Lecture 20: Polya's Urn Scheme

The gambling interpretation of the stochastic integral suggests that it is natural to let the amount bet at time n depend on the outcomes of the first n-1 flips but not on the flip we are betting on, or on later flips. The next result shows that we cannot make money by gamblingon a fair game.

Theorem 0.1. Let X_n be a martingale. If H_n is predictable and each H_n is bounded, then $(H \cdot X)_n$ is a martingale.

Proof. It is easy to check that $(H \cdot X)_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$. The boundedness of the H_n implies $E|(H \cdot X)_n| < \infty$ for each n. With this established, we can compute conditional expectations to conclude

$$E((H \cdot X)_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = (H \cdot X)_n + E(H_{n+1}(X_{n+1} - X_n) | \mathcal{F}_n)$$

= $(HX)_n + H_{n+1}E(X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$
= $(H \cdot X)_n$.

since $H_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $E(X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n) = 0$

The last theorem can be interpreted as: you can't make money by gambling on a fair game. This conclusion does not hold if we only assume that H_n is optional, that is $H_n \in (F)_n$, since then we can base our bet on the outcome of the coin we are betting on.

Theorem 0.2. Suppose M_0, M_1, \ldots is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ and suppose T is a stopping time. Suppose that T is bounded, $T \leq K$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T | \mathcal{F}_0) = M_0.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}(M_T) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$.

To prove this fact, we first note that the event $\{T > n\}$ is measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_n (since we need only the information up through time n to determine if we have stopped by time n). Since M_T is the random variable which equals M_j if T = j we can write

$$M_T = \sum_{j=0}^{K} M_j I\{T=j\}.$$

Let us take the conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{F}_{K-1} ,

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T|(F)_{K-1}) = \mathbb{E}(M_K I\{T = K\}|\mathcal{F}_{K-1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E}(M_j I\{T = j\}|\mathcal{F}_{K-1}).$$

For $j \leq K - 1, M_j I\{T = j\}$ is \mathcal{F}_{K-1} - measurable; hence

$$\mathbb{E}(M_j I\{T=j\} | \mathcal{F}_{K-1}) = M_j I\{T=j\}.$$

Since T is known to be no more than K, the event $\{T = K\}$ is the same as the event $\{T > K - 1\}$. The latter event is measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_{K-1} . Hence using equality

$$\mathbb{E}(YZ|\mathcal{F}_n) = Z\mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}_n).$$

Where Y is any random variable and Z is a random variable that is measurable with respect to finite number of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n .

$$\mathbb{E}(M_{K}I\{T=K\}|\mathcal{F}_{K-1}) = \mathbb{E}(M_{K}I\{T>K-1\}|\mathcal{F}_{K-1})$$

= $I\{T>K-1\}\mathbb{E}(M_{K}|\mathcal{F}_{K-1})$
= $I\{T>K-1\}M_{K-1}.$

The last equality follows from the fact the M_n is a martingale. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T | \mathcal{F}_{K-1}) = I\{T > K-1\}M_{K-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} M_j I\{T=j\}$$
$$= I\{T > K-2\}M_{K-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{K-2} M_j I\{T=j\}.$$

If we work through this argument again, this time conditioning with respect to \mathcal{F}_{K-2} , we gat

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T | \mathcal{F}_{K-2}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(M_T | \mathcal{F}_{K-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{K-2})$$

= $I\{T > K-3\}M_{K-2} + \sum_{j=0}^{K-3} M_j I\{T=j\}.$

We can continue this process untill we get

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T | \mathcal{F}_0) = M_0.$$

There are many examples of interest where the stopping time T is not bounded. Suppose T is a stopping time with $\mathbb{P}\{T < \infty\} = 1$, i.e., a rule that guarantees that one stops eventually. (Note that the time associated to the martingale betting strategy satisfies this condition.) When can we conclude that $\mathbb{E}(M_T) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$? To investigate this consider the stopping times $T_n = \min\{T, n\}$. Note that

$$M_T = M_{T_n} + M_T I\{T > n\} - M_n I\{T > n\}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T) = \mathbb{E}(M_{T_n}) + \mathbb{E}(M_T I\{T > n\}) - \mathbb{E}(M_n I\{T > n\}).$$

Since T_n is a bounded stopping time, it follows from the above that $\mathbb{E}(M_{T_n}) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$. We would like to be able to say that the other termsdo not contribute as $n \to \infty$. The second term is not much of a problem. Since the probability of the event $\{T > n\}$ goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$, we are taking the expectation of the random variable M_T restricted to a smaller and smaller set. One can show that if $\mathbb{E}(|M_T|) < \infty$ then $\mathbb{E}(|M_T|I\{T > n\}) \to 0$.

The third term, if M_n and T are given satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(|M_n|I\{T>n\}) = 0,$$

then we will be able to conclude that $\mathbb{E}(M_T) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$. We summarize this as follows.

Optional Sampling Theorem. Suppose M_0, M_1, \ldots is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ and T is a stopping time satisfying $\mathbb{P}\{T < \infty\} = 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}(|M_T|) < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|M_n| I\{T > n\}) = 0.$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}(M_T) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$.

1 Polya's Urn Scheme

Suppose an urn initially contains b_0 black balls and w_0 white balls. Suppose balls are sampled from the urn one at a time, but after each draw 1 balls of the same color are returned to the urn. If first draw is a black, then replace b_0 with $b_0 + 1$ balls in the urn and w_0 with $w_0 + 1$ for white balls. The number of black balls in the first *n* draws would then have a $Bin(n, \frac{b_0}{b_0+w_0})$. Let B_n be the number of black balls in urn after *n* draws and $B_0 = b_0$. Now probability of getting black ball in first draw is

$$\mathbb{P}(B_1 = b_0 + 1) = \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0},$$

and probability of getting white balls in first draw is

$$\mathbb{P}(B_1 = b_0) = \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0}.$$

Similarly after two draws,

$$\mathbb{P}(B_2 = b_0) = \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{w_0 + 1}{b_0 + w_0 + 1}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(B_2 = b_0 + 1) = \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0 + 1} + \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0 + 1}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(B_2 = b_0) = \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{b_0 + 1}{b_0 + w_0 + 1}.$$

For first three draws,

$$\mathbb{P}(first \ 3 \ draws \ are \ bwb) = \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0 + 1} \cdot \frac{b_0 + 1}{b_0 + w_0 + 2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(first \ 3 \ draws \ are \ bbw) = \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{b_0 + 1}{b_0 + w_0 + 1} \cdot \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0 + 2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(first \ 3 \ draws \ are \ wbb) = \frac{w_0}{b_0 + w_0} \cdot \frac{b_0}{b_0 + w_0 + 1} \cdot \frac{b_0 + 1}{b_0 + w_0 + 2}$$

Here b stands for black ball and w stands for white ball. I we observe above 3 equations, all of them are same. like wise

$$\mathbb{P}(bbwww) = \frac{b_0(b_0+1)w_0(w_0+1)(w_0+2)}{\prod_{i=0}^4 (b_0+w_0+i)}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(bwwwb) = \frac{b_0w_0(w_0+1)(w_0+2)(b_0+1)}{\prod_{i=0}^4 (b_0+w_0+i)}.$$

Again above two probabilities are equal.

Definition 1.1. An infinite sequence $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of random variables is exchangeable if $\forall n = 1, 2, ...$

$$X_1,\ldots,X_n=X_{\pi(1)},\ldots,X_{\pi(n)},\forall\pi\in S(n),$$

where S(n) is the symmetric group, the group of permutations.

Polya's Urn Model is one of the examples for exchangeability. An Example would be following

$$\mathbb{P}(bbwww) = \mathbb{P}(bwwwb)$$

If $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ denote the sigma algebra for the color of the drawn ball i.e., ξ_i represents the color of the i^{th} ball, from the definition of excangeability

$$(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\xi_5) = (\xi_2,\xi_1,\xi_5,\xi_4,\xi_3)$$

Note 1.2. Polya's Urn scheme generate exchangeable sequences.

Let

$$X_n = \frac{B_n}{B_n + W_n} = \frac{B_n}{b_0 + w_0 + n}, \ 0 \le X_n \le 1,$$

represents the proportion of black balls after n draws, then given the past $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$

$$B_{n+1} = \begin{cases} B_n & w.p \ \left(1 - \frac{B_n}{b_0 + w_0 + n}\right) \\ B_{n+1} & if \ \xi_{n+1} \ w.p \ \frac{B_n}{b_0 + w_0 + n} \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\xi_1,\xi_2,\dots\xi_n] = \frac{1}{b_0 + w_0 + n + 1} \mathbb{E}[B_{n+1}|\xi_1,\xi_2,\dots\xi_n]$$

= $\frac{1}{b_0 + w_0 + n + 1} \mathbb{E}[B_n(1 - X_n) + (B_n + 1)X_n]$
= $\frac{B_n + X_n}{b_0 + w_0 + n + 1}$
= $X_n.$

That means it is a martingale.

Note 1.3. X_n is a martingale.

1.1 Analysis of the Polya urn model

Theorem 1.4. (De Finetti 1931) A binary sequence $\{X_n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is exchageable iff there exists a distribution function F(p) on [0, 1] such that for any $n \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \int_0^1 p^{S_n} (1-p)^{n-S_n} dF(p)$$

where $S_n = \sum_i x_i$.

The distribution F is a function of the limiting frequency

$$Y = \bar{X}_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}}{n}, \mathbb{P}(Y \le p) = F(p),$$

and conditioning on Y = p results in iid Bernoulli draws

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_N = x_n | Y = p) = p^{S_n} (1 - p)^{n - S_n},$$

and for the Polya urn model

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{X}_n = Y \ Beta\left(\frac{B_0}{B_0 + W_0}, \frac{W_0}{B_0 + W_0}\right)$$

The result can be interpreted from a statistical, probabilistic and function analytic perspective.

We will use De Finetti's theorem to compute the limiting distribution for the Polya urn model

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{X}_n = Y \ Beta\left(\frac{B_0}{B_0 + W_0}, \frac{W_0}{B_0 + W_0}\right).$$

We first define the Beta and Gamma functions

$$\beta(x,y) = \int_0^1 p^{x-1} (1-p)^{y-1} dp, \Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \beta(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}.$$

The probability of observing k black balls given n draws

$$\mathbb{P}(k \text{ black balls given } n \text{ draws}) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_0(B_0+1)\dots(B_0+k-1)W_0(W_0+1)\dots(W_0+n-k-1)}{(B_0+W_0)(B_0+W_0+1)\dots(B_0+W_0+n-1)}$$
(1)
$$= \binom{n}{k} \frac{\beta(B_0+k,B_0+n-k)}{\beta(B_0,W_0)}.$$
(2)

Note that the proportion of black balls at any stage n of the process as

$$\rho_n = \frac{B_n}{B_n + W_n}, \rho_\infty = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{B_n}{B_n + W_n}.$$

We know that

$$\mathbb{P}(k \text{ black balls given } n \text{ draws} | \rho_{\infty} = p) = \binom{n}{k} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k},$$

and if $\rho_{\infty} F(p)$ then,

$$\mathbb{P}(k \text{ black balls given } n \text{ draws}) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(k \text{ black balls given } n \text{ draws} | \rho_{\infty} = p) dF(p),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(k \text{ black balls given } n \text{ draws}) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 p^k (1-p)^{n-k} dF(p). \tag{3}$$

By equating (2) and (3) we obtain,

$$\int_0^1 p^k (1-p)^{n-k} dF(p) = \frac{\beta(B_0+k, B_0+n-k)}{\beta(B_0, W_0)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\beta(B_0, W_0)} \int_0^1 p^{B_0+k-1} (1-p)^{B_0+n-k-1} dp$$
$$= \int_0^1 p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \frac{p^{B_0-1} (1-p)^{W_0-1}}{\beta(B_0, W_0)} dp,$$

which gives the limiting distribution for Polya's urn scheme as

$$f(p) = \frac{1}{\beta(B_0, W_0)} p^{B_0 - 1} (1 - p)^{W_0 - 1}.$$