

Lecture 23: Kalman Filtering

1 Linear Dynamic Systems

Contd. from last class (Lecture-22)

A **very important special case** of signal estimation is a "Linear Dynamical System driven by Gaussian noise/controls". Here the state and observation equations are of the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{X}_{t+1} &= \mathbf{F}_t \underline{X}_t + \mathbf{G}_t \underline{U}_t, \\ \underline{Y}_t &= \mathbf{H}_t \underline{X}_t + \underline{V}_t,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $\{U_t\}$ and $\{V_t\}$ are independent sequences of independent, zero-mean Gaussian vectors, independent of the initial condition \underline{X}_0 . Also, $\underline{X}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{m}_0, \underline{\Sigma}_0)$, with $Cov(U_t) = Q_t, Cov(V_t) = R_t$.

- Filtering : Estimate \underline{X}_t given $(\underline{Y}_0, \dots, \underline{Y}_t) \equiv \underline{Y}_0^t$.
- Prediction : Estimate \underline{X}_{t+1} given $(\underline{Y}_0, \dots, \underline{Y}_t) \equiv \underline{Y}_0^t$.

The *criterion* to be minimized is the mean square error of estimator $\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t}$, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[||\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t} - \underline{X}_t||_2^2]$.

Conceptual Solution Parametric Estimation: Let $\mathbf{Y} = (\underline{Y}_0, \dots, \underline{Y}_t) = \underline{Y}_0^t \sim f(y|\theta)$, and, $\Theta \equiv \underline{X}_0^t \equiv (\underline{X}_0, \dots, \underline{X}_t)$. Prior on Θ is the distribution of \underline{X}_0^t induced by the distribution of \underline{X}_0 and $\{\underline{U}_n\}_{n=0}^t$,

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim f(y|\theta)\tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{H}\Theta + \mathbf{V}\tag{3}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{Y}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{Y}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 & & & \\ & H_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & H_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{X}_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \underline{V}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{V}_t \end{bmatrix}\tag{4}$$

and loss function $L(g(\theta), \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y})) = ||\theta_t - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y})||_2^2$. From Bayesian estimation, the best estimator is $\mathbb{E}[g(\theta)|\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t|\underline{Y}_0 \dots \underline{Y}_t]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Discrete time Kalman-Bucy Filter For the linear dynamic system represented by the set of eqns. (1), the optimal squared error estimates,*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t} &:= \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t], \\ \hat{\underline{X}}_{t+1|t} &:= \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_{t+1} | \underline{Y}_0^t],\end{aligned}$$

obey the following recursions,

$$\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t} = \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1} + \mathbf{K}_t(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}), \quad t = 0, 1, \dots \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{\underline{X}}_{t+1|t} = \mathbf{F} \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t}, \quad (6)$$

with initialization,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{X}}_{0|-1} &= m_0 = \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_0], \\ \mathbf{K}_t &:= \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1} \mathbf{H}_t^T (\mathbf{H}_t \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1} \mathbf{H}_t^T + \mathbf{R}_t)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\Sigma}_{0|-1} &:= \underline{\Sigma}_0, \\ \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1} &:= \text{Cov}(\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) = \underbrace{\text{Cov}((\underline{X}_t - \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}) | \underline{Y}_0^t)}_{\text{prediction error}}.\end{aligned}$$

More over, the covariance matrices satisfy the recursion,

$$\underline{\Sigma}_{t|t} = \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1} - \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{H}_t \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}, \quad (7)$$

$$\underline{\Sigma}_{t+1|t} = \mathbf{F}_t \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t} \mathbf{F}_t^T + \mathbf{G}_t \mathbf{Q}_t \mathbf{G}_t^T, \quad (8)$$

Remark 1. K-B Filter gives a sequential rule to output estimates.

Proof. First, Let us prove (6), (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{X}}_{t+1|t} &= \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_{t+1} | \underline{Y}_0^t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{F}_t \underline{X}_t + \mathbf{G}_t \underline{U}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t] \\ &= \mathbf{F}_t \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t] + \mathbf{G}_t \mathbb{E}[\underline{U}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t] \\ &= \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t} \\ \underline{\Sigma}_{t+1|t} &= \text{Cov}(\underline{X}_{t+1} | \underline{Y}_0^t) \\ &= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{F}_t \underline{X}_t + \mathbf{G}_t \underline{U}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) \\ &= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{F}_t \underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) + \text{Cov}(\mathbf{G}_t \underline{U}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) \\ &= \mathbf{F}_t \text{Cov}(\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) \mathbf{F}_t^T + \mathbf{G}_t \text{Cov}(\underline{U}_t | \underline{Y}_0^t) \mathbf{G}_t^T \\ &= \mathbf{F}_t \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t} \mathbf{F}_t^T + \mathbf{G}_t \mathbf{Q}_t \mathbf{G}_t^T\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the proof of (5):

Lemma 1.2. Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^n, B \in \mathbb{R}$ are jointly Gaussian random vectors with $\mathbb{E}[A] = \underline{\mu}_A, \mathbb{E}[B] = \underline{\mu}_B, \text{Cov}(A) = \underline{\Sigma}_A, \text{Cov}(B) = \underline{\Sigma}_B, \text{Cov}(A, B) = \underline{\Sigma}_{AB} = \underline{\Sigma}_{BA}^T = \mathbb{E}[(A - \underline{\mu}_A)(B - \underline{\mu}_B)^T]$. Then the conditional distribution of B given A is (multivariate) Gaussian, with mean,

$$\underline{\mu}_{B|A} = \underline{\mu}_B + \underline{\Sigma}_{BA} + \underline{\Sigma}_A^{-1}(A - \underline{\mu}_A)$$

and covariance,

$$\underline{\Sigma}_{B|A} = \underline{\Sigma}_B - \underline{\Sigma}_{BA}\underline{\Sigma}_A^{-1}\underline{\Sigma}_{AB}$$

Consider, $\underline{Y}_t = \mathbf{H}_t \underline{X}_t + \underline{V}_t$, we note that

1. \underline{X}_t is conditionally Gaussian given \underline{Y}_0^{t-1} (\underline{X}_t & \underline{Y}_0^{t-1} are linear transformations of $\underline{X}_0, \{\underline{U}_n\}_n, \{\underline{V}_n\}_n$).
2. Given \underline{Y}_0^{t-1} , $\underline{X}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}, \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1})$.
3. \underline{V}_t is Gaussian.
4. Given $\underline{Y}_0^{t-1}, \underline{V}_t \perp \underline{X}_t$, because $(\underline{V}_t \perp (\underline{X}_0, \underline{U}_0^{t-1} \underline{V}_0^{t-1}))$.

Therefore, using Lemma. 1.2, given \underline{Y}_0^{t-1} , the conditional distribution of \underline{X}_t given \underline{Y}_t is Gaussian with mean,

$$\underline{\mu}_B + \underline{\Sigma}_{BA}\underline{\Sigma}_A^{-1}(A - \underline{\mu}_A) = \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1} + \mathbb{E}[(\underline{X}_t - \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1})(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}_t|\underline{Y}_0^{t-1}])^T] \\ \text{Cov}(\underline{Y}_t|\underline{Y}_0^{t-1})^{-1}(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}_t|\underline{Y}_0^{t-1}]),$$

i.e.,

$$\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t} = \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t|\underline{Y}_t] = \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1} + \underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}\mathbf{H}_t^T(\mathbf{H}_t\underline{\Sigma}_{t|t-1}\mathbf{H}_t^T + \mathbf{R}_t)^{-1}(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}) \\ = \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1} + \mathbf{K}_t(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}),$$

and similarly for the conditional covariance of \underline{X}_t given $\underline{Y}_t, \underline{Y}_0^{t-1}$. \square

Note 1. The KB Filter computes both an estimate ($\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t}, \hat{\underline{X}}_{t+1|t}$) and its mean square error(MSE) given covariance ($\underline{\Sigma}_{t|t}\underline{\Sigma}_{t+1|t}$).

Note 2. The sequence of conditional covariance $\{\underline{\Sigma}_{t|t}\}_{t=0}^\infty$ does not depend on the observations $\{\underline{Y}_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$.

Consider the vector $\underline{I}_t = \underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t\hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}$. \underline{I}_t is a "correction" term representing error in predicting the observations, called the innovation at time t . Claim: $\{\underline{I}_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of zero mean independent Gaussian vectors.

Proof. Since \underline{Y}_t and $\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}$ are Gaussians.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\underline{I}_t] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^{t-1}]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}_t \underline{X}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^{t-1}]] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(\mathbf{H}_t \underline{X}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \mathbb{E}[\underline{X}_t | \underline{Y}_0^{t-1}]) \middle| \underline{Y}_0^{t-1} \right] \right] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\forall s < t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\underline{I}_t \underline{I}_s^T] &= \mathbb{E}[[\underline{I}_t \underline{I}_s^T | \underline{Y}_0^s]] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\underline{I}_t | \underline{Y}_0^s] \underline{I}_s^T], \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where, $\mathbb{E}[\underline{I}_t | \underline{Y}_0^s] = \mathbb{E}[(\underline{Y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\underline{X}}_{t|t-1}) | \underline{Y}_0^s] = 0$. □