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In the earlier tutorials, we had seen discussions on when a function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (for a suitably defined $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}))$ is a random variable.
In this part, we look at functions of random variables and demonstrate a succint sufficient condition for these functions too to be random variables.

First, let us look at simple examples:
Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a given probability space, and let $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be RVs that are measurable w.r.t $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $X^{-1}((\infty, x]) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $Y^{-1}((\infty, x]) \in \mathcal{F}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example. We shall show that $X^{2}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a RV

Proof. Fix an $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x) & =\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X^{2}(\omega) \leq x\right\} \\
& =\{\omega \in \Omega:-\sqrt{x} \leq X(\omega) \leq \sqrt{x}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $B_{1}(x) \triangleq\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \leq \sqrt{x}\}$ and $B_{2}(x) \triangleq\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \leq-\sqrt{x}\}$. Clearly, $B_{1}(x) \in \mathcal{F}$, and $B_{2}(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ (Why?).
Hence $B(x)=B_{1}(x) \cap B_{2}^{\complement}(x) \in \mathcal{F}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $X^{2}: \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is also a $\mathcal{F}$-measurable RV.
Example. We will now show that $X+Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable RV.

Proof. In order to show that $X+Y$ is a RV w.r.t. $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$, it suffices to show that

$$
D(x) \triangleq\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)+Y(\omega)<x\} \in \mathcal{F}, \text { for any } x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now, fix an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the fact that $X(\omega)+Y(\omega)<x$ implies that there exists a rational $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $X(\omega)<q$ and $Y(\omega)<x-q$.
Conversely, if there exists a rational $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $X(\omega)<q$ and $Y(\omega)<x-q$, then this implies that $X(\omega)+Y(\omega)<x$. Hence, we get

$$
\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)+Y(\omega)<x\}=\underbrace{\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}}}_{\text {"there exists" }}\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)<q\} \cap\{\omega \in \Omega: Y(\omega)<x-q\}
$$

By the properties of the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$, it is immediate that $\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)+Y(\omega)<x\} \in \mathcal{F}$.
Exercise 3.1. 1. Show that $X Y$ is also a RV w.r.t. $\mathcal{F}$.
2. Show that $Z \triangleq \min \{X, 0\}$ is a RV w.r.t $\mathcal{F}$.

Hint: Write the event $\{\omega: Z(\omega) \leq z\}$ as the union of two events, one of which takes on only the values $\phi$ and $\Omega$.

Let us attempt to come up with a sufficient condition for a function, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a random variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to also be a RV .

Definition (Borel-measurable function). Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function. Then, $f$ is said to be Borel measurable if

$$
A_{f}(B) \triangleq\{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \in B\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \text { for EVERY Borel set } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})
$$

To depict this pictorially


We had seen a similar picture earlier in the context of RVs. The definition of a Borel-measurable function just replaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ in the earlier picture with $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$.

We come to the main result of this section:
Theorem (Functions of RVs). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a given probability space, and let $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$ measurable $\mathbb{R V}$. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel-measurable function, then $f(X): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable RV.

Proof. Let $f(X): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be denoted by the function $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We wish to show that for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\left(f^{-1}(X)\right)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$.
Now, for a fixed $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f(X))^{-1}(B) & =X^{-1}\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \\
& =X^{-1}\left(A_{f}(B)\right) \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A_{f}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and from the definition of a RV.

Remark. Define $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ to be the space of all real-valued $\operatorname{RVs}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ which have finite expectation, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\{X: X \text { is an } \mathcal{F} \text {-measurable } \mathrm{RV} \text { and } \mathbb{E}[X]<\infty\} .
$$

Think about why it is true that $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ is a "vector space" over the reals.
Hint:This follows from the fact that for $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \alpha X+\beta Y \in \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ (use the linearity of expectation).

### 3.1 Joint CDFs

Much like we had put down necessary (and sufficient) conditions for a function to be a CDF of a random variable last time, we shall now list down analogous necsssary (and sufficient) conditions for CDFs. We shall restrict our attention to collections of two random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}$. Let us assume that the random vector $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is measurable w.r.t some given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
Let $F_{X_{1}, X_{2}} \simeq F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the joint CDF of $\boldsymbol{X}$. Then, $F$ satisfies

1. $\lim _{x_{2} \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{X_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\lim _{x_{1} \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{X_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)$

Proof Sketch: Fix $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the events $B_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{1}(\omega) \leq n\right\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $B_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \subseteq B_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \subseteq \ldots$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(B_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{1}(\omega) \leq x_{1}\right\} \\
& =F_{X_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the other equation is similar.
2. (Monotonicity) Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$, with $x_{1} \leq x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2} \leq x_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.
3. For any $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $-\infty<a<b<\infty,-\infty<c<d<\infty$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(a<X_{1} \leq b, c<X_{2} \leq d\right)=F(b, d)-F(a, d)-F(b, c)+F(a, c)
$$

Proof: Do it yourself! Draw a picture of the region of interest in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and avoid double counting.
4. $F$ is continuous from above and from the right, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}, k \rightarrow 0^{+}} F\left(x_{1}+h, x_{2}+k\right)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof Sketch: Consider sets $A_{m n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \triangleq\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{1}(\omega) \leq x_{1}+\frac{1}{m}, X_{2}(\omega) \leq x_{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right\}$. Split up the limit $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{1}+\frac{1}{m}, x_{2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)$ into iterated limits, and use the definition of $A_{m, n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Exercise 3.2. Show that $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
F(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, x<0 \\
\left(1-e^{-x}\right)\left(1 / 2+\frac{1}{\pi} \tan ^{-1} y\right), \text { if } x \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a valid joint CDF.

### 3.2 Transformation of Random Vectors

### 3.2.1 Simulating CDFs

In this section, we are interested in transforming the $\operatorname{Unif}([0,1])$ random variable into any other random variable, whose CDF is known. This is of use in settings where we wish to sample from a new random variable whose distribution is known.

In class, we have prooved the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. Let $U \sim \operatorname{Unif}([0,1])$. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the CDF of the random variable we wish to simulate (or sample from). We will assume, initially, that $F$ is continuous and strictly increasing. Consider the RV $X=F^{-1}(U)$. Then $X$ has the distribution $F$.

It turns out that we can define $F^{-1}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for a general $F(\cdot)$ as

$$
F^{-1}(x)=\inf \{u: F(u) \geq x\}, \text { for } x \in[0,1]
$$

Exercise 3.4. Propose a transformation to transform the $\operatorname{Unif}([0,1]) \mathrm{RV}$ to the $\operatorname{Ber}(p) \mathrm{RV}$.
Corollary. Let $X$ be a given RV with distribution $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$. Consider the RV $Y=F(X)$. Then $Y$ has the same distribution as the $\operatorname{Unif}([0,1]) \mathrm{RV}$.

Proof. Reverse the proof of the previous lemma.
Exercise 3.5. Let $U \sim \operatorname{Unif}([0,1])$. Show that $Y=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln U$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda$. Compare $Y$ with a $\tilde{Y}$ generated by the lemma above. What do you conclude?

### 3.2.2 Transformation of Random Vectors

Let us recall the theorem seen in class.
Theorem. Let $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ have joint density $f$. Let $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuously differentiable and injective. Then $Y=g(X)$ has the density

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{X}\left(g^{-1}(y)\right)\left|\operatorname{det}\left(J_{g^{-1}}(y)\right)\right|, \text { if } y \text { is in the range of } g \\
0, \text { o.w. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example. Let $(X, Y)$ have joint density $f: \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Find the density of $Z=X Y$. Solution: Let $g(x, y)=(x y, x)=(u, v)$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{-1}(u, v) & =\left(v, \frac{u}{v}\right) \quad\left[\text { Note that } g^{-1}(\cdot) \text { exists }\right], \\
J_{g^{-1}}(u, v) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
\frac{1}{v} & -\frac{u}{v^{2}}
\end{array}\right), \text { with } \operatorname{det}\left(J_{g^{-1}}(u, v)\right)=-\frac{1}{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $f_{U, V}(u, v)=f_{X, Y}\left(v, \frac{u}{v}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{v}$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

### 3.3 Supplementary Exercises

Assume a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
Exercise 3.6. Suppose $U, V$ are jointly uniformly distributed RVs over the square with corners $(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)$, and ( 0,1 ), i.e.,

$$
f_{U, V}(u, v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } 0 \leq u \leq 1,0 \leq v \leq 1 \\
0, \text { o.w. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

1. Write down the marginals $f_{U}(u)$ and $f_{V}(v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Are $U, V$ independent?
2. Define a new RV $X=U V$. Find the pdf of $X$.

Hint:Draw a picture of the region $\{U V \leq x\}$ for some $x>0$. Can you compute the area of this region (do not write down the integral blindly!)? Differentiate this are w.r.t. $x$ to get the pdf.

Exercise 3.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ have a joint pdf given by

$$
f(x, y)=x+y, 0 \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq 1
$$

Are $X$ and $Y$ independent?
Exercise 3.8. Let $U \sim \operatorname{Unif}([0,1])$. What is the pmf of $\lfloor n U\rfloor+1$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ?
Note: For an $x \in \mathbb{R},\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to $x$.

