Lecture-08: Expectation

1 Expectation

Example 1.1. Consider a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . We consider N trials of a random experiment, and define a random vector $X : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}^N$ such that $X_i(\omega)$ is a discrete random variable associated with the trial $i \in [N]$. If the marginal distributions of random variables $(X_i : \Omega \to \mathcal{X} : i \in [N])$ are identical with the common probability mass function $P_{X_1} : \mathcal{X} \to [0,1]$, then the empirical mean of random variable X_1 can be written as

$$\hat{m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i(\omega).$$

For a random variable $X_1 : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$, we can define events $C_{X_1}(x) \triangleq X_1^{-1} \{x\}$ for each value $x \in \mathcal{X}$. The probability mass function $P_{X_1} : \mathcal{X} \to [0,1]$ for a discrete random variable can be estimated for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ as the empirical probability mass function

$$\hat{P}_{X_1}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{C_{X_i}(x)}(\omega)$$

Recall that a simple random variable X_1 can be written as $X_1 = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{1}_{C_{X_1}(x)}$, where $C_{X_1} \triangleq (C_{X_1}(x) \in \mathcal{F} : x \in \mathcal{X})$ is a finite partition of the sample space Ω and $P_{X_1}(x) = P(C_{X_1}(x))$. That is, we can write the empirical mean in terms of the empirical PMF as

$$\hat{n} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{1}_{C_{X_i}(x)}(\omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \hat{P}_{X_1}(x).$$

This example motivates the following definition of mean for simple random variables.

Definition 1.2 (Expectation of simple random variable). The **mean** or **expectation** of a simple random variable $X : \Omega \to X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , is denoted by $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \triangleq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x P_X(x).$$

Remark 1. Since a simple random variable can be written as $X = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{1}_{\{X=x\}}$ for $C_X(x) = X^{-1}\{x\}$, we can write the expectation of a simple random variable as an integral

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) P(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{1}_{C_X(x)}(\omega) P(d\omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{C_X(x)}(\omega) P(d\omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{C_X(x)}] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x P_X(x)$$

That is, the expectation of an indicator function is the probability of the indicated set.

Theorem 1.3. Consider a non-negative random variable $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . There exists a sequence of non-decreasing non-negative simple random variables $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}_+$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$Y_n(\omega) \leq Y_{n+1}(\omega)$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_n Y_n(\omega) = X(\omega)$

Then $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ *is defined for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, the sequence* $(\mathbb{E}[Y_n] \in \mathbb{R}_+ : n \in \mathbb{N})$ *is non-decreasing, and the limit* $\lim_n \mathbb{E}[Y_n] \in \mathbb{R}_+$ $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ exists. This limit is independent of the choice of the sequence and depends only on the probability space.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{0, ..., 2^{2n} - 1\}$, we define half-open sets $B_{n,k} \triangleq (k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]$. Then, the collection of sets $B_n \triangleq (B_{n,k} : k \in \{0, ..., 2^{2n} - 1\})$ partitions the set $(0, 2^n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we observe that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (0, 2^n] = \mathbb{R}^+$ and that $B_{n+1,2k} \cup B_{n+1,2k+1} = B_{n,k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and k. For a non-negative random variable $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$, we define events $A_{n,k}^X = X^{-1}(B_{n,k}) \in \mathcal{F}$, and a sequence

of simple non-negative random variables $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}_+$ in the following fashion

$$Y_{n}(\omega) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \mathbb{1}_{A_{n,k}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{\omega \in A_{n,k}^{X}} X(\omega)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \mathbb{1}_{A_{n,k}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{X(\omega) \in B_{n,k}} X(\omega)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} k 2^{-n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{n,k}^{X}}(\omega).$$

We observe that Y_n is a quantized version of X, and its value is the left end-point $k2^{-n}$ when $X \in B_{n,k}$ for each $k \in \{0, ..., 2^{2n} - 1\}$. Since $\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} A_{n,k}^X = X^{-1}(0, 2^n]$, it follows that we cover the positive real line as n grows larger and the step size grows smaller. Thus, the limiting random variable can take all possible non-negative real values. We observe that

$$\begin{split} Y_{n+1}(\omega) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2(n+1)}-1} \mathbb{1}_{A_{n+1,k}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{X(\omega) \in B_{n+1,k}} X(\omega) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{n+1,2k}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{X(\omega) \in B_{n+1,2k}} X(\omega) \right) + \mathbb{1}_{A_{n+1,2k+1}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{X(\omega) \in B_{n+1,2k+1}} X(\omega) \right) \right) \\ &\geqslant \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \mathbb{1}_{A_{n,2k}^{X}}(\omega) \left(\inf_{X(\omega) \in B_{n,k}} X(\omega) \right) = Y_{n}(\omega). \end{split}$$

We see that $Y_n(\omega) \leq Y_{n+1}(\omega) \leq X(\omega)$ and $\lim_n Y_n(\omega) = X(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Since $Y_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a simple random variable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the expectation $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ is defined for all n, and can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} k 2^{-n} [F_X((k+1)2^{-n}) - F_X(k2^{-n})].$$

We observe that this expectation is completely specified by the distribution function F_X , and we can write the limit

$$\lim_{n} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n}] = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{2n}-1} k 2^{-n} [F_{X}(k2^{-n}+2^{-n}) - F_{X}(k2^{-n})] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} x dF_{X}(x).$$

Definition 1.4 (Expectation of a non-negative random variable). For a non-negative random variable *X* : $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , consider the sequence of non-decreasing simple random variables $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}_+$ such that $\lim_n Y_n = X$. The **expectation** of the non-negative random variable X is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \triangleq \lim_{n} \mathbb{E}[Y_n].$$

Remark 2. From the definition, it follows that $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x dF_X(x)$.

Definition 1.5 (Expectation of a real random variable). For a real-valued random variable *X* defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , we can define the following functions

$$X_{+} \triangleq \max\{X, 0\}, \qquad \qquad X_{-} \triangleq \max\{0, -X\}.$$

We can verify that X_+ , X_- are non-negative random variables and hence their expectations are well defined. We observe that $X(\omega) = X_+(\omega) - X_-(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. If at least one of the $\mathbb{E}[X_+]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_-]$ is finite, then the **expectation** of the random variable X is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \triangleq \mathbb{E}[X_+] - \mathbb{E}[X_-].$$

Theorem 1.6 (Expectation as an integral with respect to the distribution function). *For a random variable* $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *defined on the probability space* (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) *, the expectation is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dF_X(x).$$

Proof. It suffices to show this for a non-negative random variable X, and the result follows from the definition of expectation of a non-negative random variable as the limit of expectation of approximating simple functions.

2 Properties of Expectations

Theorem 2.1 (Properties). Let $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

(*i*) *Linearity:* Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and X, Y be random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . If $\mathbb{E}X, \mathbb{E}Y$, and $a\mathbb{E}X + b\mathbb{E}Y$ are well defined, then $\mathbb{E}(aX + bY)$ is well defined and

$$\mathbb{E}(aX + bY) = a\mathbb{E}X + b\mathbb{E}Y.$$

- (*ii*) *Monotonicity:* If $P\{X \ge Y\} = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y]$ is well defined with $\mathbb{E}[Y] > -\infty$, then $\mathbb{E}[X]$ is well defined and $\mathbb{E}[X] \ge \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (iii) Functions of random variables: Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel measurable function, then g(X) is a random variable with $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) dF(x)$.
- (iv) **Continuous random variables:** Let $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ be the density function, then $\mathbb{E}X = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} x f_X(x) dx$.
- (v) **Discrete random variables:** Let $P_X : \mathfrak{X} \to [0,1]$ be the probability mass function, then $\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} x P_X(x)$.
- (vi) **Integration by parts:** The expectation $\mathbb{E}X = \int_{x \ge 0} (1 F_X(x)) dx + \int_{x < 0} F_X(x) dx$ is well defined when at least one of the two parts is finite on the right hand side.

Proof. It suffices to show properties (i) - (iii) for simple random variables.

(i) Let $X = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x \mathbb{1}_{C_X(x)}$ and $Y = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y \mathbb{1}_{C_Y(y)}$ be simple random variables, then $(C_X(x) \cap C_Y(y) \in \mathcal{F}: (x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ partition the sample space Ω . Hence, we can write $aX + bY = \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} (ax + by) \mathbb{1}_{\{C_X(x) \cap C_Y(y)\}}$ and from linearity of sum it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[aX+bY] = \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} (ax+by)P\{C_X(x)\cap C_Y(y)\} = a\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} x\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} P\{C_X(x)\cap C_Y(y)\} + b\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} y\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} P\{C_X(x)\cap C_Y(y)\} = a\sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} xP(C_X(x)) + b\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} yP(C_Y(y)) = a\mathbb{E}X + b\mathbb{E}Y.$$

- (ii) From the fact that $X Y \ge 0$ almost surely and linearity of expectation, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}X \ge 0$ for non-negative random variable X. It can easily be shown for simple non-negative random variables, and follows for general non-negative random variables by taking limits.
- (iii) It suffices to show this holds true for simple random variables $X : \Omega \to \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Since $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Borel measurable, Y = g(X) is a random variable. For each $y \in \mathcal{Y} = g(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$C_{Y}(y) = \{\omega \in \Omega : (g \circ X)(\omega) = y\} = X^{-1} \circ g^{-1}\{y\} = \bigcup_{x \in g^{-1}\{y\}} C_{X}(x).$$

Therefore, we can write the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} y P(C_Y(y)) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{x \in g^{-1}(y)} g(x) P(C_X(x)) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g(x) P(C_X(x)).$$

- (iv) For continuous random variables, we have $dF_X(x) = f_X(x)dx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (v) For discrete random variables $X : \Omega \to \mathcal{X}$, we have $dF_X(x) = P_X(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and zero otherwise.
- (vi) We can write $\mathbb{E}X = -\int_{x \ge 0} x d(1 F_X)(x) + \int_{x < 0} x dF_X(x)$. Therefore, we have

$$= -x(1 - F_X(x))|_0^{\infty} + \int_{x \ge 0} (1 - F_X(x)) dx.$$