -1.$$

**Proposition 0.4.** If  $f \in L^+$  and  $\int_X f d\mu < \infty$ , then (a) the set  $A = \{x \in X : f(x) = \infty\}$  is a null set and (b) the set  $B = \{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

*Proof.* Recall that for any  $f \in L^+$ 

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_X \phi \, d\mu \ : \ 0 \leqslant \phi \leqslant f, \ \phi \ \text{simple} \right\}$$

and for a simple function  $\psi$ ,  $\int_X \psi d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu(E_j)$ , where  $E_j = \psi^{-1}(\{a_j\})$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$  is the the range of  $\psi$ .

(a) Assume, on the contrary, that  $\mu(A) > 0$  and let  $I = \int_X f d\mu < \infty$ . Define a simple function  $\phi$  as

$$\phi = 2 \frac{I}{\mu(A)} \chi_A.$$

Since  $f(x) = \infty$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X - A$ ,  $\phi(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and therefore,  $\int_X \phi \, d\mu \leq \int_X f \, d\mu$ . But  $\int_X \phi \, d\mu = 2I > I = \int_X f \, d\mu$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

(b) A  $\sigma$ -finite set is a countable union of sets of finite measure. Define  $B_n = \{x \in X : f(x) > n^{-1}\}$ . Then, B is a countable union of  $B_n$ s. For each  $B_n$ , define the simple functions  $\phi_n = n^{-1}\chi_{B_n}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi_n \leqslant f$ , and hence  $\int_X \phi_n d\mu \leqslant \int_X f d\mu < \infty$ . Since  $\int_X \phi_n d\mu = n^{-1}\mu(B_n)$ ,  $\mu(B_n) < \infty$ . Thus,  $B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

## **1** Integration of Real-Valued Functions

We now discuss integration of real-valued function which need not be positive. Let  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  be the positive and negative parts of f respectively, where

$$f^+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$$
 and  $f^-(x) = \max\{-f(x), 0\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Then,  $f = f^+ - f^-$ . Note that both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are positive real-valued functions. If at least one of  $\int_X f^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_X f^- d\mu$  is finite, then we define

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X f^+ \, d\mu - \int_X f^- \, d\mu.$$

If both  $\int_X f^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_X f^- d\mu$  are finite, then f is said to be **integrable**. Since  $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ , f is integrable iff  $\int_X |f| d\mu < \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** The set of integrable real-valued functions on X, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}(X,\mathbb{R})$ , is a real vector space, and the integral is linear functional on it.

Proof. To prove that  $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is a vector space, it suffices to prove that any linear combination of integrable real-valued functions in  $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$  also in  $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ . For any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|af + bg| \leq |a||f| + |b||g|$  (by triangle inequality). Hence,  $\int_X |af + bg| d\mu \leq \int_X (|a||f| + |b||g|) d\mu = |a| \int_X |f| d\mu + |b| \int_X |g| d\mu < \infty$ since both f and g are integrable. Thus, af + bg is also in  $\mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

To prove that the functional  $I: f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , is linear, we need to show that (a)I(cf) = cI(f) and (b)I(f+g) = I(f)+I(g) for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

- (a) We will use the facts that  $(cf)^+ = cf^+$  and  $(cf)^- = cf^-$  for  $c \ge 0$  and that  $(cf)^+ = |c|f^-$  and  $(cf)^- = |c|f^+$  for c < 0. Recall that for any  $g \in L^+$ , I(cg) = cI(g) and for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X,\mathbb{R})$ , both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are positive. Let  $c \ge 0$ . Then, using the above facts,  $I(cf) = c(I(f^+) I(f^-)) = cI(f)$ . For  $c < 0, I(cf) = I((cf)^+) I((cf)^-) = |c|(I(f^-) I(f^+)) = -|c|I(f) = cI(f)$ .
- (b) Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and h = f + g. Then,  $h^+ h^- = f^+ f^- + g^+ g^-$  and consequently  $h^+ + f^- + g^- = h^- + f^+ + g^+$ . Recall that if  $\{f_n\}$  is a finite of infinite sequence in  $L^+$  and  $f = \sum_n f_n$ , then  $\int f = \sum_n \int f_n$ . So,

$$\int h^{+} + \int f^{-} + \int g^{-} = \int h^{-} + \int f^{+} + \int g^{+}.$$

Rearranging the terms above, we get

$$\int h = \int h^{+} - \int h^{-} = \int f^{+} - \int f^{-} + \int g^{+} - \int g^{-} = \int f + \int g.$$

**Proposition 1.2.** For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $|\int f| \leq \int |f|$ .

*Proof.* If  $\int f = 0$ , then this is trivial. For any real f,  $|\int f| = |\int f^+ - \int f^-| \leq |\int f^+| + |\int f^-| = \int f^+ + \int f^- = \int |f|$  (by triangle inequality).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 1.3.** (a) For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $A = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

- (b) If  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $\int_E f = \int_E g$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  iff  $\int |f g| = 0$  iff f = g almost everywhere.
- *Proof.* (a) Note that  $A = A^+ \cup A^-$ , where  $A^+ = \{x : f^+(x) > 0\}$  and  $A^- = \{x : f^-(x) > 0\}$ . Since both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are in  $L^+$ , by Proposition 0.4, both  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  are  $\sigma$ -finite. Hence, A is  $\sigma$ -finite.

(b) The second equivalence follows from the fact that for any  $h \in L^+$ ,  $\int h = 0$  iff h = 0 almost everywhere. If  $\int |f - g| = 0$ , then by Proposition 1.2, for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\left| \int_{E} f - \int_{E} g \right| \leq \int_{X} \chi_{E} |f - g| \leq \int_{X} |f - g| = 0$$

so that  $\int_E f = \int_E g$ . Let h = f - g and assume that f = g almost everywhere is false, then at least one of  $h^+$  and  $h^-$  must be nonzero on a set of positive measure. Let  $E = \{x : h^+(x) > 0\}$  be one such set; note that  $h^-(x) = 0$  and hence,  $\int_E h^-(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then,  $\int_E f - \int_E g = \int_E h = \int_E h^+ > 0$ . Similar conclusion can be drawn for  $h^-$  being nonzero on a set of positive measure.

*Remark* 1. (i) Altering functions on a mull set does not alter their integration.

- (ii) Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then, it is possible to integrate f by defining  $f|_{E^c} = 0$ .
- (*iii*) It is possible to treat  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued functions that are finite almost everywhere as  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions.

**Definition 1.4.**  $L^1$  can be redefined as follows:

 $L^{1}(\mu) = \{$ Equivalence class of almost everywhere-defined integrable functions on  $X\},\$ 

where two functions f and g are equivalent if  $\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0$ .

- Remark 2. (i)  $L^{1}(\mu)$  is still a vector space (under pointwise almost everywhere addition and scalar multiplication).
- (ii)  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  will mean that f is an almost everywhere-defined integrable function.
- (iii) For any two  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$ , define  $\rho(f, g) = \int |f g| d\mu$ . This is a metric, since it is symmetric, satisfies triangle inequality, and is 0 if f and g are equal almost everywhere. This definition allows  $L^1(\mu)$  to be a metric space with  $\rho(f, g)$  as the metric.

**Theorem 1.5 (The Dominated Convergence Theorem).** Let  $\{f_n \in L^1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of functions such that (a)  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere and (b) there exists a non-negative  $g \in L^1$  such that  $|f_n| \leq g$  almost everywhere for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $f \in L^1$  and  $\int f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n$ .

Remark 3. (i)  $\int \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n$  is an equivalent statement.

## (*ii*) Here g dominates $f_n$ s.

*Proof.* Since f is the limit of measurable functions  $\{f_n\}$  almost every where, it is measurable. Since  $|f_n| \leq g$  almost everywhere,  $|f| = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n \leq g$  almost everywhere, and hence,  $f \in L^1$ . Furthermore,  $g + f_n \leq 0$  almost everywhere and  $g - f_n \leq 0$  almost everywhere. By Corollary 0.1

$$\int g + \int f = \int (g+f) \leq \liminf \int (g+f_n) = \int g + \liminf \int f_n,$$

or

$$\int f \leqslant \liminf \int f_n. \tag{1}$$

Using Corollary 0.1 for  $g - f_n$  we obtain

$$\int g - \int f = \int (g - f) \leqslant \liminf \int (g - f_n) = \int g - \liminf \int f_n,$$

or

$$\int f \geqslant \limsup \int f_n. \tag{2}$$

Since  $\liminf \int f_n \leq \limsup \int f_n$ , using 1 and 2 we get

$$\int f \leqslant \liminf \int f_n \leqslant \limsup \int f_n \leqslant \int f,$$

which forces

$$\int f = \liminf \int f_n = \limsup \int f_n \leqslant \int f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

as claimed.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $\{f_n \in L^1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of functions such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n| < \infty$ . Then,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$  converges to a function in  $L^1$  and  $\int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n$ .

*Proof.* Recall that if  $\{h_n\}$  is a finite of infinite sequence in  $L^+$ , then  $\int \sum_n h_n = \sum_n \int h_n$ . Set  $h_n = |f_n|$  and let  $g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n|$ . Then,  $\int g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n| < \infty$  and hence  $g \in L^1$ .

By Proposition 0.4,  $g(x) (= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(x)|)$  is finite for all  $\{x : g(x) > 0\}$ , and for each such  $x \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$  converges. Furthermore, the partial sums  $F_k \triangleq$   $\sum_{n=1}^{k} f_n \leq g$  (by triangle inequality) for all k. We can now apply dominated convergence theorem to the sequence of partial sums  $F_k$  to obtain

$$\int \lim_{k \to \infty} F_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int F_k,$$

which can be simplified to (using linearity of  $\int$ )

$$\int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n.$$

**Theorem 1.7.** If  $f \in L^1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is an integrable simple function  $\phi = \sum a_j \chi_{E_j}$  such that  $\int |f - \phi| < \epsilon$ . (That is, the integrable simple functions are dense in  $L^1$  in the  $L^1$  metric.)

*Proof.* Recall that for any real-valued measurable function g, there exists a sequence  $\{\psi_n\}$  of simple functions such that  $\psi_n \to g$  and  $0 \leq |\psi_1| \leq |\psi_2| \leq \ldots \leq |g|$  pointwise. Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be as above for f. Then,  $\phi_n$ s are integrable. Since  $|\phi_n - f| \leq 2|f|, \int |\phi_n - f| < \epsilon$  for sufficiently large n by the dominated convergence theorem.

## 2 Modes of Convergence

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $L^1$  and  $f \in L^1$ .

**Definition 2.1 (Convergence in**  $L^1$ ). If  $f_n \to f$  in the metric  $\rho(f,g) = \int |f - g| d\mu$ , then  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f in  $L^1(\mu)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^1$  iff  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ .

**Definition 2.3 (Pointwise Convergence).**  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f pointwise if  $f_n(x)$  converges to f(x) for all  $x \in X$ . In other words, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and x, there exists an  $N_{\epsilon,x}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N_{\epsilon,x}$ .

**Definition 2.4 (Uniform Convergence).**  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f uniformly if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N_{\epsilon}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N_{\epsilon}$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5 (Almost Everywhere Convergence).**  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f almost everywhere if  $\mu(\{x \in X : \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) \neq f(x)\}) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.6 (Convergence in Measure).**  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f in measure if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon\}) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.7 (Cauchy Convergence).**  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be Cauchy in measure if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \ge 0\}) \to 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere and  $f_n \leq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $g \in L^1$ , then  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^1$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the dominated convergence theorem since  $|f_n - f| \leq 2g$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.9.** If  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^1$ , then  $f_n \to f$  in measure.

Proof. Let  $E_{n,\epsilon} = \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \ge \epsilon\}$ . Then,  $\int |f_n - f| \ge \int_{E_{n,\epsilon}} |f_n - f| \ge \epsilon \mu(E_{n,\epsilon})$ , and hence  $\mu(E_{n,\epsilon}) \le \epsilon^{-1} \int |f_n - f| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.10 (Erogoff's Theorem, Almost Uniform Convergence).** Let  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f$  be measurable real-valued functions on X such that  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere. Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $E \subseteq X$  such that  $\mu(E) < \epsilon$  and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $E^{c}$ .

Remark 4.  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge to f almost uniformly if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  of measure  $\mu(E) < \epsilon$  such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $E^{\mathsf{c}}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $f_n \to f$  everywhere on X. For  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$E_n(k) = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \{ x \in X : |f_m(x) - f(x)| \ge \frac{1}{k} \}.$$

Then, for fixed k,  $E_n(k)$  decreases as n increases and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(k) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mu(X)\infty$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(E_n(k)) = 0$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $n_k$  large enough that  $\mu(E_n(k)) < \epsilon 2^{-k}$  and let  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n_k}(k)$ . Then,  $\mu(E) < \epsilon$  and we have  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k}$  for  $n > n_k$  and  $x \notin E$ . Thus,  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $E^{\mathsf{c}}$ .