## Lecture-26: Martingale Concentration Inequalities

## 1 Introduction

Consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a discrete filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} = (\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F} : n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Let  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be discrete random process and stopping time  $\tau : \Omega \to \mathbb{N}$ , both adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** If X is a submartingale and  $\tau$  is a bounded stopping time such that  $P\{\tau \leq n\} = 1$  then

$$\mathbb{E}X_1 \leqslant \mathbb{E}X_{\tau} \leqslant \mathbb{E}X_n$$
.

*Proof.* Since  $\tau$  is bounded, it follows from the optional stopping theorem that  $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau}] \geqslant \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ . Further, we observe that  $\{\tau = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and X is a submartingale, and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \geqslant \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} X_k = X_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}}.$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}}] \geqslant \mathbb{E}[X_\tau\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}}]$ . In addition,  $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} = 1$  almost surely, and hence we observe that

$$\mathbb{E}X_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}}] \leqslant \sum_{k=1^{n}} \mathbb{E}[X_{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}}] = \mathbb{E}X_{n}.$$

**Theorem 1.2 (Kolmogorov's inequality for submartingales).** For a non-negative submartingale X and a > 0,

 $P\left\{\max_{i\in[n]}X_i>a\right\}\leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}{a}.$ 

*Proof.* We define a random time  $\tau_a \triangleq \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} : X_i > a\}$  and stopping time  $\tau \triangleq \tau_a \wedge n$ . It follows that,

$$\left\{\max_{i\in[n]}X_i>a\right\}=\cup_{i\in[n]}\left\{X_i>a\right\}=\left\{X_\tau>a\right\}.$$

Using this fact and Markov inequality, we get  $P\left\{\max_{i\in[n]}X_i>a\right\}=P\left\{X_{\tau}>a\right\}\leqslant\frac{\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau}]}{a}$ . Since  $\tau\leqslant n$  is a bounded stopping time, result follows from the Lemma 1.1.

**Corollary 1.3.** For a martingale X and positive constant a,

$$P\left\{\max_{i\in[n]}|X_i|>a\right\}\leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_n|}{a}, \qquad P\left\{\max_{i\in[n]}|X_i|>a\right\}\leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}X_n^2}{a^2}.$$

*Proof.* The proof the above statements follow from and Kolmogorov's inequality for submartingales, and by considering the convex functions f(x) = |x| and  $f(x) = x^2$ .

**Theorem 1.4 (Strong Law of Large Numbers).** Let  $S: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a random walk with i.i.d. step size X having finite mean  $\mu$ . If the moment generating function  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_n}]$  for random variable  $X_n$  exists for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$P\left\{\lim_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{S_n}{n}=\mu\right\}=1.$$

*Proof.* For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  as  $g(t) \triangleq \frac{e^{t(\mu + \epsilon)}}{M(t)}$ . Then, it is clear that g(0) = 1 and

$$g'(0) = \frac{M(0)(\mu + \epsilon) - M'(0)}{M^2(0)} = \epsilon > 0.$$

Hence, there exists a value  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $g(t_0) > 1$ . We now show that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  can be as large as  $\mu + \epsilon$  only finitely often. To this end, note that

$$\left\{\frac{S_n}{n} \geqslant \mu + \epsilon\right\} \subseteq \left\{\frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{M(t_0)^n} \geqslant g(t_0)^n\right\} \tag{1}$$

However,  $Y_n \triangleq \frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{M^n(t_0)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{t_0 X_i}}{M(t_0)}$  is a product of independent non negative random variables with unit mean, and hence is a non-negative martingale with  $\sup_n \mathbb{E} Y_n = 1$ . By martingale convergence theorem, the limit  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n$  exists and is finite.

Since  $g(t_0) > 1$ , it follows from (1) that

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n}{n} \geqslant \mu + \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of n}\right\} = 0.$$

Similarly, defining the function  $f(t) \triangleq \frac{e^{t(\mu-\epsilon)}}{M(t)}$  and noting that since f(0) = 1 and  $f'(0) = -\epsilon$ , there exists a value  $t_0 < 0$  such that  $f(t_0) > 1$ , we can prove in the same manner that

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n}{n} \leqslant \mu - \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of n}\right\} = 0.$$

Hence, result follows from combining both these results, and taking limit of arbitrary  $\epsilon$  decreasing to zero.

**Definition 1.5.** A discrete random process  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with distribution function  $F_n \triangleq F_{X_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is said to be **uniformly integrable** if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $y_{\epsilon}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n|\,\mathbb{1}_{\{|X_n|>y_{\epsilon}\}}] = \int_{|x|>y_{\epsilon}} |x| dF_n(x) < \epsilon.$$

**Lemma 1.6.** If  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable then there exists finite M such that  $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_1$  be as in the definition of uniform integrability. Then

$$\mathbb{E}|X_n| = \int_{|x| \le y_1} |x| dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| > y_1} |x| dF_n(x) \le y_1 + 1.$$

## 1.1 Generalized Azuma Inequality

**Lemma 1.7.** For a zero mean random variable X with support  $[-\alpha, \beta]$  and any convex function f

$$\mathbb{E}f(X) \leqslant \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}f(-\alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}f(\beta).$$

*Proof.* From convexity of f, any point (X,Y) on the line joining points  $(-\alpha, f(-\alpha))$  and  $(\beta, f(\beta))$  is

$$Y = f(-\alpha) + (X + \alpha) \frac{f(\beta) - f(-\alpha)}{\beta + \alpha} \geqslant f(X).$$

Result follows from taking expectations on both sides.

**Lemma 1.8.** For  $\theta \in [0,1]$  and  $\bar{\theta} \triangleq 1 - \theta$ , we have  $\theta e^{\bar{\theta}x} + \bar{\theta}e^{-\theta x} \leqslant e^{x^2/8}$ .

*Proof.* Defining  $\alpha \triangleq 2\theta - 1$ ,  $\beta \triangleq \frac{x}{2}$ , and  $f(\alpha, \beta) \triangleq \cosh \beta + \alpha \sinh \beta - e^{\alpha \beta + \beta^2/2}$ , we can write

$$\theta e^{\bar{\theta}x} + \bar{\theta}e^{-\theta x} - e^{x^2/8} = \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}e^{(1-\alpha)\beta} - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}e^{-(1+\alpha)\beta} - e^{\beta^2/2} = e^{-\alpha\beta}f(\alpha,\beta).$$

Therefore, we need to show that  $f(\alpha, \beta) \le 0$  for all  $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . This inequality is true for  $|\alpha| = 1$  and sufficiently large  $\beta$ . Therefore, it suffices to show this for  $\beta < M$  for some M. We take the partial derivative of  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  with respect to variables  $\alpha, \beta$  and equate it to zero to get the stationary point,

$$\sinh \beta + \alpha \cosh \beta = (\alpha + \beta)e^{\alpha\beta + \beta^2/2},$$
  $\sinh \beta = \beta e^{\alpha\beta + \beta^2/2}.$ 

If  $\beta \neq 0$ , then the stationary point satisfies  $1 + \alpha \coth \beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ , with the only solution being  $\beta = \tanh \beta$ . By Taylor series expansion, it can be seen that there is no other solution to this equation other than  $\beta = 0$ . Since  $f(\alpha, 0) = 0$ , the lemma holds true.

**Proposition 1.9.** Let X be a zero-mean martingale with respect to filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}$ , such that  $-\alpha \leqslant X_n - X_{n-1} \leqslant \beta$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any positive values a and b

$$P\{X_n \geqslant a + bn \text{ for some } n\} \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{8ab}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}\right).$$
 (2)

*Proof.* Let  $X_0 = 0$  and c > 0, then we define a random sequence  $W : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  adapted to filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}$ , such that

$$W_n \triangleq e^{c(X_n - a - bn)} = W_{n-1}e^{-cb}e^{c(X_n - X_{n-1})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We will show that W is a supermartingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma(W_n) \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can also see that  $\mathbb{E}|W_n| < \infty$  for all n. Further, we observe

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = W_{n-1}e^{-cb}\mathbb{E}[e^{c(X_n - X_{n-1})}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}].$$

Applying Lemma **??** to the convex function  $f(x) = e^{cx}$ , replacing expectation with conditional expectation, the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[X_n - X_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$ , and setting  $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + \beta)} \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{c(X_n - X_{n-1})} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leqslant \frac{\beta e^{-c\alpha} + \alpha e^{c\beta}}{\alpha + \beta} = \bar{\theta}e^{-c(\alpha + \beta)\theta} + \theta e^{c(\alpha + \beta)\bar{\theta}} \leqslant e^{c^2(\alpha + \beta)^2/8}.$$

The second inequality follows from Lemma ?? with  $x = c(\alpha + \beta)$  and  $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha + \beta)} \in [0, 1]$ . Fixing the value  $c = \frac{8b}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leqslant W_{n-1}e^{-cb + \frac{c^2(\alpha + \beta)^2}{8}} = W_{n-1}.$$

Thus, W is a supermartingale. For a fixed positive integer k, define the bounded stopping time  $\tau$  by

$$\tau \triangleq \inf \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n \geqslant a + bn \} \wedge k.$$

Now, using Markov inequality and optional stopping theorem, we get

$$P\{X_{\tau} \geqslant a + b\tau\} = P\{W_{\tau} \geqslant 1\} \leqslant \mathbb{E}[W_{\tau}] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[W_0] = e^{-ca} = e^{-\frac{8ab}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}}$$

The above inequality is equivalent to  $P\{X_n \ge a + bn \text{ for some } n \le k\} \le e^{-8ab/(\alpha+\beta)^2}$ . Since, the choice of k was arbitrary, the result follow from letting  $k \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.10 (Generalized Azuma inequality).** Let X be a zero-mean martingale, such that  $-\alpha \leqslant X_n - X_{n-1} \leqslant \beta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any positive constant c and integer m

$$P\left\{X_n \geqslant nc \text{ for some } n \geqslant m\right\} \leqslant e^{-\frac{2mc^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}}, \qquad P\left\{X_n \leqslant -nc \text{ for some } n \geqslant m\right\} \leqslant e^{-\frac{2mc^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that if there is an n such that  $n \ge m$  and  $X_n \ge nc$  then for that n, we have  $X_n \ge nc \ge \frac{mc}{2} + \frac{nc}{2}$ . Using this fact and previous proposition for  $a = \frac{mc}{2}$  and  $b = \frac{c}{2}$ , we get

$$P\left\{X_n \geqslant nc \text{ for some } n \geqslant m\right\} \leqslant P\left\{X_n \geqslant \frac{mc}{2} + \frac{c}{2}n \text{ for some } n\right\} \leqslant e^{-\frac{8\frac{mc}{2}\frac{c}{2}}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}}.$$

This proves first inequality, and second inequality follows by considering the martingale -X.