

# Lecture-28: Random Walks

## 1 Introduction

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a step-size sequence of *i.i.d.* random variables, where  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ . We define  $S_0 \triangleq 0$  and the location of a particle after  $n$  steps as  $S_n \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then the sequence  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a *random walk process*.

**Example 1.2 (Simple random walk).** If the step-size alphabet  $\mathcal{X} = \{-1, 1\}$ , then the random walk  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is **simple**.

*Remark 1.* Random walks are generalizations of renewal processes. If  $X$  was a sequence of non-negative random variables indicating inter-renewal times, then  $S_n$  is the instant of the  $n$ th renewal event.

## 2 Duality in random walks

**Lemma 2.1 (Duality principle).** For any finite  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the joint distributions of finite sequence  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  and the reversed sequence  $(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$  are identical, for any *i.i.d.* step-size sequence  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of *i.i.d.* random variables, it is exchangeable. The reversed sequence is  $(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)})$  where  $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  is permutation with  $\sigma(i) = n - i + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** For any random walk  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the distributions of  $S_k$  and  $S_n - S_{n-k}$  are identical for any  $k \in [n]$ .

*Proof.* Using duality principle, we can write the following equality for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and step  $k \in [n]$

$$P\{S_k \leq x\} = P\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \leq x\right\} = P\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k X_{n-i+1} \leq x\right\} = P\left\{\sum_{i=n-k+1}^n X_i \leq x\right\} = P\{S_n - S_{n-k} \leq x\}.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** For any random walk  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , for any finite  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the joint distributions of finite sequence  $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (S_n - S_{n-1}, S_n - S_{n-2}, \dots, S_n)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Consider a random walk  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with an *i.i.d.* step-size sequence  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  having positive mean. The first hitting time of the random walk  $S$  to set of positive real numbers,  $\tau \triangleq \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n > 0\}$ , has finite mean. That is,  $\mathbb{E}\tau < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Consider a discrete process  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $T_0 \triangleq 0$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$T_{k+1} \triangleq \inf\{n > T_k : S_n \leq S_{T_k}\} = T_k + \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_{T_k+n} \leq S_{T_k}\}.$$

We observe that  $T_k$  is a stopping time adapted to the natural filtration of step-size sequence  $X$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, we can write the difference  $T_{k+1} - T_k = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{i=1}^n X_{T_k+i} \leq 0\}$ . From the strong Markov property for *i.i.d.* sequences, the distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is identical to that of  $(X_{T_k+1}, \dots, X_{T_k+n})$ , for any finite  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, it follows that  $S_{T_k+n} - S_{T_k}$  has identical distribution to  $S_n$ , and is independent of step-size process  $X$  stopped at time  $T_k$ . Hence, the sequence  $(T_k - T_{k-1} : k \in \mathbb{N})$  is *i.i.d.*, with complementary distribution

$$\bar{F}(m) = P\{T_{k+1} - T_k > m\} = P\{T_1 > m\} = P\{S_1 > 0, S_2 > 0, \dots, S_m > 0\}.$$

Therefore,  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a renewal process such that  $\{T_1 = n\}$  implies that  $\{S_n \leq \min\{0, S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}\}\}$ . That is, we can write

$$\{T_1 = n\} = \{S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{n-1} > 0\} \cap \{S_n \leq \min\{0, S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}\}\} = \{S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{n-1} > 0, S_n \leq 0\}.$$

Hence,  $T_k$  denotes the  $k$ th renewal instant corresponding to the random walk  $S_n$  hitting  $k$ th low. We can define the inverse counting process  $N : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$  for this renewal process as  $N_n \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{T_j \leq n\}}$ , or  $\{N_n \geq k\} = \{T_k \leq n\}$ . From definition of stopping time  $\tau$  and duality principle, we can write

$$P\{\tau > n\} = P(\cap_{k=1}^n \{S_k \leq 0\}) = P(\cap_{k=1}^n \{S_n \leq S_{n-k}\}) = P\{S_n \leq \min\{0, S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}\}\} = P(\cup_{k=1}^n \{T_k = n\}).$$

The event of renewal process hitting a new low at  $n$  is same as some renewal occurring at time  $n$ . That is,

$$N_\infty = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_k < \infty\}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \geq k} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_k = n\}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{T_k = n\}}.$$

Therefore, we can write the mean of stopping time  $\tau$  as

$$\mathbb{E}\tau = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P\{\tau > n\} = 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^n P\{T_k = n\} = 1 + \mathbb{E}N_\infty.$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}X_1 > 0$ , it follows from strong law of large numbers that  $S_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the expected number of renewals that occur is finite. **Elaborate.** Thus  $\mathbb{E}N_\infty < \infty$  and hence  $\mathbb{E}\tau < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** Consider a random walk  $S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $S_0 \triangleq 0$ . The number of distinct values of  $(S_0, \dots, S_n)$  is called **range**, denoted by  $R_n$ . We define the first hitting time of random walk  $S$  to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as the stopping time

$$T_x \triangleq \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S_n = x\}.$$

**Proposition 2.6.** For a simple random walk,  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}R_n}{n} = P\{T_0 = \infty\}$ .

*Proof.* We can define indicator function for  $S_k$  being a distinct number from  $S_0, \dots, S_{k-1}$ , as

$$I_k \triangleq \mathbb{1}_{\{S_k \neq S_{k-1}, \dots, S_k \neq S_0\}}.$$

Then, we can write range  $R_n$  in terms of indicator  $I_k$  as  $R_n = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n I_k$ . From the duality principle

$$P(\cap_{i=1}^k \{S_k \neq S_{k-i}\}) = P(\cap_{i=1}^k \{S_i \neq 0\}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}R_n = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n P\{S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_k \neq 0\} = \sum_{k=0}^n P\{T_0 > k\}.$$

Result follows by dividing both sides by  $n$  and taking limits.  $\square$

## 2.1 Simple random walk

**Theorem 2.7 (range).** For a simple random walk with  $P\{X_1 = 1\} = p$ , the following holds

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}R_n}{n} = \begin{cases} 2p - 1, & p > \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - p) - 1, & p \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* When  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , this random walk is recurrent and thus from the Proposition 2.5, we have

$$P\{T_0 = \infty\} = 0 = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{E}R_n}{n}.$$

For  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $\alpha \triangleq P(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{X_1 = 1\})$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}X > 0$ , we know that  $S_n \rightarrow \infty$  and hence

$$P(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{X_1 = -1\}) = 1.$$

We can write unconditioned probability of return of random walk to 0 as

$$P\{T_0 < \infty\} = \alpha p + (1 - p).$$

Since  $T_0 = 2$  when  $S_2 = 0$ , we have  $P(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{S_2 = 0\}) = 1$ . Conditioning on  $X_2$ , from strong law of large numbers, we get

$$\alpha = P(\{T_0 < \infty, X_2 = 1\} | \{S_1 = 1\}) + P(\{T_0 < \infty, X_2 = -1\} | \{S_1 = 1\}) = pP(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{S_2 = 2\}) + (1 - p).$$

From Markov property and homogeneity of random walk process, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{S_2 = 2\}) &= \frac{P(T_0 < \infty, S_2 = 2)}{P(S_2 = 2)} = \frac{P(T_0 < \infty, T_1 < \infty, S_2 = 2)}{P(S_2 = 2)} \\ &= P(\{T_0 < \infty\} | \{T_1 < \infty\})P(\{T_1 < \infty\} | \{S_2 = 2\}) = \alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Elaborate this.** We conclude  $\alpha = \alpha^2 p + 1 - p$ , and since  $\alpha < 1$  due to transience, we get  $\alpha = \frac{1-p}{p}$ , and hence the result follows. We can show similarly for the case when  $p < 1/2$ .  $\square$