

Neyman - Fisher factorization:

Proof:

$$P(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(\tau(\underline{x}), \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

$\tau(x)$  : functionally dependent on  $x$

① 2 random variables  $x$  and  $y$

such that  $x=y$

$$p(x, y) = p(x) \delta(x-y)$$

② Transformation of random variable

$$y = g(x)$$

$$P(y) = \int p(x) \delta(y-g(x)) dx$$

joint PDF

$$P(\underline{x}, \tau(\underline{x}); \theta)$$

This joint PDF must be zero when  $\underline{x} = \underline{x}_0$

$$\Rightarrow \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0 \text{ unless } \tau(\underline{x}_0) = \tau_0$$

① Assume that the factorization holds:

$$P(\underline{x} | \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = \frac{P(\underline{x}, \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}{P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}$$

$$= \frac{P(\underline{x}; \theta) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0)}{P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}$$

$$= \frac{g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0)}{P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}$$

$$p(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = \int p(\underline{x}; \theta) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}$$

$$= \int \underbrace{g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) h(\underline{x})}_{\text{cancel out}} \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}$$

$$= g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) \int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}$$

$$P(\underline{x} | \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = \frac{h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0)}{\int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}}$$

This is independent of  $\theta$ . Thus,  $\tau(x)$  is

a sufficient statistic.

② if  $\tau(x)$  is a sufficient statistic, then the factorization holds.

$$P(\underline{x}, \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = \underbrace{P(\underline{x} | \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}_{\text{independent of } \theta} P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)$$

$$= P(\underline{x} | \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0) P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)$$

$$P(\underline{x} | \tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0) = w(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0)$$

Such that  $\int w(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x} = 1$

$$P(\underline{x}; \theta) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) = w(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)$$

use  $w(\underline{x}) = \frac{h(\underline{x})}{\int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}}$

$$P(\underline{x}; \theta) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) = \frac{h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0)}{\int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}} P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)$$

$$p(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

where

$$g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = \frac{P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta)}{\int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}}$$

$$P(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) = g(\tau(\underline{x}) = \tau_0; \theta) \int h(\underline{x}) \delta(\tau(\underline{x}) - \tau_0) d\underline{x}$$

$\tau(x) \rightarrow \hat{\theta}$

Rao - Blackwell - Lehmann - Scheffe:

$\check{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$

and  $\tau(x)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$

then  $\hat{\theta} = E[\check{\theta} | \tau(x)]$

① valid estimator (doesn't depend on  $\theta$ )

②  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased

③  $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \leq \text{var}(\check{\theta})$

Finally, if  $\tau(x)$  is complete then  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MVU estimator.

Alternatively, find some function  $g(\tau(x))$  that is unbiased.

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \quad E(\tau(x)) = NA$$

$$E(g(\tau(x))) = A \quad g(\cdot) = \frac{1}{N}$$

$\tau(x)$  is complete:

$$\Rightarrow E(v(\tau)) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \Leftrightarrow$$

implies  $v(\tau) = 0$

$$E(g_1(\tau(x))) = \theta \quad \forall \theta$$

$$E(g_2(\tau(x))) = \theta$$

$$E[g_1(\tau(x)) - g_2(\tau(x))] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$$

$$g_1 = g_2$$

Proof:

$\check{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator

$$E(\check{\theta}) = \theta$$

①  $\hat{\theta} = E(\check{\theta} | \tau(x))$

$$= \int \check{\theta}(x) p(x | \tau(x); \theta) dx$$

$$\rightarrow = \int \check{\theta}(x) p(x | \tau(x)) dx$$

only be a function of  $\tau$  after integrating  $x$

②  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased:

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \int \int \check{\theta}(x) p(x | \tau(x); \theta) dx p(\tau(x); \theta) d\tau$$

$$= \int \check{\theta}(x) \int p(x | \tau(x); \theta) p(\tau(x); \theta) d\tau dx$$

$$= \int \check{\theta}(x) p(x; \theta) dx$$

$$= E(\check{\theta}) = \theta$$

③  $\text{var}(\check{\theta}) \geq \text{var}(\hat{\theta})$

$$\sigma^2 \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

$$\text{var}(\check{\theta}) = E((\check{\theta} - E(\check{\theta}))^2)$$

$$= E(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta} + \hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$$

$$= E(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})^2 + E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 +$$

$$2 \underbrace{E(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta)}_{=0}$$

$$E_{\tau, \check{\theta}}(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

$$= E_{\tau} E_{\check{\theta} | \tau}[(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta)]$$

$$E_{\check{\theta} | \tau}[(\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta)]$$

$$= E_{\check{\theta} | \tau}[\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta}] \cdot (\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

$$= (\hat{\theta} - \theta) [E_{\check{\theta} | \tau}(\check{\theta}) - \hat{\theta}]$$

$$= (\hat{\theta} - \theta) (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\check{\theta}) = E((\check{\theta} - \hat{\theta})^2) + \text{var}(\hat{\theta})$$

Example:

$$x[n] = A + \omega[n]$$

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(NA, N\sigma^2)$$

$$E(g(\tau(x))) = A \quad \forall A$$

$$E(h(\tau(x))) = A$$

$$E[g(\tau) - h(\tau)] = 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2N\sigma^2}(\tau - NA)^2\right) d\tau = 0 \quad \forall A$$

$$\tau = \frac{\tau}{N} \quad v(N\tau) = v'(\tau)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v'(\tau) \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi N\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2\sigma^2}(A - \tau)^2\right) d\tau = 0 \quad \forall A$$

convolution  $\rightarrow$  pointwise multiplication in freq. domain.

$$v'(\neq) w(\neq) = 0 \quad \forall \neq$$

Gaussian

$$\Rightarrow g = h$$

$$P(x; \theta) \xrightarrow{\text{factorization}} \tau(x) \text{ (Complete)}$$

$$E(\check{\theta} | \tau(x)) \quad g(\cdot) \text{ (Eye ball)}$$

(tedious)

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{MVU}$$