# Lecture 22: Random Walks

### 1 Duality in Random Walks

Essentially, if X is an exchangeable sequence of random variables, then  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  has the same joint distribution as  $(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$ . In particular, an iid sequence of random variables is exchangeable.

**Proposition 1.1.** Suppose  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence of iid random variables with positive mean. Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_i$  be a random walk with step size  $X_n z$ . If

$$N = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n > 0\}$$

Then  $\mathbb{E}[N] < \infty$ .

*Proof.* From duality principle we obtain that

$$\{N > n\} = \{S_i \le 0, i \in [n]\} = \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} X_{n-k} \le 0, i \in [n]\right\} = \{S_n \le S_{n-i}, i \in [n]\}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Pr\{N > n\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Pr\{S_n \le S_{n-i}, i \in [n]\}.$$

We define the renewal instants to be when random walk hits a new low. (Why are these renewal instants?) Hence, n is a renewal instant after 0 if  $\{S_n \leq S_i : i \in [n]\}$ . Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Pr\{\text{renewal happens at time } n\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Pr\{\text{inter-renewal length} \ge n\}$$
$$= 1 + \mathbb{E}[\text{Number of renewals that occur}]$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}X > 0$ , it follows from strong law of large numbers that  $S_n \to \infty$ . Hence, the expected number of renewals that occur is finite. Thus  $\mathbb{E}[N] < \infty$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The number of distinct values of  $(S_0, \dots, S_n)$  is called **range**, denoted by  $R_n$ . **Proposition 1.3.** 

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_n]}{n} = \Pr\{S_n \neq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

Proof. We define indicator function

$$I_k = 1_{\{S_k \neq S_{k-i}, i \in [k]\}}.$$

Then, we can write range  $R_n$  in terms of indicator  $I_k$  as

$$R_n = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n I_k$$

Let  $T = \{n > 0 : S_n = 0\}$ . Then,  $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr\{T > k\} = \Pr\{S_n \neq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Further, using the duality principle, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[R_n] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr\{S_i \neq 0, i \in [k]\} = \sum_{k=0}^n \Pr\{T > k\}$$
(1)

Result follows by dividing both sides by n and taking limits.

**Theorem 1.4 (Simple Random Walk).** For a simple random walk, where  $Pr{X_1 = 1} = p$  the following holds

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_n]}{n} = \begin{cases} 2p - 1, & p > \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - p) - 1, & p \le \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* When  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , this random walk is recurrent and thus

$$\Pr\{\text{No Return to } 0\} = 0 = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_n]}{n}.$$

When  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $\alpha = \Pr\{\text{return to } 0 | X_1 = 1\}$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}X > 0$ , we know that  $S_n \to \infty$  and hence  $\Pr\{\text{return to } 0 | X_1 = -1\} = 1$ . We can write unconditioned probability of return of random walk to 0 as

$$\Pr\{\text{Return to } 0\} = \alpha p + 1 - p.$$

Conditioning on  $X_2$  yields

$$\Pr\{S_n = 0 \text{ for some } n | X_1 = 1\} = p \Pr\{S_n = 0 \text{ for some } n | S_2 = 2\} + (1 - p)$$

Further noticing that

$$\Pr\{S_n = 0 \text{ for some } n | S_2 = 2\} = \alpha \Pr\{S_{n+m} = 0 \text{ for some } n | S_m = 1 \text{ for some } m\}$$

we conclude  $\alpha = \alpha^2 p + 1 - p$ . Solving for  $\alpha$  yields  $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p}$ , and hence the result follows. We can show similarly for the case when p < 1/2.

**Proposition 1.5.** In the symmetric random walk, the expected number of visits to state k before returning to origin is equal to 1 for all  $k \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* For k > 0, let  $N_j$  be the hitting time to state j for random walk  $S_n$ . Further, let Y denote the number of visits to state k before the first return to origin. That is,

$$Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n,$$

where  $I_n = 1_{\{S_k=n,N_0 > n\}}$ . Thus, using duality principle and recurrence of symmetric random walk, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr\{S_i > 0, i \in [n], S_n = k\}$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr\{S_n - S_{n-i} > 0, i \in [n], S_n = k\}$   
=  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pr\{N_k = n\} = \Pr\{S_n = k \text{ for some } n\} = 1.$ 

#### 1.1 GI/GI/1 Queueing Model

Consider a GI/GI/1 queue. Customers arrive in accordance with a renewal process having an arbitrary interarrival distribution F, and the service distribution is G.

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $D_n$  be the delay in the queue of the  $n^{th}$  customer in a GI/GI/1 queue with independent inter-arrival times  $X_n$  and service times  $Y_n$ . We also define a random walk  $S_n$  with steps  $U_n = Y_n - X_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we can write

$$\Pr\{D_{n+1} \ge c\} = \Pr\{S_j \ge c, \text{ for some } j \in [n]\}.$$
(2)

*Proof.* The following recursion for  $D_n$  is easy to verify

$$D_{n+1} = (D_n + Y_n - X_{n+1}) \mathbb{1}_{\{D_n + Y_n - X_{n+1} \ge 0\}} = \max\{0, D_n + U_n\}.$$

Iterating the above relation with  $D_1 = 0$  yields

$$D_{n+1} = \max\{0, U_n + \max\{0, D_{n-1} + U_{n-1}\}\}$$
  
= max{0, U<sub>n</sub>, U<sub>n</sub> + U<sub>n-1</sub> + D<sub>n-1</sub>}.

We can define a random walk  $S_n$  with steps  $U_n$  to write

$$D_{n+1} = \max\{0, S_n - S_{n-1}, S_n - S_{n-2}, \dots, S_n - S_0\}.$$

Using the duality principle, we can rewrite delay as

$$D_{n+1} = \max\{0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$$

**Corollary 1.7.** If  $\mathbb{E}U_n \ge 0$ , then for all c, we have  $\Pr\{D_\infty \ge c\} \triangleq \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr\{D_n \ge c\} = 1$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 1.6 that  $\Pr\{D_{n+1} \ge c\}$  is nondecreasing in n. Hence, by MCT the limit exists and is denoted by  $\Pr\{D_{\infty} \ge c\} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr\{D_n \ge c\}$ . Therefore, by continuity of probability, we have from (2), that

$$\Pr\{D_{\infty} \ge c\} = \Pr\{S_n \ge c \text{ for some } n\}.$$
(3)

If  $E[U_n] = E[Y_n] - E[X_{n+1}]$  is positive, then by strong law of large numbers the random walk  $S_n$  will converge to positive infinity with probability 1. The above will also be true when  $E[U_n] = 0$ , then the random walk is recurrent.

Remark 1.8. Hence, we get that  $E[Y_n] < E[X_{n+1}]$  implies the existence of a stationary distribution.

**Proposition 1.9 (Spitzer's Identity).** Let  $M_n = \max\{0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{E}S_k^+.$$

*Proof.* We can decompose  $M_n$  as

$$M_n = 1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n + 1_{\{S_n \le 0\}} M_n$$

We can rewrite first term in decomposition as,

$$1_{\{S_n>0\}}M_n = 1_{\{S_n>0\}} \max_{i \in [n]} S_i = 1_{\{S_n>0\}} (X_1 + \max\{0, S_2 - S_1, \dots, S_n - S_1\})$$

Hence, taking expectation and using exchangeability, we get

$$\mathbb{E}1_{\{S_n>0\}}M_n = \mathbb{E}1_{\{S_n>0\}}X_1 + \mathbb{E}1_{\{S_n>0\}}M_{n-1}.$$

Since  $X_i, S_n$  has the same joint distribution for all i,

$$\mathbb{E}S_n^+ = \mathbb{E}[S_n 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}] = \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}] = n\mathbb{E}[X_1 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}].$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\{S_n>0\}}M_n] = \mathbb{E}[1_{\{S_n>0\}}M_{n-1}] + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}[S_n^+].$$

Also,  $S_n \leq 0$  implies that  $M_n = M_{n-1}$ , it follows that

$$1_{\{S_n \le 0\}} M_n = 1_{\{S_n \le 0\}} M_{n-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following recursion,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \mathbb{E}[M_{n-1}] + \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[S_n^+].$$

Result follow from the fact that  $M_1 = S_1^+$ .

Remark 1.10. Since  $D_{n+1} = M_n$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[D_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}[M_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} E[S_k^+]$ .

## 2 Martingales for Random Walks

**Proposition 2.1.** A random walk  $S_n$  with step size  $X_n \in [-M, M] \cap \mathbb{Z}$  for some finite M is a recurrent DTMC iff  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{E}X \neq 0$ , the random walk is clearly transient since, it will diverge to  $\pm \infty$  depending on the sign of  $\mathbb{E}X$ . Conversely, if  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ , then  $S_n$  is a martingale. Assume that the process starts in state *i*. We define

$$A = \{-M, -M + 1, \cdots, -2, -1\}, \qquad A_j = j + [M], \ j > i.$$

Let N denote the hitting time to A or  $A_j$  by random walk  $S_n$ . Since N is a stopping time, by optional stopping theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_i[S_N] = \mathbb{E}_i[S_0] = i.$$

Thus we have

$$i = \mathbb{E}_i[S_N] \ge -M\mathbb{P}_i\{S_N \in A\} + j(1 - \mathbb{P}_i\{S_N \in A_j\}).$$

Rearranging this, we get a bound on probability of random walk  $S_n$  hitting A over  $A_j$  as

$$\mathbb{P}_i\{S_n \in A \text{ for some } n\} \ge \mathbb{P}_i\{S_N \in A\} \ge \frac{j-i}{j+M}$$

Taking limit  $j \to \infty$ , we see that for any  $i \ge 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}_i \{S_n \in A \text{ for some } n\} = 1$ . Similarly, taking  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ , we can show that for any  $i \ge 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_i \{S_n \in B \text{ for some } n\} = 1$ . Result follows from combining the above two arguments to see that for any  $i \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_i \{ S_n \in A \cup B \text{ for some } n \} = 1.$$

- L	_	_	_	

**Proposition 2.2.** Consider a random walk  $S_n$  with mean step size  $\mathbb{E}[X] \neq 0$ . For A, B > 0, let  $P_A$  denote the probability that the walk hits a value greater than A before it hits a value less than -B. Then,

$$P_A \approx \frac{1 - e^{-\theta B}}{e^{\theta A} - e^{\theta B}}$$

Approximation is an equality when step size is unity and A and B are integer valued.

*Proof.* Now For A, B > 0, we wish to compute the probability  $P_A$  that the walk hits at least A before it hits a value  $\leq -B$ . Let  $\theta \neq 0$  s.t

$$E[e^{\theta X}] = 1$$

Now let  $Z_n = e^{\theta S_n}$ . We can see that  $Z_n$  is a martingale with mean 1. Define N as

$$N = \min\{S_n \ge A \text{ or } S_n \le -B\}$$

From Doob's Theorem,  $E[e^{S_N}] = 1$ . Thus we get

$$1 = E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A] P_A + E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \le -B](1 - P_A)$$

We can obtain an approximation for  $P_A$  by neglecting the overshoots past A or -B. Thus we get

$$E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A] \approx e^{\theta A}$$
$$E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \le -B] \approx e^{-\theta B}$$

Hence we get,

As an assignment, show that

$$E[N] \approx \frac{AP_A - B(1 - P_A)}{E[X]}$$

**Example 2.3. Gambler Ruin** Consider a simple random walk with probability of increment = p. As an exercise, show that  $E\left[(q/p)^X\right] = 1$  and thus  $e^\theta = q/p$ . If A and B are integers, then there is no overshoot and hence, our approximations are exact. Thus

$$P_A = \frac{(q/p)^B - 1}{(q/p)^{A+B} - 1}$$

Suppose E[X] < 0 and we wish to know if the random walk ever crosses A. Then

 $1 = E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A] P[\text{process crossed } A \text{ before } -B]$ +  $E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \le -B] P[\text{process crossed } -B \text{ before } A]$ 

Now E[X] < 0 implies  $\theta > 0$  (Why?). Hence we have

 $1 \ge e^{\theta A} P[$ process crossed A before -B]

Taking B to  $\infty$  yields

$$P[\text{Random walk ever crosses A}] \le e^{-\theta A}$$

# 3 Application to G/G/1 Queues and Ruin

### 3.1 The G/G/1 Queue

For the G/G/1 queue, the limiting distribution of delay is

$$P[D_{\infty} \ge A] = P[S_n \ge A \text{ for some } n]$$

where

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n U_k, \quad U_k = Y_k - X_{k+1}$$

Here  $Y_i$  is the service time of the ith customer and  $X_i$  is the interarrival duration between customer i - 1 and customer i. Thus when E[U] = E[Y] - E[X] < 0, letting  $\theta > 0$  such that

$$E[e^{\theta U}] = E[e^{\theta(Y-X)}] = 1$$

We get

$$P[D_{\infty} \ge A] \le e^{-\theta A}$$

Now the exact distribution of  $D_{\infty}$  can be calculated when services are exponential. Hence assume  $Y_i \sim exp(\mu)$ . Once again,

$$1 = E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A] P[S_n \text{ crossed } A \text{ before } -B]$$
  
+  $E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \le -B] P[S_n \text{ crossed } -B \text{ before } A]$ 

Let us compute  $E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A]$  first. Let us condition this on N = n and  $X_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (Y_i - X_{i+1}) = c$ . By the memoryless property, the conditional distribution of  $Y_n$  given  $Y_n > c + A$  is just c + A plus an exponential with rate  $\mu$ . Thus we get

$$E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \ge A] = E[e^{\theta (A+Y)}]$$
$$= \frac{\mu e^{\theta A}}{\mu - \theta}$$

Now substituting back, we get

$$1 = \frac{\mu e^{\theta A}}{\mu - \theta} P[S_n \text{ crossed } A \text{ before } -B] + E[e^{\theta S_N} | S_N \le -B] P[S_n \text{ crossed } -B \text{ before } A]$$

Now as  $\theta > 0$ , let  $B \to \infty$  to get

$$1 = \frac{\mu e^{\theta A}}{\mu - \theta} P[S_n \text{ ever crosses } A]$$

And hence

$$P[D_{\infty} \ge A] = \frac{\mu - \theta}{\mu} e^{-\theta A}$$

#### 3.2 A Ruin Problem

Suppose claims made to an insurance company follow a renewal process with iid interarrival times  $\{X_i\}$ . Let the values of the claims also be iid and independent of the renewal process N(t) of their occurence. Let  $Y_i$  be the ith claim value. Thus the total value of claims till time t is  $\sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} Y_i$ . Now let us suppose the insurance company receives money at constant rate c per unit time, c > 0. We wish to compute the probability of the insurance company, starting with capital A, will eventually be wiped out or **ruined**. Thus we require

$$p = P\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} Y_i > ct + A \text{ for some } t \ge 0\right\}$$

As an assignment, show that the company will be ruined if  $E[Y] \ge cE[X]$ . So let us assume that E[Y] < cE[X]. Also the ruin occurs when a claim is made. After the *n*th claim, the company's fortune is

$$A + c\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k$$

Letting  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_i - cX_i$  and  $p(A) = P[S_n > A$  for some n]. As  $S_n$  is a random walk, we see that

 $p(A) = P[D_{\infty} > A]$ 

Now the results from the G/G/1 queue apply.

#### 4 Blackwell Theorem on the Line

Let  $S_n$  denote a random walk where  $0 < \mu = E[X] < \infty$ . Let

$$U(t) = \#\{n : S_n \le t\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$

Where  $I_n = 1$  if  $S_n \leq t$  and zero else. Observe that if  $X_n$  are nonnegative, then U(t) = N(t). Let u(t) = E[U(t)]. Now we prove an analog of Blackwell Renewal Theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** (Blackwell renewal theorem) If  $\mu > 0$  and  $X_i$  are not lattice, then

$$u(t+a) - u(t) \rightarrow a/\mu \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad for \ a > 0$$

Let us define a few concepts. We say an ascending ladder variable of ladder height  $S_n$  occurs at time n when

$$S_n > \max(S_0, S_1, \cdots, S_{n-1})$$

where  $S_0 = 0$ . We may deduce that since  $X_i$  are iid random variables, then the random variables  $(N_i, S_{N_i} - S_{N_{i-1}})$  are iid; where  $N_i$  denotes the time between the (i - 1)th and ith random variable. We may analogously define descending ladder variables. Now let  $p(p_*)$  denote the probability of ever achieving an ascending/descending ladder variable.

$$p = P\{S_n > 0 \text{ for some } n\}, \quad p_* = P\{S_n < 0 \text{ for some } n\}$$

At each ascension/descension there is a probability p (resp  $p_*$ ) of achieving another one. Hence the number of ascensions/descensions is geometrically distributed. The number of ascending ladder variables (ascensions) will have finite mean iff p < 1. Now as E[X] > 0, by SLLN, we deduce that w.p.1, there will be infinitely many ascending ladder variables but finitely many descending ones. That is p = 1 and  $p_* < 1$ .

*Proof.* The successive ascending ladder heights are a renewal process. Let Y(t) be the excess time. Now given the value of Y(t), the distribution of U(t+a)-U(t) is independent of t. (Why?). Hence let us denote

$$E[U(t+a) - U(t)|Y(t)] = g(Y(t))$$

for some function g. Now taking expectations yields

$$u(t+a) - u(t) = E[g(Y(t))]$$

Now since  $Y(t) \to^d Y_\infty$  where  $Y_\infty$  has the equilibrium distribution, we have  $E[g(Y(t))] \to E[g(Y_\infty)]$ . The result would be true if we show g is continuous and bounded. We leave that as an exercise. For now, we deduce that the limit exists. Let

$$h(a) = \lim_{t \to \infty} u(t+a) - u(t)$$

This also implies h(a + b) = h(a) + h(b). Thus for some constant c,

$$h(a) = ca$$

Now to get c, let  $N_t$  denote the first n for which  $S_n > t$ . If  $X_i$  are upper bounded by M, then

$$t < \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} X_i \le t + M$$

Taking expectations, and using Wald's Lemma, yields

$$t < E[N_t]\mu \le t + M$$

Thus

$$\frac{E[N_t]}{t} \to \frac{1}{\mu}$$

If  $X_i$  are unbounded, use the truncation arguments done while proving Elementary renewal theorem. Now U(t) can be expressed as

$$U(t) = N_t - 1 + N_t^*$$

where  $N_t^*$  is the number of times  $S_n \leq t$  after having crossed t. Since  $N_t^*$  is not greater than the number of points occuring after  $N_t$  when the random walk is less than  $S_{N_t}$ , we get

 $E[N_t^*] \leq E[$ number of n such that  $S_n < 0]$ 

Hence if we argue that RHS of above is finite, then

$$\frac{u(t)}{t} \to \frac{1}{\mu}$$

From the first proposition in Random walks, we have  $E[N] < \infty$  where N is the first value of n for which  $S_n > 0$ . At time N, with positive probability  $1 - p^*$ , no future value of random walk will fall below  $S_N$ . Thus,

$$E[$$
number of  $n$  where  $S_n < 0 ] \le \frac{E[N|X_1 < 0]}{1 - p^*} < \infty$ 

Now follow the steps illustrated in the Blackwell renewal theorem (original) proof to arrive at the desired result.