

# Lecture-24: Poisson Processes

## 1 Simple point processes

Consider the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The collection of Borel measurable subsets  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of the above Euclidean space is generated by sets  $B(x) \triangleq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : y_i \leq x_i\}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A **simple point process** is a random countable collection of distinct points  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d\mathbb{N}}$ , such that the distance  $\|S_n\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example 1.2 (Simple point process on the half-line).** We can simplify this definition for  $d = 1$ . In  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , one can order the points of the process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  to get another process  $\tilde{S} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\tilde{S}_n = S_{(n)}$  is the  $n$ th order statistics of  $S$ . That is,  $S_{(0)} \triangleq 0$ , and  $S_{(n)} \triangleq \inf \{S_k > S_{(n-1)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . such that  $S_{(1)} < S_{(2)} < \dots < S_{(n)} < \dots$ , and  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{(n)} = \infty$ . The Borel measurable sets for  $\mathbb{R}_+$  are generated by the collection of half-open intervals  $\{(0, t] : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ .

Point processes can model many interesting physical processes.

1. Arrivals at classrooms, banks, hospital, supermarket, traffic intersections, airports etc.
2. Location of nodes in a network, such as cellular networks, sensor networks, etc.

**Definition 1.3.** Corresponding to a point process  $S$ , we denote the number of points in a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$N(A) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{\{S_n \in A\}}, \text{ where we have } N(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Then,  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  is called a **counting process** for the point process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A counting process is **simple** if the underlying process is simple.

*Remark 1.* Let  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(X)}$  be the counting process for the point process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow X^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

- i. Note that the point process  $S$  and the counting process  $N$  carry the same information.
- ii. The distribution of point process  $S$  is completely characterized by the finite dimensional distributions  $(N(A_1), \dots, N(A_k) : \text{bounded } A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B})$  for some finite  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 1.5 (Simple point process on the half-line).** The number of points in the half-open interval  $(0, t]$  is denoted by

$$N(t) \triangleq N((0, t]) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{\{S_n \in (0, t]\}}.$$

Since the Borel measurable sets  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are generated by half-open intervals  $\{(0, t] : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ , we denote the counting process by  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ , where  $N(t) = N((0, t])$ . For  $s < t$ , the number of points in interval  $(s, t]$  is  $N((s, t]) = N((0, t]) - N((0, s]) = N(t) - N(s)$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Distribution of a simple point process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is completely determined by void probabilities  $(P\{N(A) = 0\} : A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$ .*

*Proof.* We will show this by induction on the number of points in a bounded set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . We assume that  $(B_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}) : k \in \mathbb{N})$  is a sequence of sufficiently small sets partitioning  $\mathbb{X}$  such that  $N(B_i) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $A_k \triangleq B_k \cap A$  to write

$$P\{N(A) = 1\} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P\{N(A_k) = 1, N(A \setminus A_k) = 0\}.$$

We observe the following set equality  $\{N(A_k) = 1, N(A \setminus A_k) = 0\} \cup \{N(A) = 0\} = \{N(A \setminus A_k) = 0\}$ , and hence we can write

$$P\{N(A) = 1\} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (P\{N(A \setminus A_k) = 0\} - P\{N(A) = 0\}).$$

We assume that  $\{N(A) = n\}$  and by the induction hypothesis  $P\{N(B) = n - 1\}$  can be completely characterized by the void probabilities for all bounded sets  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then, we can write

$$P\{N(A) = n\} = (1 - \frac{1}{n})P\{N(A) = n - 1\} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (P\{N(A \setminus A_k) = n - 1\} - P\{N(A) = n - 1\}).$$

□

**Definition 1.7.** A non-negative integer valued random variable  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  is called **Poisson** if for some constant  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$P\{N = n\} = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}.$$

*Remark 2.* It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{E}N = \text{Var}[N] = \lambda$ . Furthermore, the moment generating function  $M_N(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tN} = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$  exists for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 1.8.** *A simple counting process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})}$  has Poisson marginal distribution if and only if void probabilities are exponential.*

*Proof.* We will show that if void probabilities are exponential, then the marginal distribution is Poisson. Since  $A_k$  are very small, we get  $e^{\Lambda(A_k)} - 1 \approx \Lambda(A_k)$ . Therefore, we write that

$$P\{N(A) = 1\} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-\Lambda(A)} (e^{\Lambda(A_k)} - 1) \approx \Lambda(A) e^{-\Lambda(A)}.$$

By inductive hypothesis, let  $P\{N(A) = n - 1\} = e^{-\Lambda(A)} \frac{\Lambda(A)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$  for all bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we can write

$$P\{N(A) = n\} = e^{-\Lambda(A)} \frac{\Lambda(A)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(e^{\Lambda(A_k)} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda(A_k)}{\Lambda(A)}\right)^{n-1} - 1\right)\right).$$

From the fact that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda(A_k) = \Lambda(A)$  and the approximation  $e^{\Lambda(A_k)} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda(A_k)}{\Lambda(A)}\right)^{n-1} - 1 \approx \Lambda(A_k) - (n - 1) \frac{\Lambda(A_k)}{\Lambda(A)}$  for sufficiently small  $A_k$ , we get the induction step  $P\{N(A) = n\} \approx e^{-\Lambda(A)} \frac{\Lambda(A)^n}{n!}$ . □

**Definition 1.9.** A counting process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})}$  has the **completely independence property**, if for any collection of finite disjoint and bounded sets  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , the vector  $(N(A_1), \dots, N(A_k)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^k$  is independent. That is,

$$P \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{N(A_i) = n_i\} = \prod_{i=1}^k P \{N(A_i) = n_i\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k.$$

## 2 Poisson point process

*Remark 3.* Recall that  $|A| = \int_{x \in A} dx$  is the volume of the set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for any such  $A$ , the intensity measure of this set is scaled volume

$$\Lambda(A) = \int_{x \in A} \lambda(x) dx,$$

for the intensity density  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . If the intensity density  $\lambda(x) = \lambda$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $\Lambda(A) = \lambda |A|$ . In particular for partition  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  for a set  $A$ , we have  $\Lambda(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda(A_i)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A simple point process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is **Poisson point process**, if the associated counting process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})}$  has complete independence property and the marginal distributions are Poisson.

**Definition 2.2.** The **intensity measure**  $\Lambda : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  of Poisson process  $S$  is defined by  $\Lambda(A) \triangleq \mathbb{E}N(A)$  for all bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ .

*Remark 4.* That is, for a Poisson process with intensity measure  $\Lambda$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and bounded mutually disjoint sets  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , we have

$$P \{N(A_1) = n_1, \dots, N(A_k) = n_k\} = \prod_{i=1}^k \left( e^{-\Lambda(A_i)} \frac{\Lambda(A_i)^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k.$$

**Definition 2.3.** If the intensity measure  $\Lambda$  of a Poisson process  $S$  satisfies  $\Lambda(A) = \lambda |A|$  for all bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , then we call  $S$  a **homogeneous Poisson point process** and  $\lambda$  is its intensity.

## 3 Equivalent characterizations

**Theorem 3.1 (Equivalences).** *Following are equivalent for a simple counting process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})}$ .*

- i.* Process  $N$  is Poisson with locally finite intensity measure  $\Lambda$ .
- ii.* For each bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , we have  $P \{N(A) = 0\} = e^{-\Lambda(A)}$ .
- iii.* For each bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ , the number of points  $N(A)$  is a Poisson with parameter  $\Lambda(A)$ .
- iv.* Process  $N$  has the completely independence property, and  $\mathbb{E}N(A) = \Lambda(A)$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $i_- \implies ii_- \implies iii_- \implies iv_- \implies i_-$ .

$i \implies ii_-$  It follows from the definition of Poisson point processes and definition of Poisson random variables.

$ii \implies iii_-$  From Theorem 1.6, we know that void probabilities determine the entire distribution.

$iii \implies iv_-$  We will show this in two steps.

Mean: Since the distribution of random variable  $N(A)$  is Poisson, it has mean  $\mathbb{E}N(A) = \Lambda(A)$ .

CIP: For disjoint and bounded  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $A = \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$ , we have  $N(A) = N(A_1) + \dots + N(A_k)$ . Taking expectations on both sides, and from the linearity of expectation, we get

$$\Lambda(A) = \Lambda(A_1) + \dots + \Lambda(A_k).$$

From the number of partitions  $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$ , we can write

$$P\{N(A) = n\} = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} P\{N(A_1) = n_1, \dots, N(A_k) = n_k\}.$$

Using the definition of Poisson distribution, we can write the LHS of the above equation as

$$P\{N(A) = n\} = e^{-\Lambda(A)} \frac{\Lambda(A)^n}{n!} = \prod_{i=1}^k e^{-\Lambda(A_i)} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda(A_i))^n}{n!}.$$

Since the expansion of  $(a_1 + \dots + a_k)^n = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{n_i}$ , we get

$$P\{N(A) = n\} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} \prod_{i=1}^k e^{-\Lambda(A_i)} \Lambda(A_i)^{n_i} = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} \prod_{i=1}^k e^{-\Lambda(A_i)} \frac{\Lambda(A_i)^{n_i}}{n_i!}.$$

Equating each term in the summation, we get

$$P\{N(A_1) = n_1, \dots, N(A_k) = n_k\} = \prod_{i=1}^k P\{N(A_i) = n_i\}.$$

$i_v \implies i_-$  Since void probabilities describe the entire distribution, it suffices to show that  $P\{N(A) = 0\} = e^{-\Lambda(A)}$  for all bounded  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . □

**Corollary 3.2 (Poisson process on the half-line).** A random process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{R}_+}$  indexed by time  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is the counting process associated with a one-dimensional Poisson process  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  having intensity measure  $\Lambda$  iff

- (a) Starting with  $N(0) = 0$ , the process  $N(t)$  takes a non-negative integer value for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ;
- (b) the increment  $N(t+s) - N(t)$  is surely nonnegative for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ;
- (c) the increments  $N(t_1), N(t_2) - N(t_1), \dots, N(t_n) - N(t_{n-1})$  are independent for any  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n$ ;
- (d) the increment  $N(t+s) - N(t)$  is distributed as Poisson random variable with parameter  $\Lambda((t, t+s])$ .

The Poisson process is homogeneous with intensity  $\lambda$ , iff in addition to conditions (a), (b), (c), the distribution of the increment  $N(t+s) - N(t)$  depends on the value  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$  but is independent of  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . That is, the increments are stationary.

*Proof.* We have already seen that definition of Poisson processes implies all four conditions. Conditions (a) and (b) imply that  $N$  is a simple counting process on the half-line, condition (c) is the complete independence property of the point process, and condition (d) provides the intensity measure. The result follows from the equivalence  $i_v$ - in Theorem 3.1. □