

# Lecture-20: Local behavior of divergence

## 1 Local behavior of divergence

KL divergence is in general not continuous. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to expect that in non-pathological cases the functional  $D(P\|Q)$  vanishes when  $P$  approaches  $Q$  “smoothly”. Due to the smoothness and strict convexity of  $x \ln x$  at  $x = 1$ , it is then also natural to expect that this functional decays “quadratically”. In this section, we examine this question first along the linear interpolation between  $P$  and  $Q$ , then, more generally, in smooth parametrized families of distributions. These properties will be extended to more general divergences later.

**Definition 1.1.** Consider a sample space  $\Omega$  and event space  $\mathcal{F}$ . A probability measure  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfies  $\sigma$ -additivity and certainty axioms. For a random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , we define set of measures for  $X$  as  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  that consists of probability measures  $P : \sigma(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that satisfies  $\sigma$ -additivity and certainty axioms.

### 1.1 Local behavior of divergence for mixtures

Consider a  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and two probability measures  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} \triangleq 1 - \lambda$  and consider  $D(\lambda P + \bar{\lambda} Q\|Q)$ , which vanishes as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Next, we show that this decay is always sublinear.

**Lemma 1.2.** The map  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $h(x) \triangleq x \ln x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , is convex.

*Proof.* We observe that the second derivative of  $h$  exists and  $h''(x) = \frac{1}{x} > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  □

**Lemma 1.3.** We define a map  $k_g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $k_g(\lambda) \triangleq (\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}) \ln(\lambda g + \bar{\lambda})$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $g \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then, the following statements hold true.

- (a)  $k_g(0) = 0$  and  $k_g(1) = g \ln g$  and  $k_g(\lambda) \leq \lambda g \ln g$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .
- (b)  $k_g$  is a convex map in  $\lambda$ .
- (c)  $k_g(\lambda)/\lambda$  is increasing in  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We define a Bernoulli random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \{g, 1\}$  with probability mass function  $P_X(g) \triangleq P\{X = g\} = \lambda$ , then we observe that  $k_g(\lambda) = h(\mathbb{E}X)$ .

- (a) When  $\lambda = 0$ , we have  $X = 1$  almost surely, resulting in  $k_g(0) = h(\mathbb{E}X) = h(1) = 0$ . When  $\lambda = 1$ , we have  $X = g$  almost surely, resulting in  $k_g(1) = h(\mathbb{E}X) = h(g) = g \ln g$ . Applying Jensen inequality for convex map  $h$ , we get  $k_g(\lambda) = h(\mathbb{E}X) \leq \mathbb{E}h(X) = \lambda g \ln g$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .
- (b) The result follows since  $k_g''(\lambda) = \frac{(g-1)^2}{\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}} \geq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $g \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Alternatively, one can observe that  $k_g(\lambda) = h(\mathbb{E}X)$  where  $\mathbb{E}X = g\lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  is a composition of an affine and a convex map, and hence is convex.
- (c) For the convex function  $k_g$ , we have  $k_g(0) - k_g(\lambda) \geq -\lambda k_g'(\lambda)$ . Rearranging, we get  $(\lambda k_g'(\lambda) - k_g(\lambda))/\lambda^2 \geq k_g(0)/\lambda^2 = 0$ . Recognizing that the left hand side of the previous equation is the first derivative of  $k_g(\lambda)/\lambda$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , we get the result. □

**Definition 1.4.** KL divergence between two binary distributions is denoted by  $d(p\|q) \triangleq D((1-p, p)\|(1-q, q)) = (1-p) \log_2 \frac{1-p}{1-q} + p \log_2 \frac{p}{q}$  for all  $p, q \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 1.5 (Mixture distribution).** For  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , we define a mixture distribution  $P^\lambda \triangleq \lambda P + \bar{\lambda} Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** For mixing parameter  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , the following are true for the first derivative of mixture distribution  $P^\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  with respect to  $\lambda$  at 0.

- (a) If  $D(P\|Q) < \infty$ , then the one-sided derivative of  $D(P^\lambda\|Q)$  at  $\lambda = 0$  vanishes, i.e.  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(P^\lambda\|Q) = 0$ .
- (b) If we exchange the arguments, the criterion is even simpler, i.e.  $P \ll Q$  iff  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(Q\|P^\lambda) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} D(P^\lambda\|Q) = 0$ , we note that  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(P^\lambda\|Q) = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} D(P^\lambda\|Q)$ .

- (a) Since  $D(P\|Q) < \infty$ , we have  $P \ll Q$  and we define relative density  $g \triangleq \frac{dP}{dQ}$ . From the definition of KL divergence and definition of  $k_g$  in Lemma 1.3, we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} D(P^\lambda\|Q) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}) \ln(\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}) \right] = \mathbb{E}_Q \frac{k_g(\lambda)}{\lambda}.$$

Recall that  $k_g(\lambda)/\lambda \leq g \ln g$  is a monotone increasing and bounded map, where  $\mathbb{E}_Q g \ln g = D(P\|Q) < \infty$ . Thus, we can apply the monotone convergence theorem to interchange limits and expectation, to obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} D(P^\lambda\|Q) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[ \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{k_g(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right] = \mathbb{E}_Q k'_g(0) = \mathbb{E}_Q (g - 1) = 0.$$

- (b) If  $P \not\ll Q$ , then there exists  $E \in \sigma(X)$  such that  $Q(E) = 0$  and  $p \triangleq P(E) > 0$ . Consider the binary output space  $\mathcal{Y} \triangleq \{0, 1\}$ , and the processing  $X \mapsto Y \triangleq \mathbb{1}_E(X)$  where  $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ . This processing leads to Markov kernel  $P_{Y|X} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$  such that  $P_{Y|X}(1|x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in E\}}$ . For input distribution  $Q$  and mixture input distribution  $P^\lambda$ , the corresponding output distributions are

$$Q_Y \triangleq (1 - Q(E), Q(E)) = (1, 0), \quad P_Y^\lambda \triangleq (1 - \lambda P(E) - \bar{\lambda} Q(E), \lambda P(E) + \bar{\lambda} Q(E)) = (1 - \lambda p, \lambda p).$$

Applying data processing inequality for divergence to this processing kernel  $P_{Y|X}$ , we get

$$D(Q\|P^\lambda) \geq D(Q_Y\|P_Y^\lambda) = d(0\|\lambda p) = -\ln(1 - \lambda p).$$

It follows that  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(Q\|P^\lambda) = p > 0$ .

If  $P \ll Q$ , then we define relative density  $g \triangleq \frac{dP}{dQ}$  and observe that  $\ln \bar{\lambda} \leq \ln(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) \leq \lambda(g - 1)$  from monotonicity of  $\ln$  and the fact that  $\ln(1 + x) \leq x$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Dividing by  $\lambda$  and assuming  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  we get for some absolute constants  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1 + \sup_{\lambda < 0.5} \left| \frac{\ln \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right|$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) \right| \leq |g - 1| \vee \left| \frac{\ln \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right| \leq g + 1 + \left| \frac{\ln \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right| \leq c_1 g + c_2.$$

We recall that  $\mathbb{E}_Q g = 1 < \infty$ . It follows that  $\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) \right|$  is  $Q$  integrable. Applying dominated convergence theorem to exchange limits and expectation, we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} D(Q\|P^\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} - \int_{\mathcal{X}} dQ \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}) = - \int_{\mathcal{X}} dQ \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(\lambda g + \bar{\lambda}) = \int dQ (1 - g) = 0.$$

□

*Remark 1.* The main message of Proposition 1.6 is that the function  $\lambda \mapsto D(P^\lambda\|Q)$  is  $o(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . In fact, in most cases it is quadratic in  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise 1.7.** Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1], i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $P_i, Q_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ , to define mixture distribution  $Q_i^\lambda \triangleq \lambda Q_i + \bar{\lambda} P_i$ . Show that under suitable technical conditions, the following equations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(Q_0^\lambda\|P_1) &= \mathbb{E}_{Q_0} \ln \frac{dP_0}{dP_1} - D(P_0\|P_1), \\ \frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big|_{\lambda=0} D(Q_1^\lambda\|Q_0^\lambda) &= \mathbb{E}_{Q_1} \ln \frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - D(P_1\|P_0) + \mathbb{E}_{P_1} \left[ 1 - \frac{dQ_0}{dP_0} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.8.** We observe that  $S_x \triangleq \int_0^1 \frac{s}{x(1-s)+s} ds = \frac{x \ln x - (x-1)}{(x-1)^2}$ .

*Proof.* We observe that

$$S_x \triangleq \int_0^1 \frac{sds}{x(1-s)+s} = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^1 \frac{(x(s-1)-s+s)ds}{x(1-s)+s} + \frac{1}{1-x} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} = -\frac{1}{x} + \frac{S_x}{x} + \frac{\ln x}{x-1}.$$

Rearranging the terms, we get the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.9 (KL is locally  $\chi^2$  like).** *For any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and distribution  $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$  we define mixture distribution  $P^\lambda \triangleq \lambda P + \bar{\lambda} Q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ . Then,*

$$\liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} D(P^\lambda \| Q) = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P \| Q).$$

*Proof.* We recall that  $f$  divergence remains unchanged for a shift of type  $a(x-1)$  for any  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . Thus, we observe that for  $f(x) \triangleq x \ln x - (x-1)$ , we have

$$D_f(P \| Q) = \mathbb{E}_Q f\left(\frac{dP}{dQ}\right) = D(P \| Q).$$

(a) Applying Fatou's lemma, observing that  $f(1) = 0$ , using the L'Hospital rule to take limits, the fact that  $f'(x) = \ln x$ , and definition of  $\chi^2$  divergence, we obtain

$$\liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} D(P^\lambda \| Q) = \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}_Q f(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) \geq \mathbb{E}_Q \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} f(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) = \frac{f''(1)}{2} \mathbb{E}_Q (g-1)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P \| Q).$$

It follows that if  $\chi^2(P \| Q) = \infty$  then so is  $\frac{1}{\lambda^2} D(P^\lambda \| Q)$ . Thus, we can assume that  $\chi^2(P \| Q) < \infty$  without any loss of generality.

(b) We assume  $\chi^2(P \| Q) < \infty$  and from the definition of  $S_x$  in Lemma 1.8, we observe that  $S_x = \frac{f(x)}{(x-1)^2}$  and the integrand of  $S_x$  is positive and decreasing for  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . In particular, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{f(x)}{(x-1)^2} = \int_0^1 \frac{s}{x(1-s)+s} ds \leq \int_0^1 ds = 1.$$

Taking  $x = \bar{\lambda} + \lambda g$  for  $g \triangleq \frac{dP}{dQ}$  in the above inequality, we obtain  $0 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} f(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) \leq (g-1)^2$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}_Q (g-1)^2 = \chi^2(P \| Q) < \infty$ , applying dominated convergence theorem to exchange limit and expectation, we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}_Q f(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) = \mathbb{E}_Q \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} f(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda g) = \frac{f''(1)}{2} \mathbb{E}_Q (g-1)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(P \| Q).$$

$\square$