

# Lecture-20: Discrete Time Markov Chains

## 1 Introduction

We have seen that *iid* sequences are easiest discrete time random processes. However, they don't capture correlation well.

**Definition 1.1.** For a state space  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and the random sequence  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ , we define the history until time  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  as  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

*Remark 1.* Recall that the event space  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is generated by the historical events of the form

$$H_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i \leq x_i\}, \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Remark 2.* When the state space  $\mathcal{X}$  is countable, the event space  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is generated by the historical events of the form

$$H_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i = x_i\}, \text{ where } x \in \mathcal{X}^n.$$

**Definition 1.2 (DTMC).** For a countable set  $\mathcal{X}$ , a discrete-valued random sequence  $(X_n \in \mathcal{X}^\Omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  is called a **discrete time Markov chain (DTMC)** if for all positive integers  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , all states  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , and any historical event  $H_{n-1} = \bigcap_{m=0}^{n-1} \{X_m = x_m\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , the process  $X$  satisfies the Markov property

$$P(\{X_{n+1} = y\} \mid H_{n-1} \cap \{X_n = x\}) = P(\{X_{n+1} = y\} \mid \{X_n = x\}).$$

The probability of a discrete time Markov chain  $X$  being in state  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  at time  $n + 1$  from a state  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  at time  $n$ , is determined by the **transition probability** denoted by

$$p_{xy}(n) \triangleq P(\{X_{n+1} = y\} \mid \{X_n = x\}).$$

The set  $\mathcal{X}$  is called the state space of the Markov chain. The **transition probability matrix** at time  $n$  is denoted by  $P(n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}$ , such that  $P_{xy}(n) = p_{xy}(n)$ .

*Remark 3.* We observe that each row  $P_x(n) = (p_{xy}(n) : y \in \mathcal{X})$  is the conditional distribution of  $X_{n+1}$  given  $X_n = x$ .

**Definition 1.3 (Random walk).** Consider the state space  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ , and the countable index set  $T = \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Consider the random process  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$  be an independent (not necessarily identical) sequence. Let  $S_0 = 0$  and  $S_n \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , then the process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$  is called a **random walk**.

*Remark 4.* We can think of  $S_n$  as the random location of a particle after  $n$  steps, where the particle starts from origin and takes steps of size  $X_i$  at the  $i$ th step.

**Theorem 1.4 (Random walk).** For a random walk  $(S_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  with independent step-size sequence  $X$ , the following are true.

i. The first two moments are  $\mathbb{E}S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i$  and  $\text{Var}[S_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}[X_i]$ .

ii. Random walk is non-stationary with independent increments. The disjoint increments are stationary if the step-size sequence  $X$  is identically distributed.

iii\_ Random walk is a Markov sequence.

*Proof.* Results follow from the independence of the step-size sequence  $X$ .

- i\_ Follows from the linearity of expectation and independence of step sizes.
- ii\_ Since the mean is time dependent, random walk is non-stationary process. Independence of increments follows from the independence of step sizes. That is, since  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n) = \sigma(S_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and the collection  $(X_{n+1}, \dots, X_m)$  is independent of  $\sigma(S_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for all  $m > n$ . Since  $S_m - S_n = X_{n+1} + \dots + X_m \in \sigma(X_{n+1}, \dots, X_m)$ , we have the independent increments. When the step-sizes are also identically distributed, the joint distributions of  $(X_1, \dots, X_{m-n})$  and  $(X_{n+1}, \dots, X_m)$  are identical. This implies the stationarity of increments for *i.i.d.* step-sizes.
- iii\_ Given the historical event  $H_{n-1} \triangleq \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \{S_k \leq s_k\}$  and the current state  $\{S_n \leq s_n\}$ , we can write the conditional probability

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{S_{n+1} \leq s_{n+1}\} \mid H_{n-1} \cap \{S_n \leq s_n\}) &= P(\{X_{n+1} \leq s_{n+1} - S_n\} \mid H_{n-1} \cap \{S_n \leq s_n\}) \\ &= P(\{S_{n+1} \leq s_{n+1}\} \mid \{S_n \leq s_n\}). \end{aligned}$$

The equality in the second line follows from the independence of the step-size sequence. In particular, from the independence of  $X_{n+1}$  from the collection  $\sigma(S_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sigma(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ . For the countable state space  $\mathcal{X}$ , an given the historical event  $H_{n-1} \triangleq \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \{S_k = s_k\}$  and the current state  $\{S_n = s_n\}$ , we can write the conditional probability

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{S_{n+1} = s_{n+1}\} \mid H_{n-1} \cap \{S_n = s_n\}) &= P(\{X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - S_n\} \mid H_{n-1} \cap \{S_n = s_n\}) \\ &= P(\{S_{n+1} = s_{n+1}\} \mid \{S_n = s_n\}) = P\{X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} - s_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.5.** For all states  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ , a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}$  with non-negative entries is called **sub-stochastic** if the row-sum  $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} a_{xy} \leq 1$  for all rows  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . If the above property holds with equality for all rows, then it is called a **stochastic** matrix. If matrices  $A$  and  $A^T$  are both stochastic, then the matrix  $A$  is called **doubly stochastic**.

*Remark 5.* We make the following observations for the stochastic matrices.

- i\_ Every probability transition matrix  $P(n)$  is a stochastic matrix.
- ii\_ All the entries of a sub-stochastic matrix lie in  $[0, 1]$ .
- iii\_ Each row of the stochastic matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}$  is probability mass function over the state space  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- iv\_ Every finite stochastic matrix has a right eigenvector with unit eigenvalue. This can be observed by taking  $\mathbf{1}^T = [1 \ \dots \ 1]$  to be an all-one vector of length  $|\mathcal{X}|$ . Then we see that  $A\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , since for each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$(A\mathbf{1})_x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} a_{xy} \mathbf{1}_y = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} a_{xy} = \mathbf{1}_x.$$

- v\_ Every finite doubly stochastic matrix has a left and right eigenvector with unit eigenvalue. This follows from the fact that finite stochastic matrices  $A$  and  $A^T$  have a common right eigenvector  $\mathbf{1}$ . It follows that  $A$  has a left eigenvector  $\mathbf{1}^T$ .
- vi\_ For a probability transition matrix  $P(n)$ , we have

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} f(y) p_{xy}(n) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{n+1}) \mid X_n = x].$$

## 2 Homogeneous Markov chain

In general, not much can be said about Markov chains with index dependent transition probabilities. Hence, we consider the simpler case where the transition probabilities  $p_{xy}(n) = p_{xy}$  are independent of the index. We call such DTMC as **homogeneous** and call the linear operator  $P = (p_{xy} : x, y \in \mathcal{X})$  the **transition matrix**.

**Example 2.1 (Integer random walk).** For a one-dimensional integer valued random walk  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with *i.i.d.* unit step size sequence  $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $P\{Z_1 = 1\} = p$ , the following are true.

i. The transition operator  $P \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+}$  is given by the entries

$$p_{xy} = p1_{\{y=x+1\}} + (1-p)1_{\{y=x-1\}}.$$

ii. Number of positive steps after  $n$  steps is Binomial  $(n, p)$ .

iii.  $P\{X_n = k\} = \binom{n}{(n+k)/2} p^{(n+k)/2} q^{(n-k)/2}$  for  $n+k$  even, and 0 otherwise.

**Example 2.2 (Sequence of experiments).** Consider a random sequence of experiments, where the  $n$ th outcome is denoted by  $X_n$ , such that each experiment has two possible outcomes in  $\mathcal{X} = \{S, F\}$ . We assume that it takes unit time to perform each experiment.

Let  $p, q \in [0, 1]$ . Given the outcome was  $S$ , the probability of next outcome being  $S$  is  $1-p$ . Similarly, given the outcome was  $F$ , the probability of next outcome being  $F$  is  $1-q$ . We can see that  $X = (X_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  is homogeneous Markov chain, with probability transition matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1-p & p \\ q & 1-q \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote the conditional distribution of  $X_{n+1}$  given  $X_0 = S$  by  $\nu_{n+1}$ , and the conditional distribution of  $X_{n+1}$  given  $X_0 = F$  by  $\mu_{n+1}$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n &= [P(\{X_n = S\} \mid \{X_0 = S\}) \quad P(\{X_n = F\} \mid \{X_0 = S\})], \\ \mu_n &= [P(\{X_n = S\} \mid \{X_0 = F\}) \quad P(\{X_n = F\} \mid \{X_0 = F\})]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi_0$  be the initial distribution on the experiment outcome, and  $\pi_n$  be the distribution of the experiment outcome at time  $n$ . Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(S) &\triangleq P\{X_n = S\} = P(\{X_n = S\} \mid \{X_0 = S\})\pi_0(S) + P(\{X_n = S\} \mid \{X_0 = F\})\pi_0(F) \\ &= \nu_n(S)\pi_0(S) + \mu_n(S)\pi_0(F). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can write  $\pi_n(F) = \nu_n(F)\pi_0(S) + \mu_n(F)\pi_0(F)$ . That is, we can write

$$\pi_n \triangleq [\pi_n(S) \quad \pi_n(F)] = [\pi_0(S) \quad \pi_0(F)] \begin{bmatrix} \nu_n(S) & \nu_n(F) \\ \mu_n(S) & \mu_n(F) \end{bmatrix} = \pi_0 \begin{bmatrix} \nu_n \\ \mu_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is to compute the unconditional distribution of  $X_n$ , given initial distribution  $\pi_0$ , we need to compute conditional distributions  $\nu_n$  and  $\mu_n$ . We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= [1-p \quad p], & \nu_2 &= [(1-p)^2 + pq \quad (1-p)p + p(1-q)], \\ \mu_1 &= [q \quad 1-q], & \mu_2 &= [q(1-p) + (1-q)q \quad (1-q)^2 + qp]. \end{aligned}$$

This method of direct computation can quickly become too cumbersome.