

# Lecture 23: Martingale Concentration Inequalities

## 1 Introduction

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a submartingale and  $N$  is a stopping time such that  $\Pr\{N \leq n\} = 1$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 \leq \mathbb{E}X_N \leq \mathbb{E}X_n.$$

*Proof.* It follows from optional stopping theorem that since  $N$  is bounded,  $\mathbb{E}[X_N] \geq \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ . Now, since  $N$  is a stopping time, we see that for  $\{N = k\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | X_1, \dots, X_N, N = k] = \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_1, \dots, X_k, N = k] = \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_1, \dots, X_k] \geq X_k = X_N.$$

Result follows by taking expectation on both sides.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2 (Kolmogorov's inequality for submartingales).** *If  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a submartingale, then*

$$\Pr\{\max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\} > a\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}{a}, \text{ for } a > 0.$$

*Proof.* We define a stopping time

$$N = \min\{i \in [n] : X_i > a\} \wedge n \leq n.$$

It follows that,  $\{\max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} > a\} = \{X_N > a\}$ . Using this fact and Markov inequality, we get

$$\Pr\{\max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} > a\} = \Pr\{X_N > a\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_N]}{a}.$$

Since  $N \leq n$  is a bounded stopping time, result follows from the previous Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a martingale. Then, for  $a > 0$  the following hold.*

$$\Pr\{\max\{|X_1|, \dots, |X_n|\} > a\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X_n|]}{a},$$

$$\Pr\{\max\{|X_1|, \dots, |X_n|\} > a\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n^2]}{a^2}.$$

*Proof.* The proof the above statements follow from and Kolmogorov's inequality for submartingales, and by considering the convex functions  $f(x) = |x|$  and  $f(x) = x^2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4 (Strong Law of Large Numbers).** *Let  $S_n$  be a random walk with iid step size  $\{X_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with finite mean  $\mu$ . Then*

$$\Pr\left\{\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{S_n}{n} = \mu\right\} = 1.$$

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem under the assumption that the moment generating function  $\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$  for random variable  $X$  exists. For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define

$$g(t) \triangleq e^{t(\mu+\epsilon)}/\psi(t).$$

Then, it is clear that  $g(0) = 1$  and

$$g'(0) = \frac{\psi(0)(\mu + \epsilon) - \psi'(0)}{\psi^2(0)} = \epsilon > 0.$$

Hence, there exists a value  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $g(t_0) > 1$ . We now show that  $S_n/n$  can be as large as  $\mu + \epsilon$  only finitely often. To this end, note that

$$\left\{ \frac{S_n}{n} \geq \mu + \epsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^{n(t_0)}} \geq g(t_0)^n \right\} \quad (1)$$

However,  $\frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^{n(t_0)}}$  is a product of independent non negative random variables with unit mean, and hence is a martingale. By martingale convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^{n(t_0)}} \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

Since  $g(t_0) > 1$ , it follows from (1) that

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{S_n}{n} \geq \mu + \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of } n \right\} = 0.$$

Similarly, by defining the function  $f(t) = e^{t(\mu-\epsilon)}/\psi(t)$  and noting that since  $f(0) = 1$ ,  $f'(0) = -\epsilon$ , there exists a value  $t_0 < 0$  such that  $f(t_0) > 1$ , we can prove in the same manner that

$$\Pr \left\{ \frac{S_n}{n} \leq \mu - \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of } n \right\} = 0.$$

Hence, result follows from combining both these results, and taking limit of arbitrary  $\epsilon$  decreasing to zero.  $\square$

**Definition 1.5.** A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with distribution functions  $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , is said to be **uniformly integrable** if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $y_\epsilon$  such that

$$\int_{|x|>y_\epsilon} |x|dF_n(x) < \epsilon \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Lemma 1.6.** If  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is uniformly integrable then there exists finite  $M$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] < M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_1$  be as in the definition of uniform integrability. Then

$$E[|X_n|] = \int_{|x| \leq y_1} |x|dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| > y_1} |x|dF_n(x) \leq y_1 + 1.$$

$\square$

## 1.1 Generalized Azuma Inequality

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a martingale with mean  $X_0 = 0$ , such that*

$$-\alpha \leq X_n - X_{n-1} \leq \beta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then, for any positive values  $a$  and  $b$*

$$\Pr\{X_n \geq a + bn \text{ for some } n\} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{8ab}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}\right).$$

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 0$ , we define

$$W_n = \exp\{c(X_n - a - bn)\} = W_{n-1}e^{-cb} \exp\{c(X_n - X_{n-1})\}.$$

Since exponential is an invertible function,  $\sigma(W_i, i \in [n]) = \sigma(X_i, i \in [n])$ , and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n | W_1 \dots W_{n-1}] = W_{n-1}e^{-cb} \mathbb{E}[\exp\{c(X_n - X_{n-1})\} | X_1 \dots X_{n-1}].$$

Using Jensen's inequality for convex function  $f(x) = e^x$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp\{c(X_n - X_{n-1})\} | X_1 \dots X_{n-1}] \leq W_{n-1}e^{-cb} \frac{\beta e^{-c\alpha} + \alpha e^{c\beta}}{\alpha + \beta} \leq W_{n-1}e^{-cb} e^{c^2(\alpha + \beta)^2/8}.$$

where second inequality follows from with  $\theta = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$ ,  $x = c(\alpha + \beta)$ . Hence, fixing the value of  $c$  as  $c = 8b/(\alpha + \beta)^2$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n | W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}] \leq W_{n-1}, \quad (2)$$

and so  $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is a supermartingale. For a fixed positive integer  $k$ , define the bounded stopping time  $N$  by

$$N = \min\{n : \text{either } X_n \geq a + bn \text{ or } n = k\}.$$

Now, using Markov inequality and optional stopping theorem, we get

$$\Pr\{X_N \geq a + bN\} = \Pr\{W_N \geq 1\} \leq \mathbb{E}[W_N] \leq \mathbb{E}[W_0].$$

But the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\Pr\{X_n \geq a + bn \text{ for some } n \leq k\} \leq e^{-8ab/(\alpha + \beta)^2}.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8 (Generalized Azuma Inequality).** *Let  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  be a martingale with mean  $X_0 = 0$ , such that  $-\alpha \leq X_n - X_{n-1} \leq \beta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any positive constant  $c$  and integer  $m$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{X_n \geq nc \text{ for some } n \geq m\} &\leq \exp\left(-2mc^2/(\alpha + \beta)^2\right), \\ \Pr\{X_n \leq -nc \text{ for some } n \geq m\} &\leq \exp\left(-2mc^2/(\alpha + \beta)^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Observe that if there is an  $n$  such that  $n \geq m$  and  $X_n \geq nc$  then for that  $n$ ,  $X_n \geq nc \geq mc/2 + nc/2$ . Using this fact and previous proposition for  $a = mc/2$  and  $b = c/2$ , we get

$$\Pr\{X_n \geq nc \text{ for some } n \geq m\} \leq \Pr\{X_n \geq mc/2 + (c/2)n \text{ for some } n\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{8(mc/2)(c/2)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}\right\}.$$

This proves first inequality, and second inequality follows by considering the martingale  $\{-X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .  $\square$