Lecture-02: Review of Linear Algebra and Convex Optimization

1 Review of Linear Algebra

1.1 Vector Space

Definition 1.1 (Vector addition). A set *V* is set to be equipped with vector addition mapping $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ defined by +(v, w) = v + w for any two elements $v, w \in V$, if this mapping satisfies the following four axioms.

- 1. Associativity: For all vectors $u, v, w \in V$, we have u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w.
- 2. **Commutativity:** For all vectors $u, v \in V$, we have u + v = v + u.
- 3. Additive identity: There exists a zero vector $0 \in V$, such that u + 0 = u for all $u \in V$.
- 4. Additive inverse: For each vector $u \in V$, there exists an additive inverse $-u \in V$ such that u + (-u) = 0.

Definition 1.2 (Scalar multiplication). A set *V* equipped with vector addition $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ is also equipped with field scalar multiplication mapping $\cdot: \mathbb{F} \times V \rightarrow V$ defined by $\cdot(\alpha, v) = \alpha v \in V$, if this mapping satisfies the following four axioms.

- 1. **Field compatibility:** For all scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and vector $u \in V$, we have $\alpha(\beta u) = (\alpha \beta)u$.
- 2. **Multiplicative identity:** There exists a multiplicative identity element $1 \in \mathbb{F}$, such that 1u = u for all $u \in V$.
- 3. Distributivity over vector addition: For each scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and vectors $u, v \in V$, we have $\alpha(v + u) = \alpha u + \alpha v$.
- 4. Distributivity over field addition: For all scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and vector $u \in V$, we have $(\alpha + \beta)u = \alpha u + \beta u$.

Definition 1.3. A vector space over the field \mathbb{F} is a set *V* equipped with vector addition $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and scalar multiplication $\cdot: \mathbb{F} \times V \rightarrow V$.

Definition 1.4. A set of vectors $W \subseteq V$ are called linearly independent, if for any nonzero vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^W$ with finite $\sum_w \alpha_w$, we have $\sum_{w \in W} \alpha_w w \neq 0 \in V$.

Definition 1.5. The span of a set of vectors $W \subseteq V$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{Span}(W) \triangleq \left\{ \sum_{w \in W} \alpha_w w : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^W, \sum_{w \in W} \alpha_w \text{ finite} \right\}.$$

Definition 1.6. A basis of any vector space V, is a spanning set of linearly independent vectors.

Theorem 1.7. All bases of a vector space V have identical cardinality, and defined to be its dimension.

Example 1.8 (Vector space). Following are some common examples of vector spaces.

- 1. Euclidean space of *N*-dimensions, denoted by \mathbb{R}^N .
- 2. Space of continuous functions over a compact subset [a, b] denoted by C([a, b]).
- 3. Space of random variables defined over probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with finite *p*th moment denoted by L^p .

1.2 Inner Product Space

A *inner product space* is a vector space equipped with an inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following axioms.

- 1. **Symmetry:** For all vectors $x, y \in V$, we have $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$.
- 2. **Linearity:** For all scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and vectors $x, y, z \in V$, we have $\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$.
- 3. **Definiteness:** For all vectors $x \in V$, we have $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$, and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ iff x = 0.

Example 1.9 (inner product spaces). Following are some common examples of inner product spaces.

- 1. For the vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^N$ of *N*-dimensional vectors, the inner product is defined as $\langle x, y \rangle \triangleq x^T y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i$.
- 2. For vector space $V = C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of continuous functions, the inner product is defined as $\langle f, g \rangle \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f,g)(t) dt$.
- 3. For the vector space of random variables, the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^p \times L^q \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $\langle X, Y \rangle \triangleq \mathbb{E}XY$ for conjugate pairs $p, q \ge 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

1.3 Norms

Definition 1.10. Norm is a mapping $\|\cdot\| : V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that satisfy the following axioms.

- 1. **Definiteness:** For all vectors $v \in V$, we have ||v|| = 0 iff v = 0.
- 2. Homogeneity: For all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and vectors $v \in V$, we have $||\alpha v|| = |\alpha| ||v||$.
- 3. Triangle inequality: For all vectors $v, w \in V$, we have $||v + w|| \leq ||v|| + ||w||$.

Example 1.11 (Norms). Let $p \ge 1$, then following are common examples of *p*-norms.

- 1. For a vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^N$, we can define the *p*-norm as $||x||_p \triangleq \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 2. For vector space $V = C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the *p*-norm is defined as $||f||_p \triangleq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^p(t)dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
- 3. For vector space of random variables, the *p*-norm is defined as $||X||_p \triangleq (\mathbb{E} |X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $X \in L^p$.

Example 1.12 (Special norms). Let $p \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$, then following are common examples of *p*-norms.

- 1. Consider the vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $x \in V$. For p = 1, we have $||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|$. For p = 2, the norm is Euclidean norm such that $||x||_2^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$. For $p = \infty$, we have $||x||_{\infty} = \max_i |x_i|$.
- 2. Consider the vector space $V = C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $f \in V$. For p = 1, we have $||f||_1 = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}^N} |f|(t)dt$. For p = 2, the norm is Euclidean norm such that $||f||_2^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}^N} |f|^2(t)dt$. For $p = \infty$, we have $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_t |f|(t)$.
- 3. Consider the vector space *V* of random variables and $X \in V$. For p = 1, we have $||X||_1 = \mathbb{E} |X|$. For p = 2, the norm is Euclidean norm such that $||X||_2^2 = \langle X, X \rangle = \mathbb{E} X^2$. For $p = \infty$, we have $||X||_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega} |X|(\omega)$.

Proposition 1.13 (Holder's Inequality). Let $p,q \ge 1$ be a conjugate pair, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then,

$$|\langle x,y \rangle| \leqslant ||x||_p ||y||_a$$
 for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. The Holder's inequality is trivially true if x = 0 or y = 0. Hence, we assume that $||x||_p ||y||_q > 0$, and let $a \triangleq \frac{|x_i|}{||x||_p}$ and $b \triangleq \frac{|y|_i}{||y||_q}$. We will use the Young's inequality $\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q \ge ab$ for all a, b > 0, that implies that

$$\frac{|x_i|^p}{p \|x\|_p^p} + \frac{|y_i|^q}{q \|y\|_q^q} \ge \frac{|x|_i |y|_i}{\|x\|_p \|y\|_q}, \text{ for all } i \in [N].$$

Since $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_i| |y_i|$, we get the result by summing both sides over $i \in [N]$ in the above inequality.

2 Review of Convex Optimization

Let $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ for $N \ge 1$ and $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.

Definition 2.1 (Gradient). The gradient of function f at point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined as the column vector $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where the entry $i \in [N]$ is defined as $(\nabla f(x))_i \triangleq \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$.

Definition 2.2 (Hessian). The Hessian of function f at point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is denoted by the matrix $\nabla^2 f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, where the entry $(i, j) \in [N] \times [N]$ is defined as $\nabla^2 f_{i,j}(x) \triangleq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$.

Remark 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function over *N*-dimensional reals. Then, we can write its Taylor series expansion around the neighborhood of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, in terms of the gradient vector $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and the Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, as

$$f(y) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), (y-x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle (y-x), \nabla^2 f(x)(y-x) \right\rangle + o(\|y-x\|_2^2).$$
(1)

Definition 2.3 (Stationary Point). A point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is called a stationary point of $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, if f attains a local extremum at x.

Remark 2. If $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, then $\nabla f(x) = 0$ at a stationary point $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

2.1 Convexity

Definition 2.4 (Convex Set). A set \mathfrak{X} is called convex if for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the convex combination $\alpha x + \overline{\alpha} y \in \mathfrak{X}$ where $\overline{\alpha} \triangleq (1 - \alpha)$.

Definition 2.5 (Convex Hull). A convex hull of a set *A* is the smallest convex set including *A*, i.e. $\operatorname{conv}(A) \triangleq \{\sum_{x \in A} \alpha_x x : 0 \leq \alpha_x \leq 1, \sum_{x \in A} \alpha_x = 1\}.$

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. For a function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, we define its epigraph as

$$\operatorname{Epi}(f) \triangleq \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{R} : y \ge f(x)\}.$$

Definition 2.7. A function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if the associated domain \mathfrak{X} and epigraph Epi(f) are convex sets.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a convex set. Then the following are equivalent statements.

- 1. $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function.
- 2. For all $\alpha \in [0,1]$, we have $f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)$.
- 3. For differentiable f, we have $f(y) f(x) \ge \langle \nabla f(x), y x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.
- 4. For twice differentiable f, we have $\nabla^2 f \succeq 0$, i.e. $\nabla^2 f$ is a positive semi-definite matrix.

Proof. For convex set $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and a function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, we will show that statement 1 implies statement 2, which implies statement 3, which implies statement 4, which implies statement 1.

- 1 \implies 2: Let $(x, f(x)), (y, f(y)) \in \text{Epi}(f)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then from the convexity of \mathcal{X} , we have $\alpha x + \bar{\alpha} y \in \mathcal{X}$. Further from the convexity of Epi(f), we have $(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha} y, \alpha f(x) + \bar{\alpha} f(y)) \in \text{Epi}(f)$. That is, $\alpha f(x) + \bar{\alpha} f(y) \ge f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha} y)$.
- 2 \implies 3: Recall that $\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y = x + \bar{\alpha}(y x)$. From statement 2, we have $f(y) f(x) \ge \frac{f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) f(x)}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Taking $\bar{\alpha} \to 0$, we observe that the right hand side is equal to $\langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle$.
- 3 \implies 4: From (1) and statement 3, it follows that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X} f(y) f(x) \langle \nabla f(x), y x \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(y x)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(y x) + o(||y x||_2^2) \ge 0.$

4 \implies 1: Let $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Then, it suffices to show that $\alpha f(x_1) + \bar{\alpha} f(x_2) \ge f(\alpha x_1 + \bar{\alpha} x_2)$. From the Taylor expansion of *f* in the neighborhood of x_2 , we get

$$\alpha(f(x_1) - f(x_2)) = \alpha \left\langle \nabla f(x_2), x_1 - x_2 \right\rangle + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\langle (x_1 - x_2), \nabla^2 f(x_2)(x_1 - x_2) \right\rangle + o(\|x_1 - x_2\|_2^2)$$

Similarly, we write the Taylor expansion of f in the neighborhood of x_2 , to get

$$f(\alpha x_1 + \bar{\alpha} x_2) - f(x_2) = \alpha \left\langle \nabla f(x_2), x_1 - x_2 \right\rangle + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left\langle (x_1 - x_2), \nabla^2 f(x_2)(x_1 - x_2) \right\rangle + o(\|x_1 - x_2\|_2^2).$$

Taking the difference, we get $\alpha(f(x_1) - f(x_2)) \ge f(\alpha x_1 + \overline{\alpha} x_2) - f(x_2)$.

Example 2.9 (Convex Function). Following functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex.

- 1. Linear Function: $f(x) = \langle w, x \rangle$ for each $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 2. Quadratic Function: $f(x) = x^T A x$ for a positive semi definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.
- 3. Abs Maximum: $f(x) = \max\{|x_i| : i \in [N]\} = ||x||_{\infty}$.

Lemma 2.10 (Composition of functions). We define a composition function $f = h \circ g$ for functions $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, by defining $f(x) \triangleq h(g(x))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, the following statements are true.

- 1. If h is convex and nondecreasing and g is convex, then f is convex.
- 2. If h is convex and nonincreasing and g is concave, then f is convex.
- 3. If h is concave and nondecreasing and g is concave, then f is concave.
- 4. If h is concave and nonincreasing and g is convex, then f is concave.

Proof. We will use the property that a function f is convex iff dom(f) is convex and $f(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) \leq \alpha f(x) + \bar{\alpha} f(y)$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Recall that \mathbb{R}^N is convex for all $N \geq 1$. We will only show the first statement, and rest follow the same steps. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$. From the convexity of g, we get $g(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y) \leq \alpha g(x) + \bar{\alpha} g(y)$. From the nondecreasing property of h, we get $h(g(\alpha x + \bar{\alpha}y)) \leq h(\alpha g(x) + \bar{\alpha} g(y))$. From the convexity of h, we get $h(\alpha g(x) + \bar{\alpha} g(y)) \leq \alpha h(g(x)) + \bar{\alpha} h(g(y))$.

Theorem 2.11 (Jensen's Inequality). Let $X : \Omega \to \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a random vector with finite marginal means, and $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then the mean $\mathbb{E}[X] \in \mathfrak{X}$, the mean $\mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ is fnite, and $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$.

Proof. We will show this for simple random vector $X : \Omega \to \{x_1, ..., x_m\} \subseteq X$, such that $\alpha_i \triangleq P\{X = x_i\}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then, the mean $\mathbb{E}X = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i \in X$ from the convexity of X, and $\mathbb{E}f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f(x_i)$ is finite. Further, from the convexity of f, we get $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f(x_i)$.

Corollary 2.12 (Young's inequality). Let $p, q \ge 1$ be a conjugate pair such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then, $ab \le \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$.

Proof. Take a random variable $X : \Omega \to \{a^p, b^q\}$ with probability mass function $P_X(a^p) = \frac{1}{p}$ and $P_X(b^q) = \frac{1}{q}$. Then, from the concavity of log

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q\right) = \ln \mathbb{E}X \ge \mathbb{E}\ln X = \frac{1}{p}\ln a^p + \frac{1}{q}\ln b^q = \ln ab.$$

Since $ln(\cdot)$ is an increasing function, the above inequality implies the result.

2.2 Constrained Optimization

Problem 1 (Primal problem). Consider a cost function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and a constraint function $g : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^m$. The **primal problem** is $p^* \triangleq \inf \{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, where the constraint set is

$$\mathfrak{X} \triangleq \cap_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : g_{i}(x) \leqslant 0 \right\}.$$
⁽²⁾

Definition 2.13 (Lagrangian). For the Problem 1, we define an associated Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ for Lagrange or dual variables $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and primal variables $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, as

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\alpha) \triangleq f(x) + \langle \alpha, g(x) \rangle.$$
(3)

Definition 2.14 (Dual function). The dual function $F : \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with the Problem 1 is defined for dual variables $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ as

$$F(\alpha) \triangleq \inf \left\{ \mathcal{L}(x,\alpha) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N \right\}.$$
(4)

Theorem 2.15. The following are true for the dual function $F : \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ defined in (4) for the Problem 1.

- 1. *F* is concave in $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$.
- 2. $F(\alpha) \leq \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 3. $F(\alpha) \leq p^*$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) = f(x) + \langle \alpha, g(x) \rangle$ is a linear function of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$, and $F(\alpha) = \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha)$.

1. Let $\beta \in [0,1]$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows from the linearity of Lagrangian in α that

$$F(\beta\alpha_1 + \bar{\beta}\alpha_2) = \inf_x \left[\beta \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha_1) + \bar{\beta} \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha_2) \right] \ge \beta \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha_1) + \bar{\beta} \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha_2) = \beta F(\alpha_1) + \bar{\beta} F(\alpha_2).$$

- 2. From the definition of *F*, it follows that $F(\alpha) \leq \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 3. Recall that $g_i(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and hence $\langle \alpha, g(x) \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore, $F(\alpha) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and hence the result follows.

Problem 2. Dual problem The dual problem associated with primal problem defined in Problem 1 is

$$d^* \triangleq \max \left\{ F(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+ \right\}.$$

Remark 3. From the properties of dual function $F : \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 2.15, we obtain that F is concave in $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$. Since \mathbb{R}^m_+ is a convex set, it follows that the dual problem is convex. We further observe that the optimal value of dual problem $d^* \leq p^*$. The difference of optimal values $(p^* - d^*)$ is called the **duality gap**. For a primal problem, the **strong duality** holds if the duality gap is zero, or $d^* = p^*$.

2.3 Convex constrained optimization

Definition 2.16 (Saddle point). For a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, a saddle point (x^*, α^*) sastifies

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+} \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha) \leqslant \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*) \leqslant \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}(x, \alpha^*).$$

Theorem 2.17 (Sufficient condition). *For the primal problem defined in Problem 1, if* (x^*, α^*) *is a saddle point of the associated Lagrangian* \mathcal{L} *, then* $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ *and* $p^* = f(x^*) = F(\alpha^*)$.

Proof. Let (x^*, α^*) be the saddle point of the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} associated with the Problem 1. From the definition of dual function *F*, we get that $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*) \leq F(\alpha^*) \leq \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*)$. It follows that $F(\alpha^*) = \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*)$.

Recall that $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha) = f(x^*) + \langle \alpha, g(x^*) \rangle$. We assume that there exists an $i \in [m]$ such that $g_i(x) > 0$, then we can take α_i large enough so that $\mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha) \ge \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*)$. This contradicts the saddle point condition, and hence $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\langle \alpha, g(x^*) \rangle \le 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$. This implies that $\langle \alpha^*, g(x^*) \rangle = 0$ and hence $p^* = f(x^*) = F(\alpha^*)$.

Definition 2.18 (Strong constraint qualification). The strong constraint qualification or **Slater's condition** is defined as the existence of a point $x \in X^o$ such that $g_i(x) < 0$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Theorem 2.19 (Strong necessary condition). Let the cost function f and constraints g_i for $i \in [m]$ be convex functions, such that the Slater's condition holds, and x^* be the solution of the Problem 1. Then, there exists $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that (x^*, α^*) is a saddle point of the associated Lagrangian \mathcal{L} .

Definition 2.20. A function $h : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be affine if it can be defined as $x \mapsto h(x) \triangleq \langle w, x \rangle + b$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and some $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.21 (Weak constraint qualification). The weak constraint qualification or **weak Slater's condition** is defined as the existence of a point $x \in X^o$ such that for each $i \in [m]$ either $g_i(x) < 0$ or $g_i(x) = 0$ and g_i affine.

Theorem 2.22 (Weak necessary condition). Let the cost function f and constraints g_i for $i \in [m]$ be convex differentiable functions, such that the weak Slater's condition holds, and x^* be the solution of the Problem 1. Then, there exists $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that (x^*, α^*) is a saddle point of the associated Lagrangian \mathcal{L} .

Remark 4. The strong duality holds when the primal problem is convex with qualifying constraints.

Theorem 2.23 (Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT)). Let the cost function f and constraint functions g_i for all $i \in [m]$ be convex and differentiable functions, such that the constraints are qualified. Then $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a solution of the constrained problem iff there exists $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that

$$\nabla_{x}\mathcal{L}(x^{*},\alpha^{*}) = \nabla_{x}f(x^{*}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{*}\nabla_{x}g_{i}(x^{*}) = 0, \quad \nabla_{\alpha_{i}}\mathcal{L}(x^{*},\alpha^{*}) = g_{i}(x^{*}) \leq 0, i \in [m], \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{*}g_{i}(x^{*}) = 0.$$

Proof. We will first assume that $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ is solution of the constrained problem and show that the there exists $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that the three conditions are met. From the definition of Lagrangian \mathcal{L} , we have

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*) = \nabla_x f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^* \nabla_x g_i(x^*).$$

From the necessary condition theorem, it follows that if $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ is a solution to the primal problem, then there exists dual variables $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that (x^*, α^*) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian. It follows that $\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*) = 0$ and the first condition holds. Since $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows that the second condition $g_i(x^*) \leq 0$ holds for all $i \in [m]$. Since (x^*, α^*) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian, we have for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$

$$\mathcal{L}(x^*, \alpha^*) = f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^* g_i(x^*) \ge f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i g_i(x^*).$$

This implies that $-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* g_i(x^*) \leq \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m_+} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i g_i(x^*) = 0.$

Conversely, let $(x^*, \alpha^*) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m_+$ be such that all three KKT conditions are met. We will show that $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We first observe from the convexity of f, that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$f(x) - f(x^*) \ge \langle \nabla_x f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle$$

From the first condition, we get $\langle \nabla_x f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^* \langle \nabla_x g_i(x^*), x - x^* \rangle$. From the convexity of g_i for all $i \in [m]$ and the third condition, we have

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{*} \langle \nabla_{x} g_{i}(x^{*}), x - x^{*} \rangle \geq -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{*}(g_{i}(x) - g_{i}(x^{*})) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}^{*} g_{i}(x)$$

Recall that $g_i(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $i \in [m]$. Thus, combining all these inequalities, we get $f(x) - f(x^*) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.