

Lecture 24: Martingale Convergence Theorem

1 Martingale Convergence Theorem

Before we state and prove martingale convergence theorem, we state some results which will be used in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1.1. *If $\{X_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a submartingale and T is a stopping time such that $\Pr\{T \leq n\} = 1$ then*

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 \leq \mathbb{E}X_T \leq \mathbb{E}X_n.$$

Proof. Since T is bounded, it follows from Martingale stopping theorem, that $\mathbb{E}X_T \geq \mathbb{E}X_1$. Now, since T is a stopping time, we see that for $\{T = k\}$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n 1\{T = k\} | \mathcal{F}_T, T = k] = \mathbb{E}[X_n 1\{T = k\} | \mathcal{F}_k] \geq X_k 1\{T = k\} = X_T 1\{T = k\}.$$

Result follows by taking expectation on both sides and summing over k . That is,

$$\mathbb{E}X_n = \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^n X_n 1\{T = k\} \geq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^n X_T 1\{T = k\} = \mathbb{E}X_T.$$

□

Lemma 1.2. *If $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a martingale with respect to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and f is a convex function, then $\{f(X_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sub martigale with respect to the same filtration.*

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Jensen's inequality.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_{n+1}) | \mathcal{F}_n] \geq f(\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n]) = f(X_n).$$

□

Corollary 1.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant.*

- i.* *If $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a submartingale, then so is $\{(X_n - a)_+ : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*
- ii.* *If $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a supermartingale, then so is $\{X_n \wedge a : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*

Let $X = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be a submartingale. Let $a < b$ and $N_0 = -1$, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$N_{2k-1} = \inf\{m > N_{2k-2} : X_m \leq a\}, \quad N_{2k} = \inf\{m > N_{2k-1} : X_m \geq b\}.$$

The above quantities N_{2k-1}, N_{2k} are stopping times and the set containing values of m in the transition from a to b can be defined as

$$H_m \triangleq \{N_{2k-1} < m \leq N_{2k}\} = \{m-1 \geq N_{2k-1}\} \cap \{m-1 \geq N_{2k}\}^c \in \mathcal{F}_{m-1}.$$

Clearly, the event of X being in an up crossing at time m is predictable. The number of up crossings completed in time n is

$$U_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m = \sup\{k : n \geq N_{2k}\}.$$

Lemma 1.4 (Upcrossing inequality). *If X is a submartingale, then for $Y_n \triangleq a + (X_n - a)^+$, we have*

$$(b - a)\mathbb{E}U_n \leq \mathbb{E}Y_n - \mathbb{E}Y_0.$$

Proof. Since X is a submartingale so is Y , as Y_n is a convex function of X_n . Since each up crossing has a gain slightly more than $b - a$, the following inequality exists,

$$(b - a)U_n \leq (H \cdot Y)_n = \sum_{m=1}^n 1_{\{N_{2k-1} < m \leq N_{2k}\}} (Y_{m+1} - Y_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{U_n} (Y_{N_{2k+1}} - Y_{N_{2k}}).$$

Now let $K_m = 1 - H_m$, then K is a predictable sequence, and

$$Y_n - Y_0 = (H \cdot Y)_n + (K \cdot Y)_n.$$

From the submartingale property of Y , it follows

$$\mathbb{E}[(K \cdot Y)_n] \geq \mathbb{E}[(K \cdot Y)_0] = 0.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n - Y_0) = \mathbb{E}(H \cdot Y)_n + \mathbb{E}(K \cdot Y)_n \geq \mathbb{E}(H \cdot Y)_n \geq (b - a)\mathbb{E}U_n.$$

□

Theorem 1.5 (Martingale convergence theorem). *If $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a submartingale with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty$ then $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n = X$ a.s with $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$.*

Proof. Since $(X - a)^+ \leq X^+ + |a|$, it follows from upcrossing inequality that

$$\mathbb{E}U_n \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X_n^+ + |a|}{b - a}.$$

The number of upcrossings U_n increases with n , however the mean $\mathbb{E}U_n$ is bounded above for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}U_n$ exists and is finite. Let $U := \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ and since $\mathbb{E}U \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n^+] < \infty$, we have $U < \infty$ almost surely. This conclusion leads to

$$\Pr\{a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\liminf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n < a < b < \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n\}\} = 0.$$

From the above probability, we have almost sure equality

$$\limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n = \liminf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n.$$

That is, the limit $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ exists almost surely. Fatou's lemma guarantees

$$\mathbb{E}X^+ \leq \liminf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ < \infty,$$

which implies $X < \infty$ almost surely. From the submartingale property of X_n , it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}X_n^- = \mathbb{E}X_n^+ - \mathbb{E}X_n \leq \mathbb{E}X_n^+ - \mathbb{E}X_0.$$

From Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\mathbb{E}X^- \leq \liminf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^- \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}X_n^+ - \mathbb{E}X_0 < \infty.$$

This implies $X > -\infty$ almost surely, completing the proof. □