Quantum Computation and Quantum Algorithms

Vinod Sharma and Arun Padakandla

Indian Institute of Science and University of Tennessee at Knoxville,

July 20, 2020

Part I : Foundations, Protocols and Algorithms

- 1. Axioms of Quantum Mechanics
- 2. Quantum Gates
- 3. Quantum Protocols
- 4. Quantum Algorithms

 Focus on Ideas. Contrast with Conventional (Classical) bits

- 1. Simplicity and Ideas at the cost of Generality
 - + Ex. $\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3$ or Finite Dim. Inner product spaces instead of Hilbert spaces.
- 2. Comparison with classical bits, notions A Running Thread.
- 3. Pictorial. Dont get bogged down by the math.
 - Fine to not grasp text on a slide.

The Power of Quantum Algorithms, Quantum Cryptography

crucially relies on

Unique Behaviour of Quantum Systems - Superposition, Entanglement, etc.

To understand, design, leverage this power,

An Understanding of the Behaviour of Quantum Systems is Necessary.

Behaviour of Quantum Systems described through

Axioms of Quantum Mechanics \leftarrow Our First Topic

Axioms of Quantum Mechanics

5/1

A bit lives in $\{0,1\}$ (it's state space). It is 0 or 1.

Where does a Qubit live?

Axiom 1

<ロ><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0<<(br/>
6/1



State Space of a quantum system is an Inner Product Space (IPS).



 $|\phi\rangle$: unit vector in \mathcal{H} .

State Space of a quantum system is an Inner Product Space (IPS).

The state of a quantum system is described through a Unit vector in an IPS \mathcal{H} .



 $|\phi\rangle$: unit vector in \mathcal{H} .

Ex. :
$$|\phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2.$$

State Space of a quantum system is an Inner Product Space (IPS).

The state of a quantum system is described through a Unit vector in an IPS \mathcal{H} .



 $|\phi\rangle$: unit vector in \mathcal{H} .

Ex. :
$$|\phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Polarization of photon, spin of electron.

State Space of a quantum system is an Inner Product Space (IPS).

The state of a quantum system is described through a Unit vector in an IPS \mathcal{H} .

Why \mathcal{H} ? What is the General Theory?

General Quantum Theory is based on a Hilbert space. Hence \mathcal{H} .

Mathematician : Hilbert space is a complete ∞ -dimensional inner product space.

This tutorial : Euclidean space with std. inner product suffices \leftarrow our Hilbert space.

 \mathbb{R}^d suffices. But we denote it as \mathbb{C}^d . Pretend $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}$.



A 2- dimensional quantum state is a QUBIT.



A 2- dimensional quantum state is a QUBIT.

9/1



A 2- dimensional quantum state is a QUBIT.



A 2- dimensional quantum state is a QUBIT.

Incorrect ilustration : Scalars are Complex numbers.

Correct illustration via 3-dimensional Bloch sphere.

Axiom 1 : Superposition and Inner Products.

Suppose System is in state $|\phi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$. $|\phi\rangle$ is a Superposition state.

INCORRECT: System is in state $|0\rangle$ with prob. $|\alpha|^2$ and in state $|1\rangle$ with prob. $|\beta|^2$.

Axiom 1 : Superposition and Inner Products.

Suppose System is in state $|\phi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$. $|\phi\rangle$ is a Superposition state.

INCORRECT: System is in state $|0\rangle$ with prob. $|\alpha|^2$ and in state $|1\rangle$ with prob. $|\beta|^2$.

The inner product (IP) between $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $|y\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is denoted $\langle y|x\rangle$.

Example :
$$|x\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
, $|y\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$,

 $\langle y|x\rangle = {y_1}^* x_1 + {y_2}^* x_2$. Note : First argument is \mathbb{C} -conjugated. Physics Notation.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆三 ▶ ● ● ● ●

Axiom 1 : Superposition and Inner Products.

Suppose System is in state $|\phi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$. $|\phi\rangle$ is a Superposition state.

INCORRECT: System is in state $|0\rangle$ with prob. $|\alpha|^2$ and in state $|1\rangle$ with prob. $|\beta|^2$.

The inner product (IP) between $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $|y\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is denoted $\langle y|x\rangle$.

Example :
$$|x\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
, $|y\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

 $\langle y|x\rangle = y_1x_1 + y_2x_2.$

Qubits are our Information Carriers. Analogous to Bits.

Axiom 1 : Contrasting Quantum and Classical Worlds

Quantum World

- Qubit : Unit vector in a Inner product space.
- $\mathcal{H} \equiv$ Inner product space.
- $|\phi\rangle$: where we encode our information.
- $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a qubit.

Classical World

- Bit : Element in a Finite Set
- ${\mathcal X}$ Our Finite set
- $x \equiv$ the information we wish to encode.
- $x \text{ in } \mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\} \text{ is a bit.}$

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 2 2/1

Points to Keep in Mind

Unit norm.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲□▶

13/1

Acronyms, Abbreviations and Short Forms

IP FDIPS dim.





15/1

Linear Transformation (LT) : $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$

 $T\left|\phi\right\rangle$





Just a rotation

 $U:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э



0 1 0



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

15/1

0 1 0



・ロン ・個 と ・ 言 と ・ 言 と

15/1



Important

Any projector Π satisfies $\Pi^2 = \Pi^{\dagger} = \Pi$.



Projections $\Pi_1, \ \Pi_2 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}.$

・ロット 4 聞 > 4 画 > 4 画 > (画 > 4 の 4 の >

16/1



イロト イヨト イヨト



イロト イヨト イヨト



The evolution of a closed (isolated) quantum system evolves through a Unitary Transformation.

 $|x\rangle_{t_1} \equiv$ State of System at time t_1 , $|x\rangle_{t_2} \equiv$ State of System at time t_2 $|x\rangle_{t_2}$ is related to $|x\rangle_{t_1}$ through a Unitary transformation U.

 $|x\rangle_{t_2} = U|x\rangle_{t_1}$

Axiom 3 :

Our Interaction with a Quantum System and the Rules that Govern this Interaction

Axiom 3 is the Measurement Axiom

<ロ><回><回><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>
Axiom 3 - The Measurement Axiom - A Very Important Axiom

Can eye-ball/read-out a bit. Cannot eye-ball/stare at qubit.

Your interaction is via a Measurement.

Axiom 3 describes this interaction and the rules governing this interaction.

A measurement is described through

a collection $\{\Pi_{\alpha_1}, \Pi_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, \Pi_{\alpha_K}\}$ of projectors acting on inner product Space \mathcal{H}

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{that satify the Completeness Relation} \\ \sum\limits_{k=1}^{K} \Pi_{\alpha_k} = \Pi_{\alpha_1} + \dots + \Pi_{\alpha_K} = I \quad \bigl(I \equiv \text{ the Identity on } \mathcal{H}\bigr). \end{array}$

A measurement is described through

a collection $\{\Pi_{\alpha_1}, \Pi_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, \Pi_{\alpha_K}\}$ of projectors acting on inner product Space \mathcal{H}

What are these operators and the indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

A measurement is described through

a collection $\{\Pi_{\alpha_1}, \Pi_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, \Pi_{\alpha_K}\}$ of projectors acting on inner product Space \mathcal{H}

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{that satify the Completeness Relation} \\ \sum\limits_{k=1}^{K} \Pi_{\alpha_k} = \Pi_{\alpha_1} + \dots + \Pi_{\alpha_K} = I \quad \big(I \equiv \text{ the Identity on } \mathcal{H}\big). \end{array}$

What are these operators and the indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$?



Indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K$: possible outcomes.

Each Projector Π_{α_k} corresponds to its outcome α_k .

Completeness Relation "You must get atleast one of the possible outcomes."

Simplify, Simplify, Simplify, ...

Just call $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_K$ as $1, 2, \cdots, K$

Outcomes are $1, 2, \dots, K$.

Reduce notation.

<ロ><団><団><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

 $P(\mathsf{Outcome}\ =k)\ =\ (\mathsf{Length}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{proj}.\ \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 = \mathsf{Inn.}\ \mathsf{prod}.\ \mathsf{between}\ \Pi_k |\phi
angle\ \mathsf{and}\ \Pi_k |\phi
angle$

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

$$\begin{split} P(\mathsf{Outcome} = k) &= (\mathsf{Length of proj. } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 = \mathsf{Inn. prod. between } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \text{ and } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \Pi_k^{\dagger} \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \phi \rangle \end{split}$$

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

$$\begin{split} P(\mathsf{Outcome} = k) &= (\mathsf{Length of proj. } \Pi_k | \phi \rangle)^2 = \mathsf{Inn. prod. between } \Pi_k | \phi \rangle \text{ and } \Pi_k | \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \Pi_k^{\dagger} \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \phi \rangle \\ &= (\mathsf{Length of projection } \Pi_k | \phi \rangle)^2 \end{split}$$

Note :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} P(\mathsf{Outcome} = k) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \langle \phi | \Pi_k | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Pi_k | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | I | \phi \rangle = 1 \quad \underset{\text{+ unit-norm}}{\mathsf{Completeness}}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ のへで

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

$$P(\text{Outcome } = k) = (\text{Length of proj. } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 = \text{Inn. prod. between } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \text{ and } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle$$
$$= \langle \phi | \Pi_k^{\dagger} | \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k | \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \phi \rangle$$
$$= (\text{Length of projection } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2$$

2. The quantum system collapses to one of the following states

$$\frac{\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\phi|\Pi_k|\phi\rangle}} \qquad \qquad : k = 1, 2\cdots, K$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

$$P(\text{Outcome } = k) = (\text{Length of proj. } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 = \text{Inn. prod. between } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \text{ and } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle$$
$$= \langle \phi | \Pi_k^{\dagger} | \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k | \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \phi \rangle$$
$$= (\text{Length of projection } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2$$

2. The quantum system collapses to one of the following states

$$\frac{\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi | \Pi_k | \phi \rangle}} = \frac{\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Length of } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle}} : k = 1, 2..., K$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

When a measurement $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_K\}$ is performed on a state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$

1. You get outcome k with probability

$$\begin{split} P(\mathsf{Outcome} = k) &= (\mathsf{Length of proj. } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 = \mathsf{Inn. prod. between } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \text{ and } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \Pi_k^{\dagger} \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \mid \Pi_k \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \Pi_k \phi \rangle \\ &= (\mathsf{Length of projection } \Pi_k |\phi\rangle)^2 \end{split}$$

2. The quantum system collapses to one of the following states

$$\frac{\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\phi|\Pi_k|\phi\rangle}} = \frac{\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Length of }\Pi_k |\phi\rangle}} : k = 1, 2 \cdots, K$$

3. Moreover, if you observe outcome j, then the state collapses to

$$\frac{\Pi_j |\phi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \phi | \Pi_j | \phi \rangle}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Classical world

Wish to measure pencil's length

Nataraj Pencil 2B

・ロト ・酉 ・ ・ 重 ・ ・ 目 ・ うへぐ

Classical world

Wish to measure pencil's length

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙



Classical world

1. Length is accurately read- 6cm. No uncertainty.

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで



Classical world

1. Length is accurately read- 6cm. No uncertainty.

2. Pencil's length does NOT change post-measurement

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Nataraj Pencil 2B

Quantum World

Wish to measure pencil's (quantum state) length

Nataraj Pencil 2B

Quantum World

Wish to measure pencil's (quantum state) length



Quantum World





Quantum World



1. Outcome is RANDOM.

2. Pencil's length CHANGES post-measurement

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Quantum World



1. Outcome is RANDOM.

2. Pencil's length CHANGES post-measurement

Welcome to the QUANTUM WORLD.

Measurement Axiom : An Example

Example

Quantum system in state
$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Perform measurement with two outcome $\{-0.5, +0.5\}$.

Two meas. operators
$$\Pi_{-0.5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\Pi_{+0.5} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

 $\Pi_{-0.5} + \Pi_{+0.5} = I$. Completeness Relation satisfied.

$$P(\text{Outcome } = -0.5) = (\text{Length of } \Pi_{-0.5} |\phi\rangle)^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2$$
$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$P(\text{Outcome } = +0.5) = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

If Outcome = -0.5, state collapses to $|1\rangle$. If Outcome = +0.5, state collapses to $|0\rangle$.

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > 目 の Q @

- Non-orthogonal states cannot be distinguished with certainty.
- Computation/Communication results need to be projected to orthogonal states.

Axiom 4 : Description of a Joint/Composite Quantum System

Quantum World

```
Suppose Quantum System 1 is in state |\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1
```

```
Quantum System 2 is in state |\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2
```

Quantum System n is in state $|\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$

State space of composite Quant Sys. is the tensor product

 $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ of constituent state spaces.

Composite System is described by State

 $|\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n.$

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

Axiom 4 : Description of a Joint/Composite Quantum System

Quantum World

Suppose Quantum System 1 is in state $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1$

Quantum System 2 is in state $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2$

Quantum System *n* is in state $|\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n$

State space of composite Quant Sys. is the tensor product

 $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ of constituent state spaces.

Composite System is described by State

 $|\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n.$

Classical World System 1 in state $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$ System 2 in state $x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$ System n in state $x_n \in \mathcal{X}_n$ Cartesian product $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n$ *n*-tuple $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_n.$

Quantum World

Suppose V is a m-dimensional IPS,

W is a *n*-dimensional IPS.

 $V \otimes W$ is *mn*-dimensional IPS.

Quantum World	Classical World
Suppose V is a m -dimensional IPS,	$x \in \mathcal{X}$, $ \mathcal{X} = m$
W is a n -dimensional IPS.	$y \in \mathcal{Y}, \ \mathcal{Y} = n$
$V \otimes W$ is mn -dimensional IPS.	$(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = mn$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Quantum World	Classical World
Suppose V is a m -dimensional IPS,	$x \in \mathcal{X}$, $ \mathcal{X} $ = m
W is a n -dimensional IPS.	$y \in \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y} = n$
$V \otimes W$ is mn -dimensional IPS.	$(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} imes \mathcal{Y}$, $ \mathcal{X} imes \mathcal{Y} = mn$

Alert : NOT a direct sum. direct sum if m + n-dim.



Quantum W	orld	Classical World	
Suppose V is a m -dim	nensional IPS,	$x \in \mathcal{X}$, $ \mathcal{X} = m$	
W is a n -dimensional	IPS.	$y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $ \mathcal{Y} = n$	
$V \otimes W$ is mn -dimens	ional IPS.	$(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = mn$	
Alert : NOT a direct sun	n. direct sum if $m + n$ -di	im.	
Elements of $V\otimes W$	All possible linear coordinate of elements $ v $	problem of tensor product $ v\rangle$ $\langle v \rangle \in V$ and $ w \rangle \in W$.	$\otimes w\rangle$

 $|v\rangle\otimes|w\rangle$ Just an (ordered) pair of vectors from respective spaces

Quantum W	orld	Classical World	
Suppose V is a m -dim	nensional IPS,	$x \in \mathcal{X}$, $ \mathcal{X} $ = m	
W is a n -dimensional	IPS.	$y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $ \mathcal{Y} = n$	
$V \otimes W$ is mn -dimens	ional IPS.	$(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \ \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = mn$	
Alert : NOT a direct sun	n. direct sum if $m + n$ -c	dim.	
Elements of $V \otimes W$	All possible ?linear of elements	combinations? of ?tensor product? $ v\rangle \otimes v\rangle \in V$ and $ w\rangle \in W$.	$ w\rangle$
$ v angle\otimes w angle$	Just an (ordered) pa	air of vectors from respective spaces	

Rules Governing Linear Combinations in Tensor Product Spaces

Rules governing Linear combination

$$|v_{1}\rangle \otimes |w\rangle + |v_{2}\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = (|v_{1}\rangle + |v_{2}\rangle) \otimes |w\rangle$$
State Distrbtv Law (SDL) 1
$$|v\rangle \otimes |w_{1}\rangle + |v\rangle \otimes |w_{2}\rangle = |v\rangle \otimes (|w_{1}\rangle + |w_{2}\rangle)$$
State Distrbtv Law (SDL) 2
$$\alpha \cdot (|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = (\alpha \cdot |u\rangle) \otimes |w\rangle = |v\rangle \otimes (\alpha \cdot |w\rangle)$$
State Distrbtv Law (SDL) 3

The above rules tell you how and when to combine terms.

In general, if the above rules do not apply, the sum

$$|v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle + |v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle = |v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle + |v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle$$

is a distinct element of $V \otimes W$.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ Ξ → ◆ Ξ → ○ へ ○ 29/1

Rules Governing Operations on Tensor Products and Inner Products

What are the linear transformations/operators acting on $V \otimes W$?

Rules Governing Operations on Tensor Products and Inner Products

What are the linear transformations/operators acting on $V \otimes W$?

Suppose $A: V \to V$ and $B: W \to W$ are LTs.

 $(A \otimes B)(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = A |v\rangle \otimes B |w\rangle$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 1

 $(A \otimes B)(\sum_{i} |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle) = \sum_{i} A |v_i\rangle \otimes B |w_i\rangle$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 2

 $A \otimes (B_1 + B_2) = A \otimes B_1 + A \otimes B_2$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 3

Rules Governing Operations on Tensor Products and Inner Products

What are the linear transformations/operators acting on $V \otimes W$?

Suppose $A: V \to V$ and $B: W \to W$ are LTs.

 $(A \otimes B)(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = A |v\rangle \otimes B |w\rangle$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 1

 $(A \otimes B)(\sum_{i} |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle) = \sum_{i} A |v_i\rangle \otimes B |w_i\rangle$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 2

 $A \otimes (B_1 + B_2) = A \otimes B_1 + A \otimes B_2$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 3

What about the inner product on $V \otimes W$

Ans : Product of inner products.

IP between $|v_1\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle$ and $|v_2\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle = \langle v_1|v_2\rangle \langle w_1|w_2\rangle$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > 30/1

Tensor Product : A Concrete Example

$$V = \mathbb{C}^2, W = \mathbb{C}^2, \quad |v\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |w\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\times3\\1\times4\\2\times3\\2\times4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple Consequences

1. $\dim(V \otimes W) = \dim(V) \times \dim(W)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Tensor Product : A Concrete Example

$$V = \mathbb{C}^2, W = \mathbb{C}^2, \quad |v\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |w\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\times3\\1\times4\\2\times3\\2\times4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple Consequences

- 1. $\dim(V \otimes W) = \dim(V) \times \dim(W)$.
- 2. If $\{|\alpha_1\rangle, ..., |\alpha_m\rangle\}$ is orthonormal basis for V, $\{|\beta_1\rangle, ..., |\beta_n\rangle\}$ is orthonormal basis for W,

then $\{|\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_j\rangle : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$ is orthonormal basis for $V \otimes W$.

・ロ・・ (理・・ヨ・・ヨ・・ ヨ・ うへぐ)
Our Basis in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

Example $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_B = \mathbb{C}^2$

 $|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$, $|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$, $|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$, $|1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

Notational Simplification : $|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

 $\{ |00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle \}$ orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

Our Basis in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

Example $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_B = \mathbb{C}^2$

 $|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle, |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

Notational Simplification : $|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

 $\{ |00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle \}$ orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

$$\mathsf{If} |v_1\rangle, |v_2\rangle, |v_3\rangle, |v_4\rangle \text{ are orthonormal } \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_2\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_1\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_2\rangle \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_3\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_4\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_3\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_4\rangle \end{cases}$$

are orthonormal.

Our Basis in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

Example $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_B = \mathbb{C}^2$

 $|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle, |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

Notational Simplification : $|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

 $\{ |00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle \}$ orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$

$$\mathsf{If} |v_1\rangle, |v_2\rangle, |v_3\rangle, |v_4\rangle \text{ are orthonormal } \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_2\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_1\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_2\rangle \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_3\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_4\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_3\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |v_4\rangle \end{cases}$$

are orthonormal.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 00 \right\rangle \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 11 \right\rangle, \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 01 \right\rangle \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 10 \right\rangle, \text{ are orthonormal}$

More Consequences

1.

```
\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \} \ \text{does NOT exhaust} \ V \otimes W
```

More Consequences

1.

```
\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \} \text{ does NOT exhaust } V \otimes W
Not all vectors in V \otimes W can be expressed as |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle. However,
```

```
V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \}
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

More Consequences

1.

```
\{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \} does NOT exhaust V \otimes W
```

Not all vectors in $V \otimes W$ can be expressed as $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$. However,

```
V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \right\}
```

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|01\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|10\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

More Consequences

1.

 $\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \} \ \text{does NOT exhaust} \ V \otimes W$

Not all vectors in $V \otimes W$ can be expressed as $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$. However,

 $V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \}$

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{\left|v\right\rangle}\otimes\underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{\left|w\right\rangle}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|01\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|10\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)$$

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

More Consequences

1.

 $\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \} \ \text{does NOT exhaust} \ V \otimes W$

Not all vectors in $V \otimes W$ can be expressed as $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$. However,

 $V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \right\}$

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|v\rangle} \otimes \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|w\rangle} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|01\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|10\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)}_{(a\left|0\right\rangle+b\left|1\right\rangle)\otimes(c\left|0\right\rangle+d\left|1\right\rangle)} \stackrel{??}{=} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

More Consequences

1.

$$\{ \ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \ \}$$
 does NOT exhaust $V \otimes W$

Not all vectors in $V \otimes W$ can be expressed as $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$. However,

$$V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \}$$

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|v\rangle} \otimes \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|w\rangle} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|01\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|10\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)}_{(a\left|0\right\rangle+b\left|1\right\rangle)\otimes(c\left|0\right\rangle+d\left|1\right\rangle)} \stackrel{??}{=} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)$$

$$ad = 0, \quad ac = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = 0 \quad \text{but need} \quad bd = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

More Consequences

1.

$$\{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \}$$
 does NOT exhaust $V \otimes W$

Not all vectors in $V \otimes W$ can be expressed as $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$. However,

$$V \otimes W = \operatorname{span} \{ |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle : |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W \}$$

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left|0\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|v\rangle} \otimes \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right)}_{|w\rangle} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|01\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|10\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)}_{|w\rangle}$$
$$(a\left|0\right\rangle + b\left|1\right\rangle) \otimes (c\left|0\right\rangle + d\left|1\right\rangle) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|00\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|11\right\rangle\right)$$
$$ad = 0, \quad ac = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = 0 \quad \text{but need} \quad bd = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Definition

Consider a joint quantum system consisting of 2 constituent quantum systems. The joint state vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\phi\rangle$ can be expressed as a tensor product of constituent state vectors $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, i.e,

$$\left|\phi\right\rangle=\left|\phi_{1}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\phi_{2}\right\rangle.$$

A joint state vector is entangled if it is not separable.

Example

The state
$$|\Phi^-\rangle \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$$
 is entangled.

Definition

Consider a joint quantum system consisting of 2 constituent quantum systems. The joint state vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\phi\rangle$ can be expressed as a tensor product of constituent state vectors $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, i.e,

$$\left|\phi\right\rangle=\left|\phi_{1}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\phi_{2}\right\rangle.$$

A joint state vector is entangled if it is not separable.

Example

The state
$$|\Psi^+\rangle \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle\right)$$
 is entangled.

Definition

Consider a joint quantum system consisting of 2 constituent quantum systems. The joint state vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\phi\rangle$ can be expressed as a tensor product of constituent state vectors $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, i.e,

$$|\phi\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle$$
 .

A joint state vector is entangled if it is not separable.

Example

The state
$$|\Psi^{-}\rangle \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle\right)$$
 is entangled.

Definition

Consider a joint quantum system consisting of 2 constituent quantum systems. The joint state vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\phi\rangle$ can be expressed as a tensor product of constituent state vectors $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, i.e,

$$\left|\phi\right\rangle=\left|\phi_{1}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\phi_{2}\right\rangle.$$

A joint state vector is entangled if it is not separable.

Example

The state
$$|\Phi^+\rangle \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$$
 is entangled.

Definition

Consider a joint quantum system consisting of 2 constituent quantum systems. The joint state vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\phi\rangle$ can be expressed as a tensor product of constituent state vectors $|\phi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$, $|\phi_2\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$, i.e,

 $\left|\phi\right\rangle=\left|\phi_{1}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\phi_{2}\right\rangle.$

A joint state vector is entangled if it is not separable.

Example

The state
$$|\Phi^+\rangle \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$$
 is entangled.

individual constituent components have no definite description.

What is state of the first component $|\Phi^+\rangle$: Invalid Qn..

Only state of a joint system.

Entanglement has NO Classical Analogue

The entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$ represents the state of a joint system.

Analogous to a pair of registers storing the values of two quantities.



Joint System in our Classical World

Inspite of (potentially) correlated/ or related, each element of the pair (x, y) has its identity, description.

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

Entanglement has NO Classical Analogue

The entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$ represents the state of a joint system.

Analogous to a pair of registers storing the values of two quantities.

Joint System in our Quantum World



Alice and Bob can share a pair of qubits describing the joint system.

However, each qubit has no definite description, identity.

Entanglement has NO Classical Analogue

The entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle\right)$ represents the state of a joint system.

Analogous to a pair of registers storing the values of two quantities.

Joint System in our Quantum World



Alice and Bob can share a pair of qubits describing the joint system.

However, each qubit has no definite description, identity.

The joint system is in a superposition of states $|00\rangle$ and $|11\rangle$.

Entanglement

+

Randomness in measurement outcomes

yield new information processing resources.













0 1 0

 $\Pi_1 | \phi \rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle = | b_1 \rangle +$ $\langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ = IP between IP between $|b_1\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle | b_1 \rangle + \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle | b_2 \rangle$ = scalar vector scalar vector $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $|b_1\rangle \langle b_1|\phi\rangle + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2|\phi\rangle$ = vector scalar vector scalar $= |b_1\rangle \langle b_1 | \phi \rangle + | b_2 \rangle \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$



э

37/1

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

 $\Pi_1 | \phi \rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle = | b_1 \rangle +$ $\langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ = IP between IP between $|b_1\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle | b_1 \rangle + \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle | b_2 \rangle$ = scalar vector scalar vector $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $|b_1\rangle \langle b_1|\phi\rangle + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2|\phi\rangle$ = vector scalar vector scalar $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $|b_1\rangle \langle b_1 | \phi \rangle + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ = $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $(|b_1\rangle \langle b_1| + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2|) |\phi\rangle$ = Π_1



э

37/1

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

 $\Pi_1 | \phi \rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle = | b_1 \rangle +$ $\langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ = IP between IP between $|b_1\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $|b_2\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $\langle b_1 | \phi \rangle | b_1 \rangle + \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle | b_2 \rangle$ = scalar vector scalar vector $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $|b_1\rangle \langle b_1|\phi\rangle + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2|\phi\rangle$ = vector vector scalar scalar $\Pi_1 |\phi\rangle$ $|b_1\rangle \langle b_1 | \phi \rangle + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2 | \phi \rangle$ = $\Pi_1 | \phi \rangle$ $(|b_1\rangle \langle b_1| + |b_2\rangle \langle b_2|) |\phi\rangle$ = Π_1





The ket-bra notation is very useful in simplifying computation.

Suppose Π is a projection onto subspace $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose $\{|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_r\rangle\} \in \mathcal{W}$ is an orthonormal basis (ONB).

$$\Pi = \left| v_1 \right\rangle \left\langle v_1 \right| + \left| v_2 \right\rangle \left\langle v_2 \right| + \dots \left| v_r \right\rangle \left\langle v_r \right| = \sum_{i=1}^r \left| v_i \right\rangle \left\langle v_i \right|$$

$$\Pi |\phi\rangle = \sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \underbrace{\langle v_{i} | |\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} = \sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \underbrace{\langle v_{i} |\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} = \sum_{i} \underbrace{\langle v_{i} |\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} \underbrace{|v_{i}\rangle}_{\text{vector}}$$

$$|\langle v_i | \phi
angle|$$
 = Length of projection of $| \phi
angle$ on $| v_i
angle$

 $\sum_{i} \langle v_i | \phi \rangle | v_i \rangle$ = Projection of $| \phi \rangle$ on subspace \mathcal{W}

Bra-ket Notation $|a\rangle \langle b| |c\rangle = \langle b|c\rangle |a\rangle$

Suppose \mathcal{H}_A has ONB $\{|v_1\rangle \cdots, |v_d\rangle\}$, then any linear transformation $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ can be expressed as

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{ij} |v_i\rangle \langle v_j|.$$

The ket-bra notation is very useful in simplifying computation.

Suppose Π is a projection onto subspace $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose $\{|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_r\rangle\} \in \mathcal{W}$ is an orthonormal basis (ONB).

$$\Pi = \left| v_1 \right\rangle \left\langle v_1 \right| + \left| v_2 \right\rangle \left\langle v_2 \right| + \dots \left| v_r \right\rangle \left\langle v_r \right| = \sum_{i=1}^r \left| v_i \right\rangle \left\langle v_i \right|$$

$$\Pi |\phi\rangle = \sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \underbrace{\langle v_{i}| |\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} = \sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle \underbrace{\langle v_{i}|\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} = \sum_{i} \underbrace{\langle v_{i}|\phi\rangle}_{\text{scalar}} \underbrace{|v_{i}\rangle}_{\text{vector}}$$

$$|\langle v_i | \phi
angle|$$
 = Length of projection of $| \phi
angle$ on $| v_i
angle$

 $\sum_{i} \langle v_i | \phi \rangle | v_i \rangle$ = Projection of $| \phi \rangle$ on subspace \mathcal{W}

Bra-ket Notation $|a\rangle \langle b| |c\rangle = \langle b|c\rangle |a\rangle$

Suppose \mathcal{H}_A has ONB $\{|v_1\rangle \cdots, |v_d\rangle\}$, then any linear transformation $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ can be expressed as

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{ij} |v_i\rangle \langle v_j|. \quad \text{Scalars } t_{ij} : 1 \le i, j \le d \text{ completely characterize } T$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

$$T = |a\rangle \langle b|$$

$$T|c\rangle = |a\rangle \langle b| |c\rangle = \langle b|c\rangle |a\rangle$$

What is T doing on $|c\rangle$?

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 39/1

$$T = |a\rangle \langle b|$$

$$T |c\rangle = |a\rangle \langle b| |c\rangle = \langle b|c\rangle |a\rangle$$

What is T doing on $|c\rangle$?

Scaling $|a\rangle$ by length of projection of $|c\rangle$ on $|b\rangle$.

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 39/1

$$T = |a\rangle \langle b|$$

$$T|c\rangle = |a\rangle \langle b| |c\rangle = \langle b|c\rangle |a\rangle$$

What is T doing on $|c\rangle$?

Scaling $|a\rangle$ by length of projection of $|c\rangle$ on $|b\rangle$.

 $|\cdot\rangle\langle\cdot|$ is an Operator $\langle\cdot||\cdot\rangle = \langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ is a scalar $|\cdot\rangle$ is an vector $\langle\cdot|$ is a linear functional

Recall Operative Distributive Law

 $(A \otimes B)(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = A |v\rangle \otimes B |w\rangle$ Operator Dist. Law (ODL) 1

Suppose $|0\rangle\langle 0|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (Op. on our qubit space : say *A*-space)

Suppose $|0\rangle\langle 0|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (Op. on our qubit space : say *A*-space)

Suppose $I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (A second qubit space : *B*-space)

Suppose $|0\rangle\langle 0|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (Op. on our qubit space : say *A*-space)

Suppose $I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (A second qubit space : *B*-space)

What is $|0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2???$
Examples of Ket-Bra notation with Tensor Products

Suppose $|0\rangle\langle 0|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (Op. on our qubit space : say *A*-space)

Suppose $I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (A second qubit space : *B*-space)

What is $|0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2???$

 $I_B = |0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|$

Sum of two operators

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへで

Examples of Ket-Bra notation with Tensor Products

Suppose $|0\rangle\langle 0|: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (Op. on our qubit space : say *A*-space)

Suppose $I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ (A second qubit space : *B*-space)

What is $|0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I_B : \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2???$

 $I_B = |0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|$

Sum of two operators

Recall ODL 3 $A \otimes (B_1 + B_2) = A \otimes B_1 + A \otimes B_2$

 $\begin{array}{l} |0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle\langle 1|) & = & |0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|+|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ & = & |00\rangle\langle 00|+|01\rangle\langle 01| \end{array}$

 $|0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 01| =$ Projection on subspace spanned by $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$.

 $|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I_B = |00\rangle\langle 00| + |01\rangle\langle 01| =$ Projection on subspace spanned by $|00\rangle, |01\rangle$.

 $|1\rangle \langle 1| \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \langle 10| + |11\rangle \langle 11| =$ Projection on subspace spanned by $|10\rangle, |11\rangle$.

Entangled pair can be separated, Acted upon Individually

Components of the joint system can be separated, Acted upon Individually

 $\ket{\Phi^+}_{\scriptscriptstyle AB}$



Suppose Alice performs measurement $\{\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_K\}$. Bob remains silent.

?? Effect on Joint system ??

Equivalent to measurement $\{\Pi_1 \otimes I_B, \dots, \Pi_K \otimes I_B\}$ on joint system.

Only Alice sees outcome. Joint state collapses.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Alice performs measurement $\left\{ \Pi_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle \left\langle 0\right|,\Pi_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle \left\langle 1\right|\right\}$

Bob does nothing.

Measurement on joint system $\{|0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I_B, |1\rangle \langle 1| \otimes I_B\}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Alice performs measurement $\left\{ \Pi_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle \left\langle 0\right|,\Pi_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle \left\langle 1\right|\right\}$

Bob does nothing.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Measurement on joint system} \\ \left\{ |0\rangle \left< 0 | \otimes I_B, |1\rangle \left< 1 | \otimes I_B \right. \right\} \\ \text{equivalent to} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_0 \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \left< 00 | + |01\rangle \left< 01 | , \right. \right\} \\ \Pi_1 \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \left< 10 | + |11\rangle \left< 11 | \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$



Alice performs measurement $\left\{ \Pi_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle \left\langle 0\right|,\Pi_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle \left\langle 1\right|\right\}$

Bob does nothing.

 $\begin{cases} \text{Measurement on joint system} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_0 \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \left< 00| + |01\rangle \left< 01| \right. \right. \\ \left. \Pi_1 \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \left< 10| + |11\rangle \left< 11| \right. \right. \end{cases}$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー



Alice performs measurement $\left\{ \Pi_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle \left\langle 0\right|,\Pi_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle \left\langle 1\right|\right\}$

Bob does nothing.

 $\begin{cases} \text{Measurement on joint system} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_0 \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \left< 00| + |01\rangle \left< 01| \right. \right. \\ \left. \Pi_1 \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \left< 10| + |11\rangle \left< 11| \right. \right. \end{cases}$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー

44/1



Alice performs measurement $\left\{ \Pi_{0}=\left|0\right\rangle \left\langle 0\right|,\Pi_{1}=\left|1\right\rangle \left\langle 1\right|\right\}$

Bob does nothing.

 $\begin{cases} \text{Measurement on joint system} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_0 \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \left< 00 \right| + |01\rangle \left< 01 \right|, \\ \Pi_1 \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \left< 10 \right| + |11\rangle \left< 11 \right| \end{cases} \end{cases}$

Outcome 0 with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$. Outcome 0 \Rightarrow State collapses to $|00\rangle$

・ロト <
ゆ ト <
言 ト <
言 ト 、
言 、
の へ
の 44/1
</p>



Alice performs measurement $\{\Pi_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0|, \Pi_1 = |1\rangle \langle 1|\}$

Bob does nothing.

 $\begin{cases} \text{Measurement on joint system} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi_0 \otimes I_B = |00\rangle \left< 00 \right| + |01\rangle \left< 01 \right|, \\ \Pi_1 \otimes I_B = |10\rangle \left< 10 \right| + |11\rangle \left< 11 \right| \end{cases} \end{cases}$

Outcome 0 with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$. Outcome 0 \Rightarrow State collapses to $|00\rangle$

Outcome 1 with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$. Outcome 0 \Rightarrow State collapses to $|11\rangle$



Alice performs measurement
$$\{\Pi_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0|, \Pi_1 = |1\rangle \langle 1|\}$$

Post Measurement on joint system Outcome 0 with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$. State collapses to $|00\rangle$

States are UNENTANGLED

Outcome 0 and state $|0\rangle$

No Outcome. State $|0\rangle$ Meas. $\{|0\rangle \langle 0|, |1\rangle \langle 1|\}$ Sure shot outcome 0.

Bob does nothing.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣 - のへで

Distributed Generation of common randomness



Alice performs measurement
$$\{\Pi_0 = |0\rangle \langle 0|, \Pi_1 = |1\rangle \langle 1|\}$$

Post Measurement on joint system Outcome 1 with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$. State collapses to $|11\rangle$

States are UNENTANGLED

Outcome 1 and state $|1\rangle$

No Outcome. State $|1\rangle$ Meas. $\{|0\rangle \langle 0|, |1\rangle \langle 1|\}$ Sure shot outcome 1.

Bob does nothing.

▲ロ▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のQで

Distributed Generation of common randomness



Alice in Chennai, Bob in Bangalore can generate common randomness.

Experimentally, components of entangled pair are separated by 1100 kms!!!!

Entangled particles evolve simultanneously.

If you perturb one, the other gets perturbed.

If you wish to perturb the other, you can perturb your qubit!!!

A Quantum system cannot be Cloned - The No-Cloning Theorem

The contents of a (classical) register can be copied onto another register.

However, the state of a quantum system cannot be duplicated or cloned.

Given an arbitrary state $|\phi\rangle,$ there exists no unitary transformation that can duplicate this state.

Theorem

There exists no unitary transformation $U: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and a state $|\omega\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$U\left(\left|\phi\right\rangle\otimes\left|\omega\right\rangle\right)=\left|\phi\right\rangle\otimes\left|\phi\right\rangle$$

holds for every $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$.

2. Quantum Gates

50/1

A classical computation \equiv a map $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$.

Computation is reversible if the input bits can be determined from the output bits, i.e., f is invertible (1:1 and ONTO).

Example : NAND is NOT reversible.

Example : Controlled NOT (C-NOT)



A classical computation \equiv a map $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$.

Computation is reversible if the input bits can be determined from the output bits, i.e., f is invertible (1:1 and ONTO).

Example : NAND is NOT reversible.

Example : Controlled NOT (C-NOT)



A classical computation \equiv a map $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$.

Computation is reversible if the input bits can be determined from the output bits, i.e., f is invertible (1:1 and ONTO).

Example : NAND is NOT reversible.

Example : Controlled NOT (C-NOT) is reversible.



 $b \longrightarrow a \oplus b$

A classical computation \equiv a map $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$.

Computation is reversible if the input bits can be determined from the output bits, i.e., f is invertible (1:1 and ONTO).

Example : NAND is NOT reversible.

Example : Controlled NOT (C-NOT) is reversible.

Example : CC-NOT (C-NOT) is reversible.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Quantum Gates and Operations are Unitary Transformations

Quantum circuits map superposition of n qubits into a superposition of n qubits.

Quantum Gate : $|\phi\rangle \mapsto |\omega\rangle$.

Valid Transformations : 1) Norm Preservation $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \langle \omega | \omega \rangle$. 2) Linearity.

Non-Linearity results in physical unrealizability.

Quantum Gate is a Unitary Transformation.

52/1

Quantum Gates and Operations are Unitary Transformations

Quantum circuits map superposition of n qubits into a superposition of n qubits.

Quantum Gate : $|\phi\rangle \mapsto |\omega\rangle$.

Valid Transformations : 1) Norm Preservation $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \langle \omega | \omega \rangle$. 2) Linearity.

Non-Linearity results in physical unrealizability.

Quantum Gate is a Unitary Transformation.

Quantum Operations : Unitary Transformations Mapping n qubits to n qubits.

Operation of a Quantum Gate : Completely specified by action on its bases.

Only need $|0\rangle \mapsto ?$ and $|1\rangle \mapsto ?$

Identity Gate



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへの

53/1

Identity Gate





Matrix Representation

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Pauli Z-Gate Identity Gate $a|0\rangle+b|1\rangle$ $I: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \end{array}$ $Z: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto -|1\rangle \end{array}$ Matrix Representation $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$



Matrix Representation

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

a|0
angle - b|1
angle

Pauli Z-Gate Identity Gate $a|0\rangle$ - $b|1\rangle$ $a|0\rangle+b|1\rangle$ Z $I: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \end{array}$ $Z: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto -|1\rangle \end{array}$ Matrix Representation $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ Pauli X – Gate b|0
angle+a|1
angle $a|0\rangle+b|1\rangle$ XPauli Y-Gate $Y \xrightarrow{ib|0\rangle - ia|1\rangle}$ a|0
angle+b|1
angle $X: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \end{array}$ $Y: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto i |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto -i |0\rangle \end{array}$

Matrix Representation $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Matrix Representation

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

53/1

Playing with the Pauli I, X, Y, Z Gates







Playing with the Pauli I, X, Y, Z Gates





Your task is to recover the qubit $a |0\rangle + b |1\rangle$.

Which operator will you use if you are given

$a 0\rangle + b 1\rangle$ Z $a 0\rangle - b 1\rangle$	State you are given	Operator to use
	$a\left 0 ight angle-b\left 1 ight angle$	Z
	$b\left 0 ight angle+a\left 1 ight angle$	X
	$b\left 0 ight angle-a\left 1 ight angle$	First Z , then X

$$\overset{a|0\rangle+b|1\rangle}{\longleftarrow} Y \overset{ib|0\rangle-ia|1\rangle}{\longleftarrow}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

55/1

Hadamard Gate



$$H: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |+\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |-\rangle \end{array}$$

Matrix Representation

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

Property 1

$$(H \otimes H)(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

55/1

Hadamard Gate



$$H: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |+\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |-\rangle \end{array}$$

Matrix Representation

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

Property 1

$$(H \otimes H)(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

Hadamard Gate



$$H: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |+\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |-\rangle \end{array}$$

Matrix Representation

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$H^{\otimes n} \left| 0 \right\rangle^{\otimes n} = \sum_{\substack{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ \in \{0, 1\}^n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^n} \left| b_1 \cdots b_n \right\rangle$$

All possible bit combinations are stored in n-qubits.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Hadamard Gate

$$H \rightarrow H \rightarrow$$

$$H \rightarrow$$

$$H : |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |+\rangle$$

$$H : |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |-\rangle$$
Matrix Performantian

Matrix Representation

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Property 2

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} |0\rangle + |1\rangle \quad , \quad |1\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} |0\rangle - |1\rangle \\ |0\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} (-1)^{0\cdot 0} |0\rangle + (-1)^{0\cdot 1} |1\rangle \, , \\ |1\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} (-1)^{1\cdot 0} |0\rangle + (-1)^{1\cdot 1} |1\rangle \, , \\ |b\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} \sum_{z=0}^{1} (-1)^{b\cdot z} |z\rangle \, , \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

Hadamard Gate



$$H: \begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |+\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle =: |-\rangle \end{array}$$

Matrix Representation

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

Property 2

$$\begin{aligned} |b\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} \sum_{z=0}^{1} (-1)^{b \cdot z} |z\rangle ,\\ |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle &\stackrel{H^{\otimes n}}{\mapsto} \sum_{z^n \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{b_1 \cdot z_1 + \cdots + \cdots + b_n z_n} |z_1 \cdots z_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Our Two Qubit C-NOT Gate

C-NOT Gate $|a\rangle \longrightarrow |a\rangle$ $|b\rangle \longrightarrow |a\rangle \oplus |b\rangle$ $\overline{C}: |01\rangle \mapsto |01\rangle$ $|10\rangle \mapsto |11\rangle$ $|11\rangle \mapsto |10\rangle$

Our Two Qubit C-NOT Gate

C-NOT Gate

An Application : Entangle two unentangled systems.





Let $|\omega\rangle = a |0\rangle + b |1\rangle$. $|\theta_0\rangle = |\omega\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$
Our Two Qubit C-NOT Gate

C-NOT Gate

An Application : Entangle two unentangled systems.

 $\begin{array}{c} |a\rangle \xrightarrow{} |a\rangle \\ |b\rangle \xrightarrow{} |a\rangle \oplus |b\rangle \\ \hline \overline{C} : \begin{array}{c} |00\rangle \mapsto |00\rangle \\ |10\rangle \mapsto |01\rangle \\ |10\rangle \mapsto |11\rangle \\ |11\rangle \mapsto |10\rangle \end{array}$



 $\overline{C} \otimes I: \begin{cases} |000\rangle \mapsto |000\rangle \\ |001\rangle \mapsto |001\rangle \\ |010\rangle \mapsto |010\rangle \\ |011\rangle \mapsto |011\rangle \\ |100\rangle \mapsto |110\rangle \\ |111\rangle \mapsto |101\rangle \\ |100\rangle \mapsto |110\rangle \\ |111\rangle \mapsto |101\rangle \end{cases}$

Let $|\omega\rangle = a |0\rangle + b |1\rangle$. $|\theta_0\rangle = |\omega\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$

Use gate $\overline{C} \otimes I$

Our Two Qubit C-NOT Gate

C-NOT Gate





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ 釣�??

3. Quantum Protocols

No Cloning Theorem : No Replication of qubits.

Can we transport them?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

No Cloning Theorem : No Replication of qubits.

Can we transport them? If YES, what resources do we need?



▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

No Cloning Theorem : No Replication of qubits.

Can we transport them? If YES, what resources do we need?



Resource : Shared entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$ and 2 classical bits suffice.



59/1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

High-Level Technique : 'Induce' $|\omega_A\rangle$ on to Qubit B of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$.

E 990



High-Level Technique : 'Induce' $|\omega_A\rangle$ on to Qubit B of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$. HOW?



High-Level Technique : 'Induce' $|\omega_A\rangle$ on to Qubit B of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$. HOW?

Entangled qubits evolve simultaneously.



イロト 不得 とうせい かけん

High-Level Technique : 'Induce' $|\omega_A\rangle$ on to Qubit B of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$. HOW?

Entangled qubits evolve simultaneously.

Alice has $|\omega_A\rangle$ AND first qubit of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$.



 $\mbox{High-Level Technique : 'Induce' } |\omega_A\rangle \mbox{ on to Qubit B of } |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}. \mbox{ HOW?}$

Entangled qubits evolve simultaneously.

Alice has $|\omega_A\rangle$ AND first qubit of $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$.

Step 1 : Entangle $|\Phi^+\rangle_{AB}$ with $|\omega_A\rangle$ by Alice entangling her two qubits.

Step 2 : Alice cleverly evolves her two qubits. Bob's entangled qubit evolves!!!



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

・ロト ・ (日) ・ (目) ・ (10) - (1



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \\ |\theta_1\rangle &= (\overline{C} \otimes I)(|\theta_0\rangle) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|110\rangle + b|101\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

▲口> ▲理> ▲ヨ> ▲ヨ> 三日 めんの

60/1



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \\ |\theta_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|110\rangle + b|101\rangle) \\ |\theta_2\rangle = (H \otimes I \otimes I)(|\theta_1\rangle) &= \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ 釣��

60/1

Quantum Teleportation



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \\ |\theta_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|110\rangle + b|101\rangle) \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= |00\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) + |01\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle) \\ &+ |10\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle - b|1\rangle) + |11\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \\ |\theta_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|110\rangle + b|101\rangle) \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= |00\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) + |01\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle) \\ &+ |10\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle - b|1\rangle) + |11\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

▲口> ▲御> ▲注> ▲注> 「注」のAO^^



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_0\rangle &= |\omega_A\rangle \otimes |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} = (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \\ |\theta_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a|000\rangle + a|011\rangle + b|100\rangle + b|111\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

$$|\theta_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a |000\rangle + a |011\rangle + b |110\rangle + b |101\rangle \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_2\rangle &= |00\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) + |01\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle) \\ &+ |10\rangle \otimes (a|0\rangle - b|1\rangle) + |11\rangle \otimes (a|1\rangle - b|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Super Dense Coding

How many classical bits of information can you pack in one qubit?

Shared entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle$ + 2 classical bits = Teleport 1 qubit



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへ⊙

Super Dense Coding

How many classical bits of information can you pack in one qubit?

Shared entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle + 2$ classical bits = Teleport 1 qubit



Shared entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle$ + Hand over 1 qubit = ?? number of classical bits ??

Super Dense Coding

How many classical bits of information can you pack in one qubit?

Shared entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle + 2$ classical bits = Teleport 1 qubit



Super Dense Coding



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲温ト ▲温ト



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$.



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

At the end, Bob has both qubits.



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

At the end, Bob has both qubits. He must read out 2 bits.



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

At the end, Bob has both qubits. He must read out 2 bits.

Based on the two information bits, Alice employs a specific gate on her qubit.



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

At the end, Bob has both qubits. He must read out 2 bits.

Based on the two information bits, Alice employs a specific gate on her qubit.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The entangled pair evolves.



Only qubit Alice has : her share of the entangled pair $|\Phi^+\rangle$. She hands it over.

At the end, Bob has both qubits. He must read out 2 bits.

Based on the two information bits, Alice employs a specific gate on her qubit.

The entangled pair evolves.

If $|\omega_1\rangle, |\omega_2\rangle, |\omega_3\rangle, |\omega_4\rangle$ are perfectly distiguishable, Bob can recover the two bits. Need $|\omega_1\rangle, |\omega_2\rangle, |\omega_3\rangle, |\omega_4\rangle$ mutually orthonormal in \mathbb{R}^4 .

The Pauli Gates to our rescue



Alice applying gate T is equivalent to transformation $T \otimes I$ on composite system.

Information bits	Gate	Resulting State
00	$I \otimes I$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 00\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 11\right\rangle$
01	$Z \otimes I$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 00\right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 11\right\rangle$
10	$X \otimes I$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 01\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left 10\right\rangle$
11	$iY\otimes I$	$\left \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left 01 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left 10 \right\rangle \right $

On receiving the Qubit A from Alice, Bob performs the measurement $\{\Pi_{00} = |00\rangle \langle 00|, \Pi_{01} = |01\rangle \langle 01|, \Pi_{10} = |10\rangle \langle 10|, \Pi_{11} = |11\rangle \langle 11| \}$

4. Quantum Algorithms

Comparing Classical and Quantum Computational Powers

- Side-Step a formal definition of a Quantum Turing Machine and Quantum complexity clases.
- ► Single-Qubit Unitary operator = single-input Boolean gate.
- Proxy for run-time ~ No. of quantum gates and No. of unitary operations

BPP : Problem II is in BPP if \exists a poly-time algo on a probabilistic Classical Turing Machine that returns correct answer with prob. atleast $\frac{3}{4}$.

BQP : Problem II is in BPP if \exists a poly-time algo on a probabilistic Quantum Turing Machine that returns correct answer with probability atleast $\frac{3}{4}$.

Informal Analysis. Techniques to exploit Superposition.

Is an n-bit Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ constant or balanced ?

Is an n-bit Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ constant or balanced ?

Category 1	
$f(x^n)$ is constant i.e., either $f = 0$ or $f = 1$.	

Is an n-bit Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ constant or balanced ?

Category 1	Category 2
$f(x^n)$ is constant i.e., either $f = 0$ or $f = 1$.	$\begin{split} f(x^n) &= 0 \text{ for half the inputs and} \\ f(x^n) &= 1 \text{ for the rest half of the inputs.} \\ \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} &= \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} = 2^{n-1} \end{split}$

Is an n-bit Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ constant or balanced ?

Category 1	Category 2
$f(x^n)$ is constant i.e., either $f = 0$ or $f = 1$.	$\begin{split} f(x^n) &= 0 \text{ for half the inputs and} \\ f(x^n) &= 1 \text{ for the rest half of the inputs.} \\ \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} &= \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} = 2^{n-1} \end{split}$

Task : Given f, determine whether it is in Category 1 or Category 2.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ めのの
Power of Quantum Algorithms I : Deutsch Josza algorithm

Is an *n*-bit Boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ constant or balanced ?

Category 1	Category 2
$f(x^n)$ is constant i.e., either $f = 0$ or $f = 1$.	$\begin{aligned} f(x^n) &= 0 \text{ for half the inputs and} \\ f(x^n) &= 1 \text{ for the rest half of the inputs.} \\ \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} &= \{x^n : f(x^n) = 1\} = 2^{n-1} \end{aligned}$

Task : Given f, determine whether it is in Category 1 or Category 2.

We have an oracle who, given x^n , will compute $f(x^n)$.

One usage : Binary oracle will provide us $f(x^n)$.

One usage : Quantum oracle will provide us $|f(x^n)\rangle$.

How many times should we poll our oracles?

Known algorithms on Classical Computers

Worst Case Analysis with guaranteed correctness

```
Must poll \geq 2^{n-1} + 1 sequences in \{0,1\}^n
```

Known algorithms on Classical Computers

Worst Case Analysis with guaranteed correctness

Must poll $\geq 2^{n-1} + 1$ sequences in $\{0,1\}^n$

Performance of Probabilistic (Randomized) Algorithms

Algorithm : Pick k boolean inputs uniformly and randomly.

Poll f-values for chosen random inputs.

If all f-values for chosen random inputs are same, declare f is constant, (Category 1).

Otherwise, declare f is balanced, i.e., Category 2.

Performance : If you declare f is balanced, f is definitely balanced.

 $\Rightarrow P(f \text{ is constant} \mid \text{you declare balanced}) = 0.$

$$P(f \text{ is balanced } | \text{ you declare constant}) = \frac{2^{-k}P(f \text{ is balanced })}{1 - P(f \text{ is balanced })} \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\to} 0.$$

 Problem in BPP.

 $2^{n-1} + 1$ computations for certain answer.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへぐ

Deustch Jozsa discovered an efficient quantum algorithm

What is the idea?

Prepare a (n+1)- qubit state $|\phi\rangle$ based on the function f such that is

(a) if f is constant state $|\phi\rangle$ lies in subspace W and

(b) if f is balanced, then $|\phi\rangle$ lies in subspace W^{\perp} .

(c) Preparation of $|\phi\rangle$ has low quantum complexity.

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

Our Quantum Oracle



How many times will we need poll this quantum oracle?



$$|\theta_1\rangle = H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n}(|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle)$$



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n |0\rangle \quad -\sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n |1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle \quad -\sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1 \oplus f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle \end{split}$$

Suppose f were a constant $f(b^n) = 0$ for all b^n



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle \quad - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1 \oplus f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle \end{split}$$

Suppose f were a constant $f(b^n) = 0$ for all b^n $|\theta_2\rangle = \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle$

< □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < つ < ↔ 71/1



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle \quad - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1 \oplus f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle \end{split}$$

Suppose f were a constant $f(b^n) = 1$ for all b^n $|\theta_2\rangle = \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle$

< □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < つ < ↔ 71/1



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes(n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle \quad - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1 \oplus f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle \end{split}$$

Suppose f were a constant

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{cnst}} = \pm \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

< □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < つ < ↔ 71/1



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes (n+1)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle = H^{\otimes n} (|0\rangle^{\otimes n}) \otimes H(|1\rangle) \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 0\rangle \quad - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle - \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n \ 1 \oplus f(b_1 \cdots b_n)\rangle \end{split}$$

Suppose f were a constant

l

$$(\partial_2)_{\text{cnst}} = \pm \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

Suppose f were a balanced

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{blncd}} = \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=0} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) - \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=1} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ 釣�??



$$(\theta_2)_{\text{cnst}} = \pm \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

Suppose f were a balanced

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{blncd}} = \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=0} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) - \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=1} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 臣 ∽ � ♀ ~ 71/1



Suppose f were a constant

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{cnst}} = \pm \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

Suppose f were a balanced

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{blncd}} = \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=0} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) - \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=1} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

<□ ト < @ ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の Q () 71/1



Suppose f were a constant

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{cnst}} = \pm \sum_{b^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

Suppose f were a balanced

$$|\theta_2\rangle_{\mathsf{blncd}} = \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=0} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) - \sum_{b^n: f(b^n)=1} |b_1\cdots b_n\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

<□ ト < @ ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の Q () 71/1

Analyzing Quantum Complexity



Quantum Algorithm

Computes Correct Answer with CERTAINTY.

No. of Unitary Operations = O(n)!!!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Analyzing Quantum Complexity



Quantum Algorithm

Classical Computer

Computes Correct Answer with CERTAINTY.

 $2^{n-1} + 1$ computations for certain answer.

No. of Unitary Operations = O(n)!!!

Problem in BPP.

Problem in BPP \cap BQP. No insights on BQP \setminus BPP.

Finding the Unknown Period in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$

 $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ is 2-to-1 and periodic with unknown period (a_1, \dots, a_n) . Exactly two *n*-bit sequences yield same output and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1 \oplus a_1, \dots, x_n \oplus a_n)$. On how many *n*-bit inputs must you poll $f(\cdot)$ -values to figure out period (a_1, \dots, a_n) ? Classically, if you poll for $2^{\alpha n}$ *n*-bit sequences, you have $f(\cdot)$ -values for at most $\binom{2^{\alpha n}}{2} \leq 2^{2\alpha n}$ input pairs.

$$P(\mathsf{Finding} \ a^n) = \frac{2^{2\alpha n}}{2^n} = 2^{-n(1-2\alpha)} \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\to} 0 \quad \text{ if } \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$$

Need to poll $f(\cdot)$ -values for $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ inputs to obtain reasonable success.

Recall Property $2 \ {\rm of} \ {\rm the} \ {\rm Hadamard} \ {\rm Gate}$

For $x \in \{0,1\}$ or $x^n \in \{0,1\}^n$

1

$$\begin{aligned} |x\rangle &\stackrel{H}{\mapsto} \sum_{z=0}^{2} (-1)^{x \cdot z} |z\rangle \\ |x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle &\stackrel{H^{\otimes n}}{\mapsto} \sum_{z^n \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x_1 \cdot z_1 + \dots + \dots \cdot x_n z_n} |z_1 \cdots z_n\rangle = \sum_{z^n \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z}} |z_1 \cdots z_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日</th><日><日</th><日</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><1</th><

Problem : Prepared State :

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |(x_1 \oplus a_1) \cdots (x_n \oplus a_n) \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle)$$

 $x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n$ unknown. Find a_1, \dots, a_n . !!! Cannot eye-ball A State!!!

Problem : Prepared State : $x_1 \oplus a_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n \oplus a_n = y_n$

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |y_1 \cdots y_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

 $x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n$ unknown. Find a_1, \dots, a_n . !!! Cannot eye-ball A State!!!

75/1

Problem : Prepared State : $x_1 \oplus a_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n \oplus a_n = y_n$

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |y_1 \cdots y_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

 $x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n$ unknown. Find a_1, \dots, a_n .

Step 1: Apply $H^{\otimes n} \otimes I_2^{\otimes n}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle &\mapsto \sum_{\substack{z_1, \cdots, z_n \in \{0,1\}^n \\ a_1 z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n z_n = 0}} \left[(-1)^{\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z}} + (-1)^{\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z} \oplus \underline{a} \cdot \underline{z}} \right] |z_1 \cdots z_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

Problem : Prepared State : $x_1 \oplus a_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n \oplus a_n = y_n$

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |y_1 \cdots y_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

 $x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n$ unknown. Find a_1, \dots, a_n .

Step 1: Apply $H^{\otimes n} \otimes I_2^{\otimes n}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle &\mapsto \sum_{\substack{z_1, \cdots, z_n \in \{0,1\}^n \\ a_1 z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n z_n = 0}} \left[(-1)^{\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z}} + (-1)^{\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z} \oplus \underline{a} \cdot \underline{z}} \right] |z_1 \cdots z_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

For any (a_1, \dots, a_n) there are 2^{n-1} terms in above sum.

Step 2: Apply Measurement : $\{|0\cdots0\rangle\langle 0\cdots0|\otimes I, \cdots, |1\cdots1\rangle\langle 1\cdots1|\otimes I\}$.

Outcome provides one choice of z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n for which $a_1 z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n z_n = 0$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q (? 75/1

Quantum Oracle for our period finding function



$$|x_1 \cdots x_n \ y_1 \cdots y_n\rangle \stackrel{T_f}{\mapsto} |x_1 \cdots x_n \ f(x^n) \oplus (y_1 \cdots y_n)\rangle$$

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > ○ < ? (? 76/1



$$|\theta_1\rangle = H^{\otimes(n)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes I |0\rangle^{\otimes n}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ のQで 77/1



$$\begin{split} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes(n)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes I |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{x^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |x_1 \cdots x_n \ 0 \cdots 0\rangle \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ● ■ のQで 77/1



$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_1\rangle &= H^{\otimes(n)} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes I |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \quad \text{Ignoring } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ factors} \\ &= \sum_{x^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |x_1 \cdots x_n \ 0 \cdots 0\rangle \\ |\theta_2\rangle &= \sum_{x^n \in \{0,1\}^n} |x_1 \cdots x_n \ f(x_1 \cdots x_n)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

77/1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Suppose outcome of the measurement were b_1, \dots, b_n

$$|\theta_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |(x_1 \oplus a_1) \cdots (x_n \oplus a_n) \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle)$$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>



Suppose outcome of the measurement were b_1, \dots, b_n

$$|\theta_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |(x_1 \oplus a_1) \cdots (x_n \oplus a_n) \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle)$$
$$|\theta_4\rangle = \sum_{\substack{z_1, \dots, z_n:\\a_1 z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n z_n = 0}} |z_1 \cdots z_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>



Suppose outcome of the measurement were b_1, \dots, b_n

$$|\theta_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|x_1 \cdots x_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle + |(x_1 \oplus a_1) \cdots (x_n \oplus a_n) \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle)$$
$$|\theta_4\rangle = \sum_{\substack{z_1, \dots, z_n:\\a_1 z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n z_n = 0}} |z_1 \cdots z_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>



Suppose outcome of the measurement were b_1, \dots, b_n

$$|\theta_4\rangle = \sum_{\substack{z_1, \dots, z_n:\\a_1 z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n z_n = 0}} |z_1 \cdots z_n \ b_1 \cdots b_n\rangle$$

Measure the first *n* registers with measurement operators $\{|0\cdots00\rangle \langle 0\cdots00| \otimes I_2^{\otimes n}, |0\cdots01\rangle \langle 0\cdots01| \otimes I_2^{\otimes n}, |1\cdots11\rangle \langle 1\cdots11| \otimes I_2^{\otimes n}\}$

Every outcome gives you one linear equation $o_1a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus o_na_n = 0$ where (o_1, \dots, o_n) is your outcome.

Need n linear independent eqns to solve for a_1, \dots, a_n . Repeat whole apparatus k times.

Analysis of Simon's period finding algorithm

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 - 釣ぬ⊙

79/1

Factoring a composite integer

Every composite integer is a product of powers of primes.

Example $66 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$

Example $275 = 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$

Example

277 = ??

Given *n*-bit integer N, find primes p_1, \dots, p_m and integers q_1, \dots, q_m s.t

$$N = p_1^{q_1} \cdots p_m^{q_m}.$$

Given n-bit integer N, need a quantum algorithm that identifies prime factors in run-time n^k for some k.

Towards Shor's Algorithm for Prime Factorization

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

No. Factors No. Computations

 $N = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$

Towards Shor's Algorithm for Prime Factorization

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

No. Factors No. Computations

 $N = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$

- $= \qquad \qquad \alpha_{11} \cdot \alpha_{12} \cdot \alpha_{21} \cdot \alpha_{22}$
- $= \alpha_{111}\alpha_{112}\alpha_{121}\alpha_{122}\cdots\alpha_{211}\alpha_{212}\alpha_{221}\alpha_{222}$
Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

No. Factors No. Computations

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

 $N = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$ = $\alpha_{11} \cdot \alpha_{12} \cdot \alpha_{21} \cdot \alpha_{22}$ = $\alpha_{111}\alpha_{112}\alpha_{121}\alpha_{122} \cdots \alpha_{211}\alpha_{212}\alpha_{221}\alpha_{222}$ = :

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

No. Factors No. Computations

▲ロ▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー のへで

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

			No. Factors	No. Computations
N	=	$\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$	2	n^k
	=	$\alpha_{11} \cdot \alpha_{12} \cdot \alpha_{21} \cdot \alpha_{22}$	4	$2(n-1)^{k}$
	=	$\alpha_{111}\alpha_{112}\alpha_{121}\alpha_{122}\cdots\alpha_{211}\alpha_{212}\alpha_{221}\alpha_{222}$	8	$4(n-2)^{k}$
	=	:		:
	=	$p_1^{q_1} \cdot p_2^{q_2} \cdot p_{m-1}^{q_{m-1}} \cdot p_m^{q_m}$	2^l	$2^{l-1}(n-l)^k$

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

			No. Factors	No. Computations
N	=	$\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$	2	n^k
	=	$\alpha_{11} \cdot \alpha_{12} \cdot \alpha_{21} \cdot \alpha_{22}$	4	$2(n-1)^{k}$
	=	$\alpha_{111}\alpha_{112}\alpha_{121}\alpha_{122}\cdots\alpha_{211}\alpha_{212}\alpha_{221}\alpha_{222}$	8	$4(n-2)^{k}$
	=	: :		:
	=	$p_1^{q_1} \cdot p_2^{q_2} \cdot p_{m-1}^{q_{m-1}} \cdot p_m^{q_m}$	2^l	$2^{l-1}(n-l)^k$

l steps \Rightarrow No. Computations $\leq n^k + 2n^k + 4n^k + \dots 2^{l-1}n^k \leq 2^l n^k$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q (C) 81/1

Goal : Design a polynomial-time quantum algorithm that can identify the prime factors of a n-bit composite number N.

Break the task down.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of N.

Find α such that $\alpha | N$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\alpha \neq N$.

			No. Factors	No. Computations
N	=	$\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$	2	n^k
	=	$\alpha_{11} \cdot \alpha_{12} \cdot \alpha_{21} \cdot \alpha_{22}$	4	$2(n-1)^{k}$
	=	$\alpha_{111}\alpha_{112}\alpha_{121}\alpha_{122}\cdots\alpha_{211}\alpha_{212}\alpha_{221}\alpha_{222}$	8	$4(n-2)^{k}$
	=	: :		:
	=	$p_1^{q_1} \cdot p_2^{q_2} \cdot p_{m-1}^{q_{m-1}} \cdot p_m^{q_m}$	2^l	$2^{l-1}(n-l)^k$

 $l \text{ steps } \Rightarrow \text{No. Computations } \leq n^k + 2n^k + 4n^k + \dots 2^{l-1}n^k \leq 2^l n^k$ Since $p_i \geq 2$, No. of factors $2^l \leq \log_2 N \Rightarrow \text{No. Computations } \leq n^k \log_2 N \leq n^{k+1}$.

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > 目 - 釣�?

Suffices to efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Goal : Given N, find 1 < x < N s.t, GCD(x, N) > 1.

82/1

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ _ 圖 _ のへで

Some Number Theoretic Preliminaries

Goal : Given N, find 1 < x < N s.t, GCD(x, N) > 1.

 $co-pr(N) = \{a : 1 < a < N - 1, s.t GCD(a, N) = 1, i.e., a, N are co-prime\}$

- co-pr(N) is a finite group under mod N multiplication.
 Need b s.t : ab = 1 mod N. As you sweep b, ab's are distinct.
- 2. Being a finite group, each element of co-pr(N) has a finite order.

 $\operatorname{ord}(a) = \min\{k : a^k = 1 \mod N\} = \text{ period of the fn. } f_{a,N}(k) = a^k \mod N.$

3. Suppose $r = \operatorname{ord}(a)$ for $a \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Then

$$a^{r} = \theta N + 1 \Rightarrow N \mid (a^{r} - 1) \text{ and } N + (a^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1)$$

Case 1: r is even.

$$N \mid (a^{r} - 1) = (a^{\frac{r}{2}} - 1)(a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1)$$

If $N \neq (a^{\frac{r}{2}} - 1)$, then we are done. Indeed, $a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1$ and $a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1$ have non-trivial common factors with N, i.e., $\text{GCD}(a^{\frac{r}{1}} - 1, N) > 1$ and $\text{GCD}(a^{\frac{r}{1}} + 1, N) > 1$.

Chances of this happening are HIGH

Theorem

Suppose $N = p_1^{q_1} \cdots p_m^{q_m}$ is the prime factorization. Let $X \in \text{co-pr}(N)$ be chosen uniformly at random, Let R = ord(X). Then

$$P(R \text{ is even and } N + (X^{\frac{R}{2}} - 1)) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2^m}$$

Suppose we can efficiently compute

 $\operatorname{ord}(a) = \min\{k : a^k = 1 \mod N\} = \operatorname{period of the fn.} f_{a,N}(k) = a^k \mod N.$

Pick X_1, \dots, X_l unformly at random, compute $R_1 = \operatorname{ord}(X_1), \dots, R_l = \operatorname{ord}(X_l)$ and obtain a non-trivial factor of N with high probability.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへで

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. $b \ge 2$ guarantees $a \ne N$.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. \exists efficient classical algorithm.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. \exists efficient classical algorithm.

Steps 1,2 are quick. Progression to Step 3 implies N is odd, non-prime power.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

Inputs: Composite n-bit number N

Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. \exists efficient classical algorithm.

Steps 1,2 are quick. Progression to Step 3 implies N is odd, non-prime power.

Step 3 : Randomly choose $x \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. If GCD(x, N) > 1, return GCD(x, N)

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

- Inputs: Composite n-bit number N
- Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. \exists efficient classical algorithm.

Steps 1,2 are quick. Progression to Step 3 implies N is odd, non-prime power.

Step 3 : Randomly choose $x \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. If GCD(x, N) > 1, return GCD(x, N)

Step 4 : Use quantum order finding sub-routine to find $\operatorname{ord}(x) \mod N$.

Efficiently identify non-trivial factor of n-bit integer N.

Algorithm

- Inputs: Composite n-bit number N
- Step 1 : If N is even, return 2.

Step 2 : Check if $N = a^b$ for $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 2$. If YES, return a. \exists efficient classical algorithm.

Steps 1,2 are quick. Progression to Step 3 implies N is odd, non-prime power.

Step 3 : Randomly choose $x \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. If GCD(x, N) > 1, return GCD(x, N)

Step 4 : Use quantum order finding sub-routine to find $\operatorname{ord}(x) \mod N$.

Step 5 : If r is even and $N + (x^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1)$, then compute $GCD(x^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1, N)$, $GCD(x^{\frac{r}{2}} - 1, N)$. Return if either is non-trivial factor. If none is non-trivial factor return FAILURE

Period Finding is \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} is fundamental to Factorization

Simon's algorithm utilized the Hadamard transform to provide us period in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

Suppose $f: \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$ is a periodic function in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} , i.e.

f(x) = f(x+r) for some $0 < r < 2^n - 1$ and $\forall x$ valid.

 $\exists \text{ efficient algo. to compute } r \text{ with} \\ \text{high prob.} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \exists \text{ efficient algo. to FACTOR} \\ \text{composite integer } N \text{ with high. prob.} \end{array}$

Quantum Fourier Transform in place of Hadamard transform yield period in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三三 のへの

・ 日 ・ ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ の へ の

・ロト・西ト・西ト・西・ うんの