

## Lecture 14 : Broadcast channels – Converse

Instructor: Rajesh Sundaresan

Scribe: Premkumar K.

**Theorem 1.** (Marton 1979)  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{BC}$ .**A converses****Theorem 2.** 1. (Körner–Marton) Let

$$\mathcal{R}_{out,1} = \left\{ (R_1, R_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : \begin{array}{l} R_1 \leq I(X; Y_1) \\ R_2 \leq I(U; Y_2) \\ R_1 + R_2 \leq I(U; Y_2) + I(X; Y_1|U) \\ \text{for some } U \phi \phi X Y_{[2]} \in \mathcal{P}, |\mathbb{U}| \leq |\mathbb{X}| + 2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}_{BC} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{out,1}$ .2. (ElGamal) Let  $\mathcal{R}_{out,2}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{R}_{out,1}$  with  $R_1 \leq I(X; Y_1)$  replaced by  $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X; Y_1)$ . If the channel has a more capable component then  $\mathcal{C}_{BC} \doteq \mathcal{R}_{out,2}$ .**Remark 1.** Both  $\mathcal{R}_{out,1}, \mathcal{R}_{out,2}$  are convex.*Proof.* By Fano's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} nR_k &= H(W_k) = H(W_k|Y_k^n) + I(W_k; Y_k^n) \\ &\leq I(W_k; Y_k^n) + nR_k P_e^{(n)}(k) + 1 \\ &\leq I(W_k; Y_k^n) + n\epsilon_n(k) \end{aligned}$$

From the Markov chain and memoryless property,  $U_i := W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n} Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}$ , we have

a)

$$I(W_1; Y_1^n) \leq I(X^n; Y_1^n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_{1i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(U_i; Y_{2i})$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} I(W_2; Y_2^n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_2; Y_{2i}|Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{2i}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

c)

$$\begin{aligned} I(W_1; Y_1^n) + I(W_2; Y_2^n) &\leq I(W_1; W_2 Y_1^n) + I(W_2; Y_2^n) \\ &= I(W_1; Y_1^n|W_2) + I(W_2; Y_2^n) \quad W_2 \text{ is indep. of } W_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_1; Y_{1i}|W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) + I(W_2; Y_{2i}|Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_1 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{1i}|W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) + I(W_2; Y_{2i}|Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) \end{aligned}$$

Note:

- (a)  $I(W_1 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) = I(Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) + I(W_1; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n})$
- (b)  $I(W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{2i}) = I(Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{2i}) + I(W_2; Y_{2i} | Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) + I(Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}; Y_{2i} | W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}).$
- (c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n I(Y_{2j}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{j+1 \rightarrow n}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} I(Y_{2j}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{j+1 \rightarrow n}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n I(Y_{2j}; Y_1^{1 \rightarrow j-1} | W_2 Y_2^{j+1 \rightarrow n}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_{2i}; Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} | W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n})
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
I(W_1; Y_1^n) + I(W_2; Y_2^n) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}) + I(W_1; Y_{1i} | W_2 Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) \\
&\quad + I(W_2; Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{2i}) - I(Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1}; Y_{2i} | W_2 Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) - I(Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}; Y_{2i}) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_1; Y_{1i} | U_i) + I(U_i; Y_{2i}).
\end{aligned}$$

$W_1 U_i \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow Y_{[2]i}$  is a Markov chain.

$$(W_{[2]} Y_1^{1 \rightarrow i-1} Y_2^{i+1 \rightarrow n}) \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow Y_{[2]i}$$

$$\implies I(W_1; Y_{1i} | U_i) = I(WU_i; Y_{1i}) - I(U_i; Y_{1i}) \leq I(X_i; Y_{1i}) \leq I(X_i U_i; Y_{1i}) - I(U_i; Y_{1i}) = I(X_i; Y_{1i}; U_i)$$

d) By Symmetry,

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_2; Y_{2i} | U'_i) + I(U'_i; Y_{1i})$$

and  $(W_2 U'_i) \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow (Y_{1i} Y_{2i})$  is a Markov chain and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_{2i} | U'_i) + I(U'_i; Y_{1i}) \\
\text{More capable } \implies I(X_i; Y_{2i} | U'_i) &\leq I(X_i; Y_{1i} | U'_i) \\
\implies R_1 + R_2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_{1i}), \text{ by the M.C. property.} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y_{1i}), \text{ by the M.C. property.}
\end{aligned}$$

e) Now let  $U = (I, U_I)$ ,  $I$  uniform in  $[n]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
X|U &:= X_I. \\
Y_{[2]}|U &:= Y_{[2]I}. \\
p_{UXY_{[2]}} &= p_U \cdot p_{X|U} \cdot \underbrace{p_{Y_{[2]}|XU}}_{p_{Y_{[2]I}|X_I} = p_{Y_{[2]}|X}(y_{[2]I}|x_I)}
\end{aligned}$$

So  $U \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y_{[2]}$  is a Markov chain.

From (a), (b), (c) and (d), (1) follows. Using (d), (2) follows. The cardinality bounds follow from the constraints

1.  $p_X(x) = \text{const}, x \in \mathbb{X}$  ( $|\mathbb{X}| - 1$  constraints)
2.  $I(X; Y_1|U) = \text{const.}$
3.  $I(U; Y_2) = \text{const.} = H(Y_2) - H(Y_2|U)$

Since there are  $|\mathbb{X}| + 1$  constraints,  $|\mathbb{U}| \leq |\mathbb{X}| + 2$  by Caratheodory's theorem.

□